

NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

One Elk Street, Albany, New York 12207 PH 518.463.3200 www.nysba.org

TAX SECTION

2016-2017 Executive Committee

STEPHEN B. LAND

Chair Duval & Stachenfeld LLP 555 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022 212/692-5991

MICHAEL S. FARBER
First Vice-Chair

KAREN GILBREATH SOWELL Second Vice-Chair

202/327-8747 **DEBORAH L. PAUL** Secretary 212/403-1300

COMMITTEE CHAIRS: Bankruptcy and Operating Losses Stuart J. Goldring

David W. Mayo
Compliance, Practice & Procedure

Elliot Pisem Bryan C. Skarlatos Consolidated Returns Andrew H. Braiterman Kathleen L. Ferrell

Andrew H. Braiterman Kathleen L. Ferrell Corporations Linda Z. Swartz Gordon E. Warnke

Cross-Border Capital Markets David M. Schizer

David M. Schizer Andrew R. Walker Cross-Border M&A Yaron Z. Reich Ansgar A. Simon Employee Benefits Lawrence K. Cagney Eric W. Hilfers

Estates and Trusts Alan S. Halperin Joseph Septimus Financial Instruments Lucy W. Farr

William L. McRae
"Inbound" U.S. Activities of Foreign

Taxpayers
Peter J. Connors
Peter F.G. Schuur
Individuals
Steven A. Dean

Sherry S. Kraus Investment Funds John C. Hart Amanda H. Nussbaum

New York City Taxes Maria T. Jones Irwin M. Slomka New York State Taxes

Paul R. Comeau Arthur R. Rosen "Outbound" Foreign Activities of

U.S. Taxpayers Andrew P. Solomon Philip R. Wagman Partnerships Marcy G. Geller Eric B. Sloan

Pass-Through Entities
James R. Brown
Edward E. Gonzalez

Edward E. Gonzale: Real Property Robert Cassanos Phillip J. Gall Reorganizations

Neil J. Barr Peter A. Furci Securitizations and Structured Finance John T. Lutz W. Kirk Wallace

W. Kirk Wallace
Spin Offs
Lawrence M. Garrett
Joshua M. Holmes
Tax Exempt Entities

Stuart L. Rosow
Richard R. Upton

Treaties and Intergovernmental

Agreements Lee E. Allison David R. Hardy

MEMBERS-AT-LARGE OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:

William D. Alexander Megan L. Brackney Daniel M. Dunn Jason R. Factor Robert C. Fleder Joshua E. Gewolb Amy Heller Elizabeth T. Kessenides Richard M. Nugent Joel Scharfstein Stephen E. Shay Eric Solomon Jack Trachtenberg

June 1, 2016

The Honorable Mark J. Mazur Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy) Department of the Treasury 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20220

The Honorable William J. Wilkins Chief Counsel Internal Revenue Service 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20224 The Honorable John Koskinen Commissioner Internal Revenue Service 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20224

Re: Report No. 1349 on Final Regulations on Reorganizations under Section 368(a)(1)(F)

Dear Messrs. Mazur, Koskinen, and Wilkins:

I am pleased to submit the attached report of the Tax Section commenting on the final regulations on "F" reorganizations, published on September 21, 2015. The final regulations are intended to define the scope of an F reorganization so that such a reorganization involves only one continuing corporation and is not an acquisitive or divisive transaction. The final regulations prescribe six requirements for F reorganizations, and also provide certain additional rules addressing the impact of contemporaneous distributions and the application of step transaction principles. Under the construct of the final regulations, the satisfaction of the six requirements is tested over a period that commences when the transferor corporation begins to transfer assets to the resulting corporation, and ends when the

FORMER CHAIRS OF SECTION:

Peter L. Faber Alfred D. Youngwood Gordon D. Henderson David Sachs J. Roger Mentz Willard B. Taylor Richard J. Hiegel Herbert L. Camp William L. Burke Arthur A. Feder James M. Peaslee John A. Corry Peter C. Canellos Michael L. Schler Carolyn Joy Lee Richard L. Reinhold Steven C. Todrys Harold R. Handler Robert H. Scarborough Robert A. Jacobs Samuel J. Dimon Andrew N. Berg Lewis R. Steinberg David P. Hariton Kimberly S. Blanchard Patrick C. Gallagher David S. Miller Erika W. Nijenhuis Peter H. Blessing Jodi J. Schwartz Andrew W. Needham Diana L. Wollman David H. Schnabel David R. Sicular transferor corporation liquidates and the stock of the resulting corporation is distributed to the former owners of the transferor corporation. (This period of time is sometimes colloquially referred to as the "bubble.") The final regulations also requested comments regarding how to assign or reassign employee identification numbers in an F reorganization, including in cases where the transferor corporation remains in existence as a disregarded entity.

As noted in the Report, we believe that the final regulations present a useful and thoughtful framework for defining the scope of an F reorganization. The Report does not seek fundamental changes to the approach set out in the final regulations. However, there are a few situations in which the rules of the final regulations introduce some uncertainty as compared to prior law, and thus the application of the final regulations in these situations, particularly with respect to the first recommendation noted below, could helpfully be clarified through the issuance of published guidance.

Specifically, the Report recommends that Treasury and the Service consider issuing guidance clarifying the following aspects of the final regulations:

- 1. That the *de minimis* exceptions regarding issuances of stock and holding of assets by the resulting corporation take into account all relevant facts and circumstances, including legal or regulatory requirements, and that issuances of stock or contributions of assets in order to facilitate a resulting corporation's organization (or otherwise in connection with the organization) or maintain its legal existence will be presumed to be *de minimis* as long as they do not exceed 1% of the resulting corporation's shares outstanding or assets (by value) immediately after the potential F reorganization.
- 2. That in a "drop and check" transaction pursuant to an integrated plan, the potential F reorganization begins when the stock of the transferor corporation is contributed to the resulting corporation.
- 3. That the prohibition on a resulting corporation holding tax attributes only looks to U.S. federal income tax attributes, and that tax attributes are not considered "property" for purposes of the final regulations. As an alternative, the guidance could provide that tax attributes only include attributes arising from prior business activities of the resulting corporation.
- 4. That the step transaction doctrine will only be applied to integrate steps occurring outside the "bubble" in a manner that disqualifies the transaction from F reorganization treatment where the resulting integrated transaction is a tax-free reorganization described in the "overlap" rule of Treas. Reg. § 1.368-2(m)(3)(iv).
 - 5. That an insolvent corporation can successfully undergo an F reorganization.

- 6. That the overlap rule takes precedence over the distribution non-integration rule.
- 7. To what extent, if any, Revenue Rulings 61-156 and 68-349, which deal with stock issuances to non-historic owners, remain valid in light of the final regulations.
- 8. That a transferor corporation should retain its employee identification number for relevant purposes if it becomes disregarded in a "drop and check" F reorganization..

We appreciate your consideration of our recommendations. If you have any questions or comments on this report, please feel free to contact us and we would be happy to assist in any way.

Respectfully submitted,

High Brand

Stephen B. Land

Chair

cc: Emily S. McMahon

Deputy Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy)

Department of the Treasury

Thomas C. West, Jr.

Tax Legislative Counsel

Department of the Treasury

Krishna P. Vallabhaneni

Deputy Tax Legislative Counsel

Department of the Treasury

Robert H. Wellen

Associate Chief Counsel (Corporate)

Internal Revenue Service

Douglas C. Bates

Senior Technician Reviewer, Branch 6

Office of Associate Chief Counsel (Corporate)

Internal Revenue Service