Tax Report #920

New York State Bar Association

One Elk Street. Albany, New York 12207 • 518/463-3200



TAX SECTION

1997-1998 Executive Committee

RICHARD O. LOENGARD, JR.

Chair Fried Frank Harris et al One New York Plaza New York, NY 10004 212/859-8260 STEVEN C. TODRYS First Vice-Chair 212/85-9750 212/455-3750 HAROLD R. HANDLER

ROBERT H. SCARBOROUGH

Secretary 212/906-2317 COMMITTEE CHAIRS:
Bankruptcy
Linda Zan Swartz
Lary S. Wolf
Basis and Cost Recovery
Elliot Pisem
Deborah H. Schenk
CLE and Pro Bono
James A. Locke
Victor Zonana
Compliance, Practice & Procedure
Hobert S. Fink
Armold Y. Kapilotf
Consolidated Returns
Joel Scharfstein COMMITTEE CHAIRS:

Arnold Y. Kapiloft
Consolidated Returns
Joel Schartstein
David R. Scuid
Corporations
Patrick C. Gallagher
Robert A. Jacobs
Estates and Trusts
Sherwin Kamin
Carlyn S. McCaffrey
Financial Instruments
Samuel J. Dimon
Bruce Kayle
Financial Instruments
Samuel J. Dimon
Bruce Kayle
Financial Intermediaries
Erika W. Nijenhuis
Andrew Solomon
Foreign Activities of U.S.
Taxpayers
Reuven S. Avi-Yonah
David P. Hariton
Fundamental Tax Reform
Peter v.Z. Cobb
Deborah L. Paul
Individuals
Sherry S. Kraus
Ann F. Thomas
Multistate Tax Issues
Robert E. Brown
Paul R. Comeau
Net Operating Losses
David S Malter

Robert E. Brown
Paul R. Comeau
Net Operating Losses
David S. Miller
Ann-Eizabeth Purintun
New York City Tax Matters
Robert J. Levinsohn
William B. Randolph
New York State Franchise and
Income Taxes
Maria T. Jones
Arthur R. Rosen
New York State Sales and Misc.
William F. Collins
Hollis L. Hyans
Nongualified Employee Benefits
Squart N. Alperin
Kenneth C. Edgar, Jr.
Partnerships
Andrew N. Berg
William B. Brannan
Pass-Through Entities
Kimberty S. Blanchard
Marc L. Silberberg
Qualified Plans
Stephen I. Lindo
Loran T. Thompson
Real Property
Michael Hirschield
Alan J. Tarr
Reorganizations
Eric Solomon
Lewis R. Steinberg
Tax Accounting
Dickson G. Brown

Lewis R. Steinberg Tax Accounting Dickson G. Brown Stephen B. Land Tax Exempt Bonds Linda L. D'Onofrio Patti T. Wu Tax Exempt Entities Michelle P. Scott Stuart L. Rosow Tax Policy

Tax Policy
David H. Brockway
Dana Trier
U.S. Activities of Foreign

Taxpayers Peter H. Blessing Yaron Z. Reich

MEMBERS-AT-LARGE OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:

Kenneth H. Heitner Thomas A. Humphreys Charles I. Kingson

Lisa A. Levy James R. MacDonald, IV Charles M. Morgan, III

Ronald A. Morris Lestie B. Samuets Robert T, Smith

Eugene L. Vogel David E. Watts Mary Kate Wold

February 6, 1998

Jonathan Talisman, Esq.

Dianne Bennett

John Dugan

Benjamin J. Cohen

Deputy Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy)

Department of the Treasury

1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Room 3064

Washington, D.C. 20220

Joseph H. Guttentag, Esq.

Deputy Assistant Secretary (International Tax Affairs)

Department of the Treasury

1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Room 1334

Washington, D.C. 20220

Dear Mr. Guttentag:

After soliciting members of the Tax Section for possible items to be included in your 1998 business plan, I have collected the following suggestions:

We believe that regulations implementing the Code changes made in 1996 should be included in the business plan. These include the amendments relating to FASITS, Subchapter S corporations and citizens and residents who expatriate. Issuance of final regulations under Sections 643(h), 671 and 672(f) should also be part of the plan.

FORMER CHAIRS OF SECTION:

Howard O. Colgan, Jr. Charles L. Kades Samuel Brodsky Thomas C. Plowden-Wardlaw Edwin M. Jones Hon. Hugh R. Jones Peter Miller

John W. Fager John E. Morrissey, Jr. Charles E. Heming Raigh O. Winger Martin D. Ginsburg Hon. Renato Beghe

Alfred D. Youngwood Gordon D. Henderson David Sachs J. Roger Mentz Willard B. Taylor Richard J. Hiegel Dale S. Collinson

Richard G. Cohen Donald Schapiro Herbert L. Camp William I. Burke Arthur A. Feder James M. Peaslee John A. Corry

Peter C. Canellos Michael L. Schler Richard L. Reinhold

- 2. In the foreign area, we believe it would be very desirable that the Section 367 regulations be revised, incorporating the notices which have been issued with respect to Section 367 transactions; a copy of the August 16, 1996 letter of Richard L. Reinhold, then Chair of the Tax Section, on this topic is annexed.
- 3. We congratulate you on finalizing the regulations under Subchapter C relating to warrants, continuity of shareholder interest and continuity of business enterprise. However, we note that a variety of proposed regulations have not been finalized and urge that the business plan include finalization of those, including those issued under Section 197, Section 467, Section 7701 (amendments to the check-the-box regulations) and Section 7701(1) (relating to lease stripping).
- 4. A variety of Notices have been issued, e.g., Notice 97-21, relating to step down preferred stock, Notice 98-5, relating to foreign tax credit abuses, and Notice 98-11, relating to subpart F abuse, and we urge that the business plan include issuance of proposed regulations covering the issues to which these Notices are addressed.
 - 5. Regulations relating to the changes in the Code enacted in 1997 should be included in the business plan, especially those relating to constructive sales under Section 1259, non-qualified preferred stock described in Section 351(g), spin offs described in Section 355(e) and issues arising under Section 901(k). In addition, guidance under Section 355(d) should also be included.
 - 6. There are a variety of issues relating to notional principal contracts and other derivative positions on which guidance is needed. Thus the business plan might include regulations dealing with the treatment of straddle positions in which one side of the position is larger than the other and the treatment of contingent payments arising under notional principal contracts, including those embodying a foreign currency component.
 - 7. The business plan should include regulations under Section 1446, including a reconciliation of withholding obligations under Section 1446 and Section 1445.

8. The business plan should include additional guidance on PFICs, especially regulations or rulings as to how to determine whether a foreign corporation is a PFIC.

Sincerely,

Richard O. Loengard, Jr.

Chair

New York State Bar Association

One Elk Street, Albany, New York 12207 • 518/463-3200



TAX SECTION

1996-1997 Executive Committee

FROM:

RICHARD L REPHOLD Chair Scoton & Reindal 80 Fine Street New York, NY 10005 2187701-3672 2187701-3672 2187701-3672

August 16, 1996

Hon. Donald C. Lubick Acting Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy) Department of the Treasury 1500 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20220

Hon. Margaret M. Richardson Commissioner Internal Revenue Service 1111 Constitution Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20224

Simplification of Section 367 Regulations

Dear Secretary Lubick and Commissioner Richardson:

We are writing to strongly urge that the Treasury and IRS devote significant resources towards adopting a comprehensive set of final regulations under Section 367. The existing guidance under Section 367 is probably the most confusing of all the guidance that currently exists under the Code. The effort we are suggesting would be well in keeping with the announced efforts of Treasury and the IRS to make tax regulations more "user friendly".

The existing guidance under Section 367 is a very confusing (some would say incomprehensible) patchwork of final regulations, temporary regulations and notices. Many of the temporary regulations have been "temporary" since 1977, and, remarkably, are printed in the Code of Federal Regulations under the heading "Temporary Income Tax Regulations Under the Tax Reform Act of 1976." Many of the rules are not even in the regulations at all, but rather in the notices, the

08/16 17:13 1996

key one of which has been outstanding since 1987. 1/ Some temporary and final regulations each fill in the gaps in the other and can only be understood if read together. 2/ Some final regulations merely provide definitions for use in temporary regulations. 3/

TO: 8588588

Moreover, of the temporary regulations, some start with "1", 4/ and others start with "7". 5/ As a result, the tax services do not organize the regulations in a logical sequence. Moreover, the Code of Federal Regulations publishes the "7" regulations several volumes away from the rest of the Section 367 regulations, with no cross reference, and one well known compilation of tax regulations omits the "7" regulations altogether. 6/ It goes without saying that a practitioner could easily overlook completely the existence of some of these regulations. Likewise, notices can easily be overlooked by those not thoroughly familiar with the Section 367 rules.

In addition, there are presently outstanding numerous proposed Section 367 regulations that are to be adopted as final regulations, and even proposed amendments to revise temporary regulations. 1/

^{1/} See Notice 87-85, which in December 1995 earned a cross-reference in Temp. Reg. § 1.367(a)-3T(d).

^{2/} See, e.g., Reg. § 1.367(b)-7 and Temp. Reg. § 7.367(b)-7.

^{3/} See Reg. § 1.367(b)-2.

^{4/} Sec, e.g., Temp. Reg. § 1.367(a)-1T through -3T.

^{5/} See Temp. Reg. § 7.367(b)-1 through -13, and § 7.367(c)-1 through -2.

^{6/} See the 5-volume hard-bound set of Federal Tax Regulations published annually by West Publishing Co. as part of the U.S. Code Congressional & Administrative News.

^{7/} See, e.g., proposed amendments to Temp. Reg. § 1.367(a)-lT.

08/16 17:13 1996

FROM:

3

Some of the proposed amendments have retroactive effective dates, and others have effective dates 30 days after final regulations are promulgated. 8/ Still others have elective retroactive effective dates. 9/ At least one of the latter contains the unfortunately typical but true statement that in the absence of the retroactivity election, the transaction in question is governed by temporary regulations as modified by a notice. 10/

TO: 8598588

Because of this state of affairs, in our experience even very knowledgeable tax practitioners, unless they have extensive experience and familiarity with the Section 367 rules, have an extraordinarily difficult time determining the answers to even simple Section 367 questions. The regulations are also a trap for the unwary, because a tax practitioner may find an apparently clear answer to a question without realizing the need to do further research to determine whether the answer has been superseded by a notice or other authority.

This state of affairs is most unfortunate. We are not aware of any other Code section under which the regulations are currently in such a confused state. Until recently, a similarly unorganized set of regulations was the information reporting and backup withholding rules in Temp. Reg. § 35a.9999-1 through -5. However, the Treasury and IRS recently proposed to replace most of those regulations with new, well organized, comprehensive regulations.

Moreover, on August 11 the Treasury and IRS took a helpful step in the direction we are proposing by reissuing all the regulations under Section 367(e)(1) as a unified set of temporary regulations, rather than issuing new temporary regulations (or, worse, a notice) overriding just some aspects of the

^{8/} See Prop. Reg. 1.367(b)-6(a), 8/26/91, as an example of both.

^{9/} See, e.g., Prop. Reg. § 1.367(a)-3(f)(1).

^{10/} Prop. Reg. § 1.367(a)-3(f)(1).

Charles on the Life Control

existing regulations. The Section 367(e)(1) regulations have not in the past been the source of the confusion discussed above. However, this August 11 approach avoided the creation of additional complexity that would have arisen through the issuance of a notice or narrow temporary regulations.

We believe an effort to organize and unify all the Section 367 regulations would be most worthwhile, and we strongly urge that it be undertaken. We acknowledge that this effort would cause resources to be diverted from other projects. However, we believe this effort deserves priority status. In addition to the help that a unified set of regulations would provide to taxpayers, the result would no doubt greatly aid Treasury and IRS personnel in future updatings of the regulations.

If this effort is undertaken, we urge that the resulting regulations be published as a unified set of temporary and proposed regulations. This would provide taxpayers with the opportunity to comment on whether the unified regulations comport with their understanding of the present existing and proposed rules, and on whether the rules as so unified make

We would be pleased to assist the Treasury and the IRS in this effort. Please let me know if we can be of help.

Sincerely

Richard L/ Reighold Chair, Tax Section

cc: Stuart L. Brown,
Chief Counsel
Internal Revenue Service

Joseph H. Guttentag, International Tax Counsel Department of Treasury