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ANTHONY D. CORNETTO, III, P.E.

Mr. Cornetto received his Bachelor of Science degree in Engineering Science and Mechanics, and his Master of Science degree in Engineering Science and Mechanics from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University. He specializes in vehicle accident reconstruction, vehicle kinematics, and night visibility. He is also experienced in computer simulation and image analysis. He has expertise in 3-D solid modeling and is knowledgeable in 3-D animation. Surveying experience includes computer modeling of surface data. Mr. Cornetto’s background is in solid mechanics, rigid body dynamics, fluid mechanics, and biomedical engineering. He is also experienced in the design of ophthalmic devices, including prototype testing and failure analysis. Mr. Cornetto is a member of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME), the Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE), the National Association of Professional Accident Reconstruction Specialists (NAPARS), and the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM International).

DOUGLAS W. DUNHAM, ESQ.

Douglas W. Dunham is Counsel in the Mass Tort and Insurance Litigation Group at Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP. He graduated magna cum laude and Phi Beta Kappa from Harvard University in 1984 and received an A.B.-A.M. degree in History with Government. In 1987, he received a J.D. degree from Columbia University School of Law, where he was a research editor of the Columbia Law Review. Mr. Dunham concentrates in products liability and insurance litigation. He has successfully represented numerous product liability manufacturers and other corporate defendants in state and federal courts in New York and across the country at the trial and appellate level, including before the U.S. Supreme Court.

SUSAN T. DWYER, ESQ.

Susan T. Dwyer, a Partner in Herrick’s Litigation Department and chair of the Product Liability Practice Group, has been on the forefront of handling national complex products cases for more than three decades. Ms. Dwyer litigates in state and federal courts across the United States and serves as national and regional counsel for Fortune 500 manufacturing companies in mass tort litigation and consumer class actions. She has in-depth experience in post-recall litigation as one of Bridgestone Firestone’s trial counsel in multi-district litigation involving the tires designed for the Ford Explorer, and defends companies in class actions and before state and federal agencies. In addition to her experience as a trial attorney,
she concentrates on conflict management outside the traditional litigation process to help clients control risks and costs through non-adversarial resolution of claims. Ms. Dwyer also oversees a large docket on behalf of manufacturers in the automotive, industrial machinery, chemical, pharmaceutical and paper industries and handles commercial matters including construction litigation, employment-related litigation and contract disputes. She has been a sustaining member of the Product Liability Advisory Council for 25 years and served as a member of PLAC’s Executive Committee. She is a frequent author, lecturer and commentator in the product liability and toxic tort fields and co-authored the *Tort Law Desk Reference* (2000–2010), *Business Torts* (2006–2011), as well as *Products Liability in New York*. She was recognized by Chambers USA as one of America’s Leading Lawyers for Business in Products Liability and Mass Torts for 2012 and has been identified as one of six lawyers qualified as a “Leading Individual” with a particular emphasis on automobile matters. In 2011, *Corporate INTL Magazine* named her “Nationwide Automobile Liability Attorney of the Year,” and she was recognized as a Preeminent AV-rated attorney under the *Martindale-Hubbell Peer Review Ratings*™.

**JENNIFER FUERCH, ESQ.**

Jennifer Fuerch is an Associate in the litigation group at DLA Piper. She practices primarily in the areas of mass torts and product liability, with a focus on pharmaceuticals. Her experience includes case development and motion practice in both federal and New York State courts, including summary judgment and motions to exclude expert witness opinions. She also has experience working with expert witnesses, preparing for *Daubert* hearings and trials, and preparing and deposing fact witnesses in pharmaceutical product liability litigation.

**ANTHONY H. GAIR, ESQ.**

Anthony Gair is a Partner in the New York City firm of Gair, Gair, Conason, Steigman, Mackauf, Bloom & Rubinowitz, where he concentrates in the areas of negligence, malpractice, products liability, construction accidents and trial and appellate practice. A *cum laude* graduate of Thomas M. Cooley Law School in 1980, Mr. Gair earned his LL.M. from New York University School of Law. He is a member of the American, New York State, Bronx County and Westchester County Bar Associations; the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, having served on its Products Liability Committee; the New York County Lawyers Association; the New York State Trial Lawyers Association; and the American Association for Justice. Mr. Gair has lectured on and published numerous
articles on personal injury, construction accidents and medical malpractice. He is a former consulting editor for *Medical Malpractice Law and Strategy* published by Law Journal Newsletters (a division of American Lawyer Media), and is a member of Scribes, the American Society of Writers on Legal Subjects.

**WILLIAM G. GANDY, ESQ.**

William G. Gandy is a Partner at Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP, who practices nationally in the areas of product liability, toxic tort, and mass tort litigation. He is a 1975 graduate of the Syracuse University College of Law. Previously, Mr. Gandy was a special agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation in Washington, D.C., and an assistant district attorney in the Monroe County District Attorney’s office in Rochester, New York. Mr. Gandy is a member of the New York State Bar Association and the Defense Research Institute. He has also been a speaker on numerous occasions at local and state bar association seminars.

**GERALD M. GOLDBER, PH.D.**

Dr. Gerald M. Goldhaber, the president of Goldhaber Research Associates, LLC, is a nationally recognized expert in the fields of political polling and warning label research. His clients include Fortune 500 companies, as well as educational and governmental organizations. He has conducted hundreds of surveys, including political polls for candidates running for U.S. Congress, Senate and President. Dr. Goldhaber also served as a consultant to President Reagan’s Private Sector Survey for Cost Control. Dr. Goldhaber served as the Chair of the Department of Communication, State University of New York at Buffalo, between 1979 and 1988, and served as the Director of the Graduate Program until 2004. He has written and edited 10 books and is a frequent international lecturer on communication. He writes numerous articles on a variety of issues for publication in journals and newspapers, and has served as a political analyst for radio and television shows. He has been selected as a member of *Who’s Who in America* and *Who’s Who in the World*. His research and interviews have appeared in many of the top newspapers and TV shows including CBS Morning News, *Forbes, The Wall Street Journal*, the *Boston Globe*, and the *Buffalo News*. Dr. Goldhaber is a member of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, Marketing Research Association, Gotham City Networking, Inc., and the International Communication Association, for which he held the title of Vice-President.
JOHN C. HERBERT, ESQ.

John Herbert is a Partner in the firm of Harter, Secrest & Emery, where his practice centers on civil litigation, including personal injury, professional products liability, negligence, municipal liability, construction-related matters, insurance coverage, Federal Employers Liability Act, and professional malpractice and licensing. He received his J.D. from the State University of New York at Buffalo School of Law in 1978. Mr. Herbert is a member of the New York State Bar Association and its Business Law Section and Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law Section; the Monroe County Bar Association; Defense Trial Lawyers of Western New York; and the National Association of Railroad Trial Counsel.

MICHAEL HOENIG, ESQ.

Michael Hoenig is a member of Herzfeld & Rubin, P.C., in New York City, specializing in products liability, complex litigation and appeals. He received his J.D. degree from St. John’s University School of Law, where he was Articles Editor of the St. John's Law Review and an editor of its Biannual Survey of New York Practice. He has served as national, regional and local defense counsel for a number of major foreign and domestic companies including all phases of products litigation; class actions; negotiations in complex and catastrophic injury cases; regulation matters; and preventive counseling. He is the author of a monthly “Products Liability” column in the New York Law Journal; “Gatekeeping: Reliability of Expert Testimony Under Daubert (and Frye)” in Preparing For and Trying the Civil Lawsuit (NYSBA 2004), law review articles and Products Liability: Substantive, Procedural and Policy Issues (1992). Mr. Hoenig has lectured at numerous legal education programs, including CLE programs for New York Judges on Judicial “Gatekeeping” of Scientific Evidence and Expert Testimony. He is a member of the Product Liability Advisory Council, Inc., and of its Case Selection Committee; a fellow of the Product Liability Advisory Council Foundation; a member of the American and New York State bar associations and the New York County Lawyers Association; and a member of the board of advisors for BNA's Product Safety & Liability Reporter.
SCOTT R. JENNETTE, ESQ.

Scott Jennette is a Partner in the firm of Ward Greenberg Heller & Reidy LLP in Rochester, New York. Mr. Jennette’s defense litigation practice concentrates on the areas of complex products liability, hazardous substance litigation, catastrophic personal injury, and professional malpractice. He has served as national, regional and local counsel representing a number of mechanical and chemical product manufacturers and suppliers in personal injury and property damage (fire) matters, involving petroleum solvents, products containing hazardous substances, microelectronic chemical products, pharmaceuticals, coke ovens, industrial labeling machines, trailers, food and beverage packaging, pavement profilers, construction equipment, chemical cleaning equipment, lawnmowers, shredders, storage rack systems, school bus equipment, pneumatic saws, water pumps, vertical drilling tools, gas clothes dryers, consumer paints, printing and photographic development products, and consumer electronic products, among others. Mr. Jennette has worked with experts in a variety of technical fields, is experienced with issues that arise in the introduction of expert evidence, and has successfully excluded expert testimony under the Daubert and Frye doctrines.

CHRISTOPHER P. JOHNSON, ESQ.

Christopher Johnson is a member of Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP, where his practice focuses on complex civil litigation, including commercial, securities, products liability and Constitutional litigation, as well as alternative dispute resolution. He is both a trial and appellate lawyer, with extensive experience in all aspects of civil litigation, from pre-trial proceedings, evidentiary hearings, and trials through appeals and U.S. Supreme Court practice. Having successfully conducted one of the nation’s first Daubert hearings (resulting in a seminal opinion), Mr. Johnson is also an expert in the application and admissibility of expert opinion evidence. Mr. Johnson received his undergraduate degree summa cum laude from Fordham University and his law degree from the University of Virginia School of Law. He is admitted to practice in New York State; the U.S. District Courts for the Southern, Eastern, and Northern Districts of New York, the Western District of Michigan, and the District of Colorado; the U.S. Court of International Trade; the U.S. Tax Court; the U.S. Courts of Appeal for the First, Second, Third, Sixth, Tenth and Federal Circuits; and the U.S. Supreme Court.
E. STEWART JONES, JR., ESQ.

E. Stewart Jones, Jr., is a Managing Partner of E. Stewart Jones, PLLC, a private, family-owned law firm representing the greater Capital Region since 1898. He graduated from Williams College in 1963 and Albany Law School in 1966, when he joined his family firm. Mr. Jones has earned a reputation as a tireless advocate for the rights of those who have been injured by others, as well as those who have been accused of significant crimes. He is the only New York State lawyer outside of New York City to be elected to the four most exclusive national organizations honoring outstanding trial lawyers: the International Academy of Trial Lawyers, the Inner Circle of Advocates, the International Society of Barristers, and the American College of Trial Lawyers. He is also a diplomate of the American Board of Trial Advocates and the American Board of Professional Liability Attorneys. Mr. Jones has authored numerous articles and chapters in a broad variety of trial advocacy books and has lectured extensively throughout New York State on all facets of personal injury lawsuits. He appeared in the first edition of *The Best Lawyers in America* in 1983, and has appeared in every edition since, now in five separate categories: personal injury litigation, white-collar criminal defense, non-white collar criminal defense, malpractice and DUI/DWI criminal defense. He is also a Fellow of the Litigation Counsel of America and holds the highest possible Martindale-Hubbell peer review rating, AV preeminent. In addition, he has earned numerous civic awards.

JULIE S. JORDAN, ESQ.

Julie S. Jordan is an Associate at Faraci Lange, LLP, in their Rochester and Buffalo, N.Y. locations. Ms. Jordan focuses her practice on litigation of complex personal injury matters, including medical malpractice, products liability and toxic exposure claims. She also has extensive experience in insurance coverage disputes and risk management matters. She graduated *cum laude* from Syracuse University College of Law, where she was an associate editor of the *Syracuse University Journal of International Law and Commerce* and the American Bar Association’s journal, *The Labor Lawyer*. Ms. Jordan was also a member of the Moot Court Honor Society and Syracuse University’s National Trial Team. Upon graduation, Ms. Jordan was inducted into the Order of Barristers and was recognized in *Who’s Who Among American Law Students*. In 2010, Ms. Jordan was named in Rochester by the *Daily Record* as one of 10 Up and Coming Attorneys. Representative matters include *B.T.N. v. Auburn Enlarged City School District* in which Ms. Jordan successfully argued that differential diagnosis was sufficient to establish both general and specific causation in
personal injury cases involving mold exposure and exposure to damp indoor environments. She is a member of the American Association for Justice, the New York State Academy of Trial Lawyers, the Monroe County and New York State Bar Associations, and the Greater Rochester Association for Women Attorneys. Ms. Jordan has served as a presenter on topics including medical malpractice and environmental law for the New York State Bar Association and the Women’s Bar Association of the State of New York.

**RHONDA KAY, ESQ.**

Rhonda Kay is Partner with the law firm of Gair, Gair, Conason, Steiger, Mackauf, Bloom & Rubinowitz, where she concentrates on negligence, malpractice, products liability and trial and appellate practice matters. A 1990 graduate of St. John’s University School of Law, Ms. Kay was formerly a court attorney for the Appellate Division, Second Department. She is a member of the New York State Bar Association, the New York County Trial Lawyers Association and the New York County Lawyers Association (former secretary of the Torts Division, Appellate Advocacy Committee). She has authored several CLE books and law journal articles, and lectured extensively on products liability issues. She has also served on the Board of Editors of *Warren’s Negligence in the New York Courts* since 2005 and was a member of the *New York Law Journal’s* Smart Litigator Practice Q&A Board.

**MATTHEW J. KELLY JR., ESQ.**

Matthew J. Kelly Jr. is an Associate at Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis. His experience includes product liability, complex commercial litigation, construction and municipal liability. He has defended clients’ interests throughout litigation, from the pre-suit and pleadings stage through discovery disputes and arguments before the federal courts and state trial and appellate courts. His experience includes the defense of product liability claims from products as diverse as multi-ton cranes and tractors to the smallest of electrical components and toys. He also works with clients on various disputes in the construction industry, including disputes between owners, general contractors, and subcontractors throughout the construction process and also works with clients on surety relationships and coverage as well as contract interpretation. He is a member of the American Bar Association and New York State Bar Association. Mr. Kelly graduated from Boston College in 2001 and from Brooklyn Law School, *cum laude*, in 2005. At Brooklyn he was a Moot
Court Honor Society Vice President and Trial Advocacy Division chair, and was appointed a member of the Order of Barristers.

**AMANDA KURYLUK, ESQ.**

Amanda Kuryluk graduated from Siena College in 2007 and received a dual degree in Political Science and Spanish. While at Siena she participated in Siena’s Honors program, Model United Nations, and was a Summer Legal Fellow. She graduated from the Claude W. Pettit College of Law of Ohio Northern University in 2010, where she received her J.D. In law school, she was an Associate Editor of the *Ohio Northern Law Review Journal*, a member of Phi Alpha Delta, worked as a research assistant, and volunteered at Ohio Northern’s Legal Clinic. Ms. Kuryluk is admitted to practice in New York and New Jersey. Upon graduation from law school, she joined the law firm of Thorn, Gershon, Tymann, and Bonanni, LLP, in Albany, New York, and focuses her practice on the areas of medical malpractice defense and products liability defense.

**JOSEPH C. LAVALLEY, III, ESQ.**

Joseph LaValley, formerly of Myers & Myers, was a law clerk at the Jones Firm in Troy, New York. He was editor-in-chief of the *Albany Law Review*, and authored “The Calculus of Dissent: A Study of the Appellate Division” and “Showdown Over Snake Mountain.” He received his J.D. in 2002, and became an attorney at the Appellate Division, Third Department. Mr. LaValley is a former member of the New York State Bar Association’s Environmental Law Section.

**SALVATORE C. MALGUARNERA, PH.D., P.E.**

Dr. Malguarnera is a Technical Consultant with SEA, Ltd. in Columbus, Ohio. He holds a B.S. from West Point and a M.S. and Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering from M.I.T. He is a licensed professional engineer in 29 states. For 25 years, Dr. Malguarnera has investigated and analyzed accidents involving machines, mechanical equipment and motor vehicles. He previously held positions in teaching, research and engineering at both the university and industry levels.
**ERIN MEAD, ESQ.**

Erin Mead is a 2000 graduate of the University at Albany. She graduated with honors and was a member of the Presidential Honor Society and the Golden Key Honor Society. Ms. Mead graduated *cum laude* in 2002 from Albany Law School, where she received her J.D. She was a Note and Comment Editor for the *Albany Law Journal of Science and Technology* (2001–2002) and was the recipient of the A. Lindsay & Olive B. O’Connor Foundation Scholarship (1999–2002). She is a member of Albany Law School’s Justinian Society. Upon graduation from law school, Ms. Mead was an Appellate Court Attorney with the New York State Supreme Court, Appellate Division, Third Department, where she conducted extensive research and analysis of factual and legal issues in the preparation of preliminary reports in cases to be argued or submitted to the court. Later, she became an Associate at Thorn Gershon Tymann and Bonanni, LLP, and currently concentrates her practice on civil litigation and appeals with a focus on products liability, personal injury and insurance defense. Ms. Mead is a member of the American Bar Association, New York State Bar Association, Albany County Bar Association and the Capital District Trial Lawyers Association. She also serves on the Committee on Character and Fitness for the Third Judicial District.

**HARRY F. MOONEY, ESQ.**

Harry Mooney is a Partner in the Buffalo law firm of Hurwitz & Fine, P.C., concentrating on the defense of products liability, professional liability and catastrophic injury claims. He received a B.A. from Canisius College, an M.A. from Seton Hall University and his J.D., *cum laude*, from the State University of New York at Buffalo School of Law. He is a member of the New York State Bar Association’s Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law Section; the American Bar Association’s Torts and Insurance Practice Section; the Defense Research Institute; the International Association of Defense Counsel; and the Professional Liability Underwriters Association. He is a past president of the Defense Trial Lawyers Association of Western New York.

**CHARLES PLATTO, ESQ.**

Charles Platto is the Principal of The Law Office of Charles Platto in New York and engages in domestic and international commercial and insurance arbitration and mediation and litigation consulting. He was previously head of the insurance practice group at Wiggin and Dana LLP, head of his own national insurance boutique firm based in Vermont and New Hampshire, and a litigation partner at Cahill Gordon & Reindel in
New York. He is a vice-chair of the ABA TIPS Insurance Coverage Litigation Committee. He is Adjunct Professor of Insurance Law and Litigation at Fordham Law School, and previously held that position at NYU and Vermont Law Schools.

SARA B. ROITMAN, ESQ.

Sara B. Roitman is an Associate in the Mass Tort and Insurance Litigation Group at Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP. Ms. Roitman received a B.A. degree in Anthropology from Hamilton College in 2003. In 2010, she graduated *cum laude* from Boston College Law School, where she was a note editor of the *Boston College Law Review*.

CARL J. SCHAERF, ESQ.

Carl Schaerf is from the law firm of Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis LLP. Mr. Schaerf concentrates on product liability, antitrust, Constitutional law and commercial litigation. He serves as regional counsel for a major electrical manufacturer, and appears regularly in courts throughout the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic regions. He has extensive litigation experience at both the trial and appellate levels, including several prominent verdicts and published appellate decisions. He also has litigated numerous environmental disputes in state and federal court. Mr. Schaerf also consults and lectures nationally on ERISA and non-ERISA health, life, accident and disability matters. He is a 1991 graduate of Fordham Law School.

TONY R. SEARS, ESQ.

Tony Sears is a Partner in the firm of Ward Greenberg Heller & Reidy LLP in Rochester, New York, where his practice includes products liability, toxic tort and personal injury litigation. Mr. Sears has defended product manufacturers against claims involving a variety of products including joint replacements and orthopedic devices, other surgical implants, and over-the-counter products such as contact lens solution. He has defended clients in lawsuits alleging injuries arising from exposure to a variety of substances including silica, asbestos, and various chemical constituents, such as PCBs and sodium dichromate. He also has defended gas and electric utilities against claims involving serious personal injuries and property damage arising from explosions, fires, and other accidents. Mr. Sears graduated, *cum laude*, from Cornell Law School, where he served as a Note Editor for the *Cornell Law Review*. Mr. Sears is a contributing editor of *Product Liability Desk Reference, A Fifty-State Compendium* (Aspen).
WILLIAM P. SMITH, ESQ.

William P. Smith, Jr., concentrates his practice on the areas of civil litigation, personal injury, business litigation, labor, personal injury, business litigation, labor and employment, insurance and construction law. He has substantial experience litigating in both state and federal courts, as well as before a wide variety of boards and arbitrators. Mr. Smith’s practice is widely varied with the common thread being a focus on litigated matters, including complex matters such as products liability, trademark infringement and toxic torts. Mr. Smith received his J.D. degree from Cornell Law School and his B.A. degree, magna cum laude, Phi Beta Kappa, from the State University of New York at Buffalo. He is a member of the Monroe County and New York State Bar Associations.

WILLIAM I. SUSSMAN, ESQ.

William Sussman is a Partner in the firm of Ropes & Gray in New York, where is he co-chair of the Pro Bono Committee. He received his undergraduate degree from Union College and his law degree from Harvard Law School, where he was an editor of the Harvard Law Review. Mr. Sussman’s practice has been concentrated in commercial litigation, with an emphasis on products liability defense and regulatory issues, and he is active in pro bono representations. He is a member of the American Bar Association and its sections on litigation, antitrust and torts and insurance practice.

JONATHAN S. TAM, ESQ.

Jonathan S. Tam is an Associate at the firm of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP in New York City. He graduated with distinction from the University of California at Berkeley, where he received degrees in both Philosophy and Legal Studies. In 2009, he graduated from Duke University School of Law, where he was an editor of Law and Contemporary Problems. Mr. Tam now focuses his practice on the areas of products liability and mass torts litigation.

JASON R. WATERS, ESQ.

Jason R. Waters is a Partner at Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP, who practices nationally in the areas of product liability, toxic tort, and mass tort litigation. He is a 1999 graduate of the Syracuse University College of Law and the 2004 recipient of the Sheldon Hurwitz Young Lawyer Award from the New York State Bar Association’s Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law Section. Mr. Waters has been a speaker
on civil litigation and rule of law topics in seminars for foreign judges and lawyers, and he has authored and contributed to several publications on product liability law. Mr. Waters is a member of the New York State Bar Association and the Defense Research Institute.

**AVIVA WEIN, ESQ.**

Aviva Wein is an Associate in Herrick’s Litigation Department. She concentrates her practice on product liability and general commercial litigation. Prior to joining Herrick, she clerked for the Honorable K. Michael Moore in the Southern District of Florida and was an Associate with the New York office of Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle, LLP.

**SAUL WILENSKY, ESQ.**

Saul Wilensky is a Partner at Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis, LLP, and practices in the areas of environmental law, product liability, and litigation services. He focuses his practice on the defense of product liability lawsuits relating to design, manufacture and repair of products and services. Mr. Wilensky regularly tries product liability and other complex matters throughout New York state and federal courts. He has been chair, vice chair and secretary of the New York State Bar Association’s Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law Section, is a member of the American Bar Association, and the co-chair for downstate New York Membership for the International Association of Defense Counsel. He is noted for decisions in *Robinson v. Reed Prentice; Godoy v. Abamaster;* and *Liriano v. Hobart.* He has been listed in *The Best Lawyers in America* since 2007, is noted as a New York “Super Lawyer,” and is listed in *Legal-International Who’s Who of Business Lawyers.* Mr. Wilensky has also served as a faculty member on the IADC Trial Academy—2012. He received his B.A. degree from Hunter College, LL.B. degree from St. John’s University School of Law, and LL.M. from New York University.