

**THE PLAINTIFF'S
PERSONAL INJURY
ACTION
IN NEW YORK STATE**

**EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
PATRICK J. HIGGINS, ESQ.**

VOLUME ONE

New York State Bar Association Continuing Legal Education publications are intended to provide current and accurate information to help attorneys maintain their professional competence. Publications are distributed with the understanding that NYSBA does not render any legal, accounting or other professional service. Attorneys using publications or orally conveyed information in dealing with a specific client's or their own legal matters should also research original sources of authority.

We consider the publication of any NYSBA practice book as the beginning of a dialogue with our readers. Periodic updates to this book will give us the opportunity to incorporate your suggestions regarding additions or corrections. Please send your comments to: CLE Publications Director, New York State Bar Association, One Elk Street, Albany, NY 12207.

Copyright: 2014
by
New York State Bar Association
All rights reserved
ISBN: 1-57969-406-3
Product Number: 5181

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME ONE

Preface	iii
Contributor Biographies	lxv

SECTION I—ETHICS

Chapter 1	Ethical Issues for the Personal Injury Practitioner <i>Scott W. Bush, Esq.</i>
-----------	---

SECTION II—SUBSTANTIVE LAW

Chapter 2	The Labor Law Action <i>Daniel R. Santola, Esq.</i>
Chapter 3	Medical Malpractice <i>Steven E. North, Esq.</i> <i>Laurence M. Deutsch, Esq.</i>
Chapter 4	Mass Tort Litigation in New York State Courts: A Practice Manual <i>Paul J. Pennock, Esq.</i>
Chapter 5	The Plaintiff's Products Liability Case in New York: A Practitioner's Guide <i>Jeffrey K. Anderson, Esq.</i>
Chapter 6	Municipal Liability <i>Michael G. Bersani, Esq.</i>
Chapter 7	Premises Liability <i>Evan M. Goldberg, Esq.</i>
Chapter 8	Nursing Homes in New York: Regulations and Liability <i>Jason Platt, Esq.</i>
Chapter 9	New York No-Fault Practice <i>Lawrence N. Rogak, Esq.</i>
Chapter 10	Litigating the Difficult Serious Injury Threshold Cases: Understanding the Proof Needed to Prevail <i>Patrick J. Higgins, Esq.</i>
Chapter 11	Managing the Plaintiff's SUM/UIIM Claim <i>Donald P. Ford, Jr., Esq.</i>

SECTION III—CLIENTS WITH DIFFERING CAUSES OF ACTION AND NEED

- Chapter 12 The Infant Settlement in New York and Infant’s Structured Settlements: Almost All You Need to Know (And Forms Too)
Justice Paul A. Victor
- Chapter 13 Wrongful Death Claims in New York State
Stephen G. Schwarz, Esq.
- Chapter 14 Handling Immigration Issues in Personal Injury Cases
Stephen Yale-Loehr, Esq.
Anna Angel, Esq.
- Chapter 15 The Intersection of Bankruptcy and Personal Injury Law
Paul A. Levine, Esq.

SECTION IV—STARTING THE ACTION AND DISCOVERY

- Chapter 16 Investigating and Starting the Action
Gary B. Pillersdorf, Esq.
- Chapter 17 Discovery
David Paul Horowitz, Esq.
- Chapter 18 The Successful Bill of Particulars
Patrick J. Higgins, Esq.

VOLUME TWO

SECTION V—LITIGATING THE PERSONAL INJURY ACTION IN DIFFERENT FORUMS

- Chapter 19 Court of Claims—Jurisdiction
Robert T. DeCataldo, Esq.
Eileen F. Fazzone, Esq.
Stephen Weinberg, Esq.
Kevin MacDonald, Esq.
- Chapter 20 The Plaintiff’s Personal Injury Case in Federal Court
Arthur J. Siegel, Esq.
- Chapter 21 The Personal Injury Action in the Nassau and Suffolk
Supreme Courts
W. Russell Corker, Esq.
- Chapter 22 Practice in the Fifth Judicial District and the Counties of
Cayuga and Madison
Robert E. Lahm, Esq.
- Chapter 23 Calendar Practice in Bronx County
Hugh W. Campbell, Esq.
- Chapter 24 Litigating the Personal Injury Action before the Southern
Tier Courts
James B. Reed, Esq.

SECTION VI—MANAGING CLIENT OBLIGATION AND SETTLEMENTS

- Chapter 25 Private Health Insurers’ Liens, Right of Recovery and
Subrogation Rights
Leslie D. Kelmachter, Esq.
- Chapter 26 Liens and Rights of Subrogation in Government Benefits
J. Michael Hayes, Esq.
Joan Lensky Robert, Esq.
- Chapter 27 Workers’ Compensation Liens Against Plaintiffs’ Personal
Injury Actions
Carl D. Copps, Esq.

- Chapter 28 Interrelationship Between Lawsuit Recoveries and Government Entitlements: Planning Options, Including Supplemental Needs Trusts
Joan Lensky Robert, Esq.
- Chapter 29 Structured Settlement Overview for New York Plaintiff's Attorneys
Kevin T. O'Brien, Esq.

SECTION VII—PREPARING FOR TRIAL

- Chapter 30 Mediating the Plaintiff's Personal Injury Action
Hon. Leonard A. Weiss
- Chapter 31 Polarizing the Case: Exposing and Defeating the Malingering Myth
Richard H. Friedman, Esq.
- Chapter 32 Rules of the Road: A Plaintiff Lawyer's Guide to Proving Liability
Richard H. Friedman, Esq.
Patrick Malone, Esq.
- Chapter 33 Trial Evidence Preparation
David Paul Horowitz, Esq.
- Chapter 34 Motions in Limine
Benedene Cannata, Esq.

SECTION VIII—TRIAL

- Chapter 35 The Trial: The View from the Bench
Hon. John C. Cherundolo
- Chapter 36 Jury Selection
Debra Miller
Artemis Malekpour, Esq.
David Ball, Ph.D.
- Chapter 37 Opening Statements
E. Stewart Jones, Jr., Esq.
- Chapter 38 Demonstrative Evidence in the Visual Age
W. Russell Corker, Esq.
- Chapter 39 The Plaintiff's Direct Case at Trial
J. Michael Hayes, Esq.

Chapter 40 The Art of Cross-Examination
Hon. John C. Cherundolo

Chapter 41 Some Do's and Don'ts for Summation
Henry G. Miller, Esq.

Chapter 42 Appellate Practice
Mary Ellen O'Brien, Esq.

**SECTION IX—LAW PRACTICE MANAGEMENT FOR THE
PERSONAL INJURY ATTORNEY**

Chapter 43 Practice Management: The Rule of Three
Mark A. Powers, Esq.
Nora R. Bergman, Esq.

Table of Authorities

Index

DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

2014 POCKET PART UPDSATE—VOLUME ONE

Preface	iii
<i>Contributor Biographies (Revised)*</i>	lxv

SECTION I—ETHICS

Chapter 1 **Ethical Issues for the Personal Injury Practitioner** *Scott W. Bush, Esq.*

[1.0]	I.	The Client and Communication	1-1
[1.0.1]	A.	<i>The Critical Items to Communicate to a Client and When, How, and Why They Must Be Communicated</i>	
[1.1]	II.	Conflicts Checks	1-1
[1.2]	III.	Engagement Letters or Retainer Agreements	1-2
[1.3]	IV.	Non-engagement Letters	1-3
[1.4]	V.	Competency and Representation	1-3
[1.4.1]	A.	<i>The Referring Attorney Relationship in the Personal Injury Field—Law and Practice</i>	
[1.4.2]	B.	<i>How to Represent the Client When Competency and Conflicts of Interest Arise and How to Withdraw</i>	
[1.5]	VI.	Docket Control	1-4
[1.6]	VII.	Withdrawal from Employment	1-5
[1.6.1]	A.	<i>Rule 1.8 (g)—How to Withdraw From Representation While Protecting the Client’s Rights</i>	
[1.6.2]	B.	<i>Rule 1.16—Sections (b), (c) and (d), Terminating Representation</i>	
[1.7]	VIII.	Conflicts of Interest	1-6
[1.7.1]	A.	<i>Representing Two or More Clients in the Same Action or with Limited Coverage</i>	
[1.7.2]	B.	<i>Potential Clients and Potential Client Contacts</i>	
[1.7.3]	C.	<i>Handling the Problem Client or the Client With Unrealistic Expectations</i>	
[1.8]	IX.	Bookkeeping and/or Accounting	1-7
[1.9]	X.	Termination or Conclusion of the Relationship...	1-8

* Titles in bold italic are new additions or revisions to existing sections.

SECTION II—SUBSTANTIVE LAW

Chapter 2 The Labor Law Action

Daniel R. Santola, Esq.

[2.0]	I.	Introduction.....	2-1
[2.1]	II.	Parties Obligated to Comply with the Statutory Duties.....	2-2
[2.2]	A.	<i>Haimes</i>	2-2
[2.3]	B.	Post- <i>Haimes</i>	2-3
[2.4]	C.	1996 Amendment to Workers’ Compensation Law § 11.....	2-4
[2.5]	D.	<i>Owner Liability (Revised)</i>	2-5
[2.6]	III.	<i>The Danger to Be Protected Against (Revised)</i>	2-6
[2.7]	IV.	Those Who Are Members of the Class to Be Protected.....	2-8
[2.8]	V.	Type of Work Covered.....	2-9
[2.9]	VI.	The Duty Imposed by § 240(1).....	2-12
[2.10]	VII.	Establishing a Prima Facie Case.....	2-15
[2.11]	VIII.	Defenses.....	2-17
[2.12]	A.	The One- and Two-Family Exempt Defense.....	2-18
[2.13]	B.	The Professionals’ Exemption.....	2-18
[2.14]	C.	Jurisdictional Defenses.....	2-19
[2.15]	D.	The Loaned Servant Defense.....	2-19
[2.16]	IX.	Claims Brought under § 241.....	2-19
[2.17]	X.	Claims under § 241-A.....	2-22
[2.18]	XI.	Conclusion.....	2-23

Chapter 3 Medical Malpractice

Steven E. North, Esq.

Laurence M. Deutsch, Esq.

Part One: Practical Issues of Medical Malpractice

[3.0]	I.	Overview.....	3-1
[3.1]	II.	<i>Types of Medical Malpractice Cases (Revised)</i> ..	3-2
[3.2]	III.	<i>Referring Cases to Other Attorneys (Revised)</i> ...	3-3
[3.3]	IV.	Developing Your Practice.....	3-4
[3.4]	V.	The First Meeting with the Client.....	3-5
[3.5]	A.	Initial Meeting Is Critical.....	3-5
[3.6]	B.	Avoid Assigning “Value”.....	3-6
[3.7]	C.	Other Considerations.....	3-6

[3.8]	VI.	Commencing the Action	3-8
[3.9]	VII.	Retainer	3-10

Part Two: Substantive Issues in Medical Malpractice

[3.10]	I.	<i>What Is “Medical Malpractice”? (Revised)</i>	3-11
[3.11]	A.	Physician-Patient Relationship	3-15
[3.12]	B.	Duty and Breach	3-16
[3.12.1]	1.	<i>Liability for Injuries to “Third Parties” to Treatment</i>	
[3.12.2]	2.	<i>“Patient Dumping:” Still a Right Without a Remedy?</i>	
[3.13]	C.	<i>Proximate Causation/Substantial Factor (Revised)</i>	3-17
[3.13.1]	1.	<i>Punitive Damages in Medical Malpractice</i>	
[3.14]	D.	Lack of Informed Consent	3-21
[3.15]	II.	Defenses/Affirmative Defenses	3-23
[3.16]	A.	Notice of Claim.....	3-23
[3.17]	B.	Workers’ Compensation	3-24
[3.18]	C.	Statute of Limitations.....	3-25
[3.19]	D.	ERISA	3-28
[3.20]	III.	Vicarious Liability	3-28
[3.21]	IV.	Selection of Experts	3-33
[3.22]	V.	<i>Expert Testimony (Revised).....</i>	3-34
[3.22.1]	A.	<i>Clinical Practice Guidelines: “Learned Treatises” on Steroids?</i>	
[3.23]	VI.	Working up the Damages	3-43
[3.24]	VII.	Defense Counsel Considerations	3-44
[3.25]	VIII.	Final Considerations	3-45
[3.26]	IX.	Conclusion	3-46
Appendix		Public Health Law § 2805-d	3-47

**Chapter 4 Mass Tort Litigation in New York State Courts:
A Practice Manual**

Paul J. Pennock, Esq.

[4.0]	I.	Introduction.....	4-1
[4.1]	II.	Overview.....	4-2
[4.2]	A.	Months 1 Through 6	4-2
[4.3]	1.	Initial Case Filings.....	4-2
[4.4]	2.	<i>Petition for a Federal Multi-District Litigation (Revised)</i>	4-2

[4.5]	3.	Coalescence of a National Plaintiffs’ Leadership Group and the Same for New York	4-3
[4.6]	4.	Appointment of the MDL and the New York Coordination Court	4-3
[4.7]	B.	Months 6 Through 10.....	4-3
[4.8]	1.	Initial Case Management Conference and Issuance of Initial CMOs by the MDL Court and the New York Court....	4-3
[4.9]	2.	General Documentary Discovery CMO	4-3
[4.10]	3.	Case-Specific Document Discovery CMO (Revised)	4-3
[4.11]	4.	Defendant Deposition Protocols CMO..	4-3
[4.12]	C.	Months 10 Through 15.....	4-4
[4.13]	1.	Defendant Document Production CMO..	4-4
[4.14]	2.	“30b6 Depositions”	4-4
[4.15]	3.	CMO Setting “Discovery Pools” or “Trial Pools” of Plaintiff Cases	4-4
[4.16]	D.	Months 15 Through 24.....	4-4
[4.17]	1.	Discovery or, if Applicable, Trial Pool Cases Proceed	4-4
[4.18]	2.	Defendant Document Discovery Completed and Depositions Completed.....	4-4
[4.19]	E.	Months 24 Through 30.....	4-4
[4.20]	1.	Trial Case Preparation	4-4
[4.21]	2.	Secondary Discovery or Trial Pools Commence	4-5
[4.22]	F.	Months 30 Through 36.....	4-5
[4.23]	1.	Motions and <i>Daubert/Frye</i>	4-5
[4.24]	2.	Pre-trial Motions.....	4-5
[4.25]	3.	Deposition Designations.....	4-5
[4.26]	4.	Mediations	4-5
[4.27]	G.	Months 36 Through 48.....	4-5
[4.28]	1.	Trials.....	4-5
[4.29]	2.	Settlement.....	4-5
[4.30]	H.	Months 48 Through 66—Settlement Processing	4-6
[4.31]	III.	Mass Tort Claims versus Class Actions.....	4-6
[4.32]	IV.	A Mass Tort Coordination	4-11
[4.33]	V.	Venue; Forum Non Conveniens (Revised)	4-14
[4.34]	VI.	Initial Issues:The Individual Case in a Coordination Setting	4-20

[4.35]	A. Filing Pre-CMO	4-20
[4.36]	B. Service Considerations	4-22
[4.37]	C. CPLR 3211 Motions	4-22
[4.38]	D. The Transfer.....	4-23
[4.39]	E. Filing Procedures: A Few Minor Differences	4-24
[4.40]	VII. Organization of the Litigation.....	4-25
[4.41]	A. “Conference Practice” and CMOs	4-25
[4.42]	B. Motions	4-27
[4.43]	C. The Leadership Structure.....	4-27
[4.44]	VIII. Discovery of the Defendants.....	4-30
[4.45]	A. Confidentiality Orders	4-30
[4.46]	1. Options	4-30
[4.47]	2. Privilege and Redaction Logs.....	4-32
[4.48]	B. Methods of Document Production.....	4-33
[4.49]	1. Notices for Discovery and Inspection (NDI)	4-33
[4.50]	2. Custodial Method	4-34
[4.51]	C. The Format of the Document Production	4-35
[4.52]	IX. Coordination with the Federal MDL	4-36
[4.53]	A. Considerations for MDL “Coordination”	4-37
[4.54]	1. State Sovereignty.....	4-37
[4.55]	2. Docket Control	4-38
[4.56]	3. Judicial Economy and Transaction Costs	4-38
[4.57]	4. Judicial Courtesy	4-39
[4.58]	B. Document Discovery Coordination	4-39
[4.59]	C. Deposition Coordination.....	4-40
[4.60]	D. “Teaching Days” (Revised)	4-43
[4.61]	E. MDL “Assessments”.....	4-44
[4.62]	X. Case-Specific Discovery.....	4-46
[4.63]	A. Plaintiff Fact Sheets	4-46
[4.64]	1. Nature and Extent (Revised).....	4-46
[4.65]	2. “Deficiency Practice”	4-48
[4.66]	3. Dispensing with Bills of Particulars.....	4-50
[4.67]	B. Defendants’ Fact Sheets and Sales Representative Discovery	4-51
[4.68]	C. Depositions (Non-expert)	4-53
[4.69]	1. Plaintiffs and Friends/Family	4-54
[4.70]	2. Treating Physician Depositions and Use at Trial	4-55
[4.71]	3. Psychological Information Discovery and Depositions	4-57
[4.72]	4. Sales Representative Depositions.....	4-58

[4.73]	XI.	Expert Discovery	4-59
[4.74]	A.	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP)- Style Reports	4-60
[4.75]	B.	Expert Depositions	4-61
[4.76]	C.	Physical and Mental Examinations	4-61
[4.77]	D.	Timing of Expert Discovery	4-62
[4.78]	E.	<i>Frye</i>	4-62
[4.79]	XII.	Post-discovery/Pre-trial Practice.....	4-64
[4.80]	A.	Deadlines Dispensed With.....	4-64
[4.81]	B.	Trial Selection Procedures	4-65
[4.82]	C.	Trial Preparation Calendars	4-67
[4.83]	1.	Motions <i>in Limine</i>	4-67
[4.84]	2.	Scheduling Considerations for Motions ..	4-69
[4.85]	3.	Deposition Designations.....	4-69
[4.86]	4.	Witness and Exhibit Lists.....	4-70
[4.87]	XIII.	The Trial.....	4-72

Chapter 5 **The Plaintiff’s Products Liability Case in New York: A Practitioner’s Guide**

Jeffrey K. Anderson, Esq.

[5.0]	I.	Introduction.....	5-1
[5.1]	II.	The Substantive Law and Defenses	5-1
[5.2]	A.	Overview.....	5-1
[5.3]	B.	Legal Theories	5-4
[5.4]	1.	Negligence.....	5-5
[5.5]	2.	Strict Products Liability.....	5-7
[5.6]		<i>a. Manufacturer Liability</i> <i>(Revised)</i>	5-7
[5.7]		<i>b. Seller Liability (Revised)</i>	5-9
[5.8]		<i>3. Breach of Implied Warranty</i> <i>(Revised)</i>	5-10
[5.9]	C.	Nature of the Claimed Defect	5-13
[5.10]	1.	<i>Manufacturing Defect (Revised)</i>	5-13
[5.11]	2.	Design Defect	5-14
[5.12]		<i>a. Reasonable Safety (Revised)</i>	5-15
[5.13]		<i>b. Alternative Design (Revised)</i>	5-16
[5.14]	3.	<i>Failure to Warn (Revised)</i>	5-16
[5.15]		<i>a. Adequacy of Warning (Revised)</i>	5-18
[5.16]		<i>b. Duties of Various Parties</i> <i>(Revised)</i>	5-20
[5.17]		<i>c. Proximate Cause (Revised)</i>	5-20

[5.18]	<i>d. Obviousness of Danger (Revised)...</i>	5-23
[5.19]	<i>e. Knowledgeable User (Revised)</i>	5-24
[5.20]	<i>f. Continuing Duty to Warn (Revised)</i>	5-25
[5.21]	<i>g. Prescription Drugs and Medical Devices (Revised)</i>	5-28
[5.22]	<i>h. Bulk Supplier Doctrine</i>	5-29
[5.23]	<i>i. Duty to Warn in the Workplace (Revised)</i>	5-30
[5.24]	<i>j. Duty to Warn Where There Is “Material Alteration” of the Product (Revised)</i>	5-30
[5.25]	D. Defenses	5-33
[5.26]	1. <i>The Scarangella Defense (Revised).....</i>	5-33
[5.27]	2. <i>Comparative Fault and Product Misuse (Revised).....</i>	5-38
[5.28]	3. <i>Product Alteration (Revised).....</i>	5-40
[5.29]	4. <i>Daubert and Frye (Revised)</i>	5-42
[5.30]	a. <i>Daubert in Federal Court.....</i>	5-47
[5.31]	(i) <i>Brooks</i>	5-47
[5.32]	(ii) <i>Prohaska</i>	5-48
[5.33]	(iii) <i>Colon.....</i>	5-48
[5.34]	(iv) <i>Faryniarz.....</i>	5-50
[5.35]	(v) <i>Zaremba</i>	5-51
[5.36]	(vi) <i>Rypkema</i>	5-52
[5.37]	(vii) <i>Brazier.....</i>	5-54
[5.38]	(viii) <i>Rezulin Products Liability Litigation.....</i>	5-55
[5.39]	(ix) <i>Topliff.....</i>	5-55
[5.40]	b. <i>Daubert and Frye in New York State Court (Revised)</i>	5-56
[5.41]	(i) <i>Wahl</i>	5-57
[5.42]	(ii) <i>Clemente</i>	5-57
[5.43]	(iii) <i>Hoffman.....</i>	5-59
[5.44]	(iv) <i>Valentine</i>	5-59
[5.45]	(v) <i>Middleton</i>	5-59
[5.46]	(vi) <i>Selig.....</i>	5-60
[5.47]	(vii) <i>McCarthy</i>	5-60
[5.48]	(viii) <i>Frankson</i>	5-60
[5.49]	(ix) <i>Parker</i>	5-61
[5.50]	5. State of the Art	5-62
[5.51]	6. <i>Standards (Revised).....</i>	5-63
[5.52]	7. <i>Preemption (Revised).....</i>	5-65

[5.53]	8.	Spoliation.....	5-68
[5.54]	a.	<i>Thornhill</i>	5-69
[5.55]	b.	<i>Lawson</i>	5-70
[5.56]	c.	<i>Klein</i>	5-70
[5.57]	d.	<i>Amaris</i>	5-71
[5.58]	e.	<i>Cutroneo</i>	5-71
[5.59]	f.	<i>Walczak</i>	5-72
[5.60]	g.	<i>O'Brien</i>	5-73
[5.61]	9.	The “Government Contractor Defense”	5-73
[5.62]	10.	Contract Specifications.....	5-74
[5.63]	11.	Successor Corporation Liability	5-75
[5.64]	E.	Special Issues	5-77
[5.65]	1.	Evidence of Post-Manufacture Design Change	5-77
[5.66]	a.	<i>Caprara</i>	5-77
[5.67]	b.	<i>Rainbow</i>	5-78
[5.68]	c.	<i>Cover</i>	5-80
[5.69]	d.	<i>Haran</i>	5-81
[5.70]	e.	<i>Bolm</i>	5-82
[5.71]	f.	<i>Bartlett</i>	5-83
[5.72]	2.	Enhanced Injury—“Crashworthiness” ..	5-83
[5.73]	III.	Reflection.....	5-85

Chapter 6 Municipal Liability

Michael G. Bersani, Esq.

[6.0]	Overview	6-1
-------	----------------	-----

Part One: Procedural Law

[6.1]	I.	<i>Introduction (Revised)</i>	6-3
[6.2]	II.	Conditions Precedent to Suit.....	6-3
[6.3]	A.	<i>Notice of Claim (Revised)</i>	6-3
[6.4]	1.	When a Notice of Claim Is Required ...	6-4
[6.5]	2.	Time Limitations	6-5
[6.6]	3.	Accrual Date	6-6
[6.7]	4.	Tolling the 90-Day Period	6-7
[6.8]	5.	<i>Consequences of Lateness (Revised)</i> ...	6-7
[6.9]	6.	Equitable Estoppel.....	6-8
[6.10]	7.	Contents of Notice of Claim.....	6-8
[6.11]	B.	How to Serve the Notice of Claim	6-10
[6.12]	C.	Upon Whom to Serve the Notice of Claim...	6-10

[6.13]	D. The Application for Leave to Serve a Late Notice of Claim.....	6-11
[6.14]	E. Time Limit	6-12
[6.15]	F. Amending or Otherwise Correcting Notice of Claim	6-13
[6.16]	G. Other Conditions Precedent	6-14
[6.17]	1. Section 50-i(1)(b) Waiting Period	6-14
[6.18]	2. Section 50-h Examination	6-15
[6.19]	H. Filing Suit	6-16
[6.20]	1. Content	6-16
[6.21]	2. Statute of Limitations	6-16

Part Two: Substantive Law and Defenses

[6.22]	I. Sovereign Immunity	6-17
[6.23]	A. Generally.....	6-17
[6.24]	B. Absolute Versus Qualified Immunity	6-18
[6.25]	C. <i>Discretionary Versus Ministerial Acts (Revised)</i>	6-19
[6.26]	D. Judicial Immunity	6-20
[6.27]	E. Quasi-Judicial Immunity.....	6-21
[6.28]	F. Prosecutorial Immunity.....	6-21
[6.29]	G. Legislator Immunity	6-22
[6.30]	II. Failure to Provide Governmental Services: Special Relationship	6-22
[6.31]	A. Rule or Statute Creating a Special Relationship	6-22
[6.32]	B. The Public Corporation Assumes Positive Direction and Control in the Face of a Known, Blatant, and Dangerous Safety Violation	6-23
[6.33]	C. The Public Corporation Voluntarily Assumes a Duty That Generates Justifiable Reliance by the Person Who Benefits from the Duty.....	6-24
[6.34]	D. <i>Affirmative, as Opposed to Passive, Negligence or Wrong (Revised)</i>.....	6-26
[6.35]	III. Government Acting in a Non-Governmental Role.....	6-26
[6.36]	IV. <i>Prior Written Notice Requirements (Revised)</i> ...	6-28
[6.37]	A. Exceptions to the Prior Written Notice Requirement.....	6-32

[6.38]	B. “The Municipality Affirmatively Created the Hazard” Exception (Revised)	6-32
[6.39]	V. Special Use.....	6-33
[6.40]	VI. Common Types of Cases Brought Against Public Corporations	6-33
[6.41]	A. Premises Liability	6-33
[6.42]	B. Claims Involving Detainees.....	6-34
[6.43]	C. Common State Law Claims Against Police Officers	6-34
[6.44]	1. Excessive Force	6-34
[6.45]	2. Malicious Prosecution	6-35
[6.46]	3. False Imprisonment	6-35
[6.47]	4. False Arrest.....	6-35
[6.48]	5. Negligence in Pursuit of Criminal Suspects	6-36
[6.49]	6. Negligent Investigation of Crime	6-36
[6.50]	7. Other Claims Against Police Officers ...	6-36
[6.51]	D. Claims Against School Districts	6-37
[6.52]	1. Negligence in a School District’s Proprietary Role.....	6-37
[6.53]	2. Negligence in a School District’s Quasi-Parental Supervisory Capacity....	6-38
[6.54]	3. True Governmental Discretionary Acts...	6-38
[6.55]	E. Claims for Highway Design Defects	6-39
[6.56]	VII. Vehicular Liability	6-40
[6.57]	A. <i>Emergency Vehicles Covered Under Vehicle & Traffic Law § 1104 (Revised)</i>	6-40
[6.58]	B. Emergency Vehicles Covered Under Vehicle & Traffic Law § 1103(b)	6-41
[6.59]	VIII. Social Services Liability	6-42
[6.60]	IX. Claims Brought by Firefighters or Police Officers Under the Municipal Law	6-43
[6.61]	X. Damages Against a Public Corporation.....	6-44
Chapter 7	Premises Liability	
	<i>Evan M. Goldberg, Esq.</i>	
[7.0]	I. Overview	7-1
[7.1]	II. Legal Duty	7-1
[7.2]	A. Ownership.....	7-1
[7.3]	1. <i>Out-of-Possession Landowner (Revised).....</i>	7-1
[7.4]	2. Prior Owner	7-2

[7.5]	3. Owner of Land.....	7-3
[7.6]	4. Owner of Unit.....	7-3
[7.7]	B. Occupancy (Revised).....	7-3
[7.8]	C. Control (Revised)	7-4
[7.9]	D. Special Use (Revised).....	7-5
[7.10]	III. Standard of Care	7-7
[7.11]	A. Reasonably Safe Premises (Revised).....	7-8
[7.12]	B. Duty to Warn	7-8
[7.13]	C. Status of Plaintiff	7-9
[7.14]	1. Trespassers	7-9
[7.15]	2. Police Officers and Firefighters (Revised).....	7-10
[7.16]	D. Foreseeability.....	7-13
[7.17]	IV. Dangerous and Defective Conditions	7-13
[7.18]	A. Design and Construction.....	7-14
[7.19]	B. Sidewalks	7-15
[7.20]	C. Lighting.....	7-16
[7.21]	D. Waxed Floors.....	7-17
[7.22]	E. Floor Mats (Revised).....	7-18
[7.23]	F. Stairs	7-19
[7.24]	G. Handrails (Revised)	7-20
[7.25]	H. Security (Revised).....	7-22
[7.26]	I. Fire Safety Equipment	7-25
[7.27]	J. Elevators and Escalators (Revised).....	7-26
[7.28]	K. Window Guards	7-27
[7.29]	L. Transitory Conditions	7-28
[7.30]	M. Hot Water Burns (Revised).....	7-29
[7.31]	N. Lead Paint	7-29
[7.32]	O. Toxic Mold	7-31
[7.33]	P. Bodies of Water	7-32
[7.34]	Q. Animals (Revised)	7-33
[7.35]	R. Trees (Revised).....	7-35
[7.36]	V. Proof and Evidentiary Matters	7-35
[7.37]	A. <i>Res Ipsa Loquitur</i>	7-35
[7.38]	B. Circumstantial Evidence	7-36
[7.39]	C. Actual Notice	7-37
[7.40]	D. Constructive Notice (Revised).....	7-37
[7.41]	E. Creation of Defect (Revised).....	7-38
[7.42]	F. Spoliation	7-39
[7.43]	G. Prior Accidents	7-39
[7.44]	H. Statutes and Codes (Revised).....	7-40
[7.45]	I. Expert Testimony.....	7-41

[7.46]	VI.	Defenses	7-42
[7.47]	A.	<i>Assumption of Risk (Revised)</i>	7-42
[7.48]	B.	Superseding/Intervening Acts	7-44
[7.49]	C.	Open and Obvious Defect	7-45
[7.50]	D.	Trivial Defect	7-46
[7.51]	E.	Recreational Use Statute	7-47

Chapter 8 Nursing Homes in New York: Regulations and Liability

Jason Platt, Esq.

[8.0]	I.	<i>Introduction (Revised)</i>	8-1
[8.1]	II.	Basic Overview of Nursing Home Neglect and Abuse Litigation.....	8-1
[8.2]	A.	Nursing Homes Are Different.....	8-1
[8.3]	1.	Unique Settings	8-1
[8.4]	2.	Quality of Care Required.....	8-3
[8.5]	3.	Legislative Reforms.....	8-5
[8.6]	B.	The Regulations, Surveying Nursing Homes and the “Guidance To Surveyors”	8-6
[8.7]	C.	Causes of Action and Legal Duties of the Nursing Home	8-10
[8.8]	D.	Litigation Trends.....	8-11
[8.9]	III.	Pre-suit Considerations in Nursing Home Litigation.....	8-12
[8.10]	A.	The Initial Client Contact and Screening of a Case.....	8-12
[8.11]	1.	Records	8-13
[8.12]	2.	Witnesses	8-14
[8.13]	B.	Access to Records and the Right to Litigate... ..	8-15
[8.14]	1.	Access to Records.....	8-15
[8.15]	2.	Right to Litigate.....	8-16
[8.16]	C.	Types of Records and How to Secure Records	8-17
[8.17]	1.	Nursing Home Records	8-18
[8.18]	2.	Hospital Records	8-18
[8.19]	3.	State Surveys, Law Enforcement Investigation Reports and Other State Agency Investigations	8-19
[8.20]	D.	Other Pre-suit Investigations.....	8-21
[8.21]	E.	Possible Pre-suit Discovery	8-22
[8.22]	IV.	Statutory and Regulatory Protections of Nursing Home Residents	8-22

[8.23]	A. Federal Statute and Regulations	8-22
[8.24]	B. <i>New York State Statutes and Regulations</i> <i>(Revised)</i>	8-23
[8.25]	V. Violation of PHL § 2801-d	8-26
[8.26]	A. Introduction and Legislative History	8-26
[8.27]	B. PHL § 2801-d as a Separate and Additional Tort Remedy	8-28
[8.28]	C. Pleading and Proof	8-29
[8.29]	D. Extent of Liability Imposed by Violation of PHL § 2801-d.....	8-30
[8.30]	1. Strict Liability?.....	8-30
[8.31]	2. Negligence Per Se?.....	8-30
[8.32]	3. Evidence of Negligence?.....	8-34
[8.33]	VI. General Regulations to Protect Nursing Home Residents	8-35
[8.34]	A. The Facility Must Be Safe	8-36
[8.35]	B. The Residents Must Be Provided Necessary Care	8-36
[8.36]	C. Investigation and Reporting of Incidents or Violations Involving Residents.....	8-39
[8.37]	D. Duty to Assess and Plan the Care	8-40
[8.38]	VII. Regulations in Falls and Ulcer Cases	8-41
[8.39]	A. Introduction to Falls Cases	8-42
[8.40]	1. Regulations in the Falls Case	8-43
[8.41]	a. Intent of 42 C.F.R. § 483.25(h)(2), 10 N.Y.C.R.R. § 415.12(h)(2): What Does the Regulation Mean?..	8-44
[8.42]	b. Procedures under 42 C.F.R. § 483.25(h)(2); 10 N.Y.C.R.R. § 415.12(h)(2).....	8-45
[8.43]	c. Probes under 42 C.F.R. § 483.25(h)(2), 10 N.Y.C.R.R. § 415.12(h)(2).....	8-50
[8.44]	2. Investigating the Falls Case.....	8-52
[8.45]	a. History	8-52
[8.46]	b. N.Y. State DOH Surveys.....	8-52
[8.47]	c. Assessments and Care Plans.....	8-52
[8.48]	3. Special Topics Involved in Falls Cases.	8-53
[8.49]	a. Toileting Schedule.....	8-54
[8.50]	b. Cognitive Loss.....	8-54
[8.51]	c. Pattern of Prior Falls.....	8-54
[8.52]	d. Death with or Shortly after the Fall.....	8-54

[8.53]	e. Medications and Polypharmacy	8-54
[8.54]	f. Restraints	8-55
[8.55]	B. Introduction to Pressure Ulcer Cases	8-56
[8.56]	1. Regulations in the Pressure Ulcer Case.	8-56
[8.57]	a. Intent.....	8-57
[8.58]	b. Procedures	8-58
[8.59]	c. Probes	8-62
[8.60]	(1) First Probe	8-62
[8.61]	(2) The Second Probe	8-63
[8.62]	2. Special Topics in Evaluating Pressure Ulcer Cases.....	8-64
[8.63]	a. Was the Resident Ambulatory or Immobile?.....	8-64
[8.64]	b. At What Stage Were the Bedsores?	8-65
[8.65]	c. Was the Resident Incontinent?	8-65
[8.66]	d. Through MDS Analysis, the Following Areas Will Trigger a RAP for Pressure Ulcers.....	8-66
[8.67]	e. Urine Smell.....	8-66
[8.68]	f. Dehydration and/or Malnutrition....	8-66
[8.69]	g. Admission Assessment.....	8-67
[8.70]	h. Turned and Repositioned.....	8-68
[8.71]	i. Circulatory Disease	8-68
[8.72]	j. Cognitive Loss.....	8-68
[8.73]	k. Medications	8-69
[8.74]	l. Restraints	8-69
[8.75]	m. Plaintiff's Family's Deposition	8-69
[8.76]	n. Defendant's Deposition	8-69
[8.77]	o. Stasis Ulcer	8-69
[8.78]	VIII. Statute of Limitations Concerns.....	8-69
[8.79]	A. Violation of PHL § 2801-d	8-69
[8.80]	B. Alternate Periods of Limitation	8-70
[8.81]	C. <i>Medical Malpractice (Two Years, Six Months) Versus Negligence (Three Years) (Revised)</i>	8-70
[8.82]	D. <i>Extending the Applicable Statute of Limitations (Revised)</i>	8-72
[8.83]	E. The Saving Statute (CPLR 205(a))	8-73
[8.84]	IX. Disclosure and Admissibility of Documents	8-75

[8.85]	A. Discoverability of Surveys, Investigations, Reports, and/or Written Determinations Relating to a Complaint Report Made Pursuant to PHL § 2803-d	8-75
[8.86]	B. Discoverability of Nursing Home Documents (Revised)	8-76
[8.87]	C. Discoverability of Hospital Documents.....	8-78
[8.88]	D. Admissibility of Department of Health Surveys or Investigative Reports	8-79
[8.89]	E. Use and Admissibility of Guidelines and Internal Policies and Procedures.....	8-80
[8.90]	1. Guidelines.....	8-80
[8.91]	2. Policies and Procedures.....	8-82
[8.92]	X. Liens—Medicaid and Medicare.....	8-82
[8.93]	A. Medicaid Liens	8-83
[8.94]	1. The Live Plaintiff	8-83
[8.95]	a. <i>Ahlborn</i> , the U.S. Supreme Court and New York State Law	8-84
[8.96]	b. PHL § 2801-d(5)—No Medicaid Liens for Live Nursing Home Neglect Victims.....	8-89
[8.97]	c. The Live Nursing Home Resident Is Exempt from Liens	8-91
[8.98]	2. The Deceased Plaintiff and Medicaid Liens	8-91
[8.99]	3. Wrongful Death Exception.....	8-92
[8.100]	4. Surviving Spouse Exception	8-93
[8.101]	B. Medicare Liens	8-94
Exhibit A	Legislative Memoranda: Nursing Home Actions by Patients/Medicaid Reimbursements.....	8-97
Exhibit B	Letter re: Release of CNA Accountability Records	8-99
Exhibit C	Pressure Ulcers Checklist	8-101
Exhibit D	Wound Care and Nursing Home Liability	8-105
Exhibit E	Resident Assessment Protocol: Falls	8-113
Appendix A	PHL § 2801-d (Revised).....	8-117
Appendix B	Discussion of Case Law Relative to Causes of Action under PHL § 2801-d.....	8-121

Chapter 9 New York No-Fault Practice

Lawrence N. Rogak, Esq.

[9.0]	I.	Why Should I Concern Myself with No-Fault? ...	9-1
[9.2]	II.	Overview of No-Fault Practice	9-1
[9.3]	III.	No-Fault Statutes and Regulations.....	9-3
[9.4]	IV.	Who Pays the No-Fault Benefits?.....	9-6
[9.5]	A.	APIP	9-7
[9.6]	B.	OBEL	9-8
[9.7]	V.	When Does No-Fault Coverage Apply to an Accident?	9-8
[9.8]	VI.	Who Is Covered by No-Fault?	9-9
[9.9]	VII.	The No-Fault Claim Process	9-12
[9.10]	VIII.	Claimant’s Remedies when a Claim Is Denied....	9-15
[9.11]	IX.	Establishing a Prima Facie Case	9-16
[9.12]	X.	Interest and Attorney Fees	9-18
[9.13]	XI.	Infants and Undocumented Aliens	9-19
[9.14]	XII.	Trial of a No-Fault Suit.....	9-20
[9.15]	A.	Prima Facie Case.....	9-21
[9.16]	B.	Grounds for Denial	9-24
[9.17]	C.	Medical Necessity	9-25
[9.18]	D.	End of the Trial	9-27
Appendix		No-Fault in a Nutshell.....	9-29

**Chapter 10 Litigating the Difficult Serious Injury Threshold
Cases: Understanding the Proof Needed to Prevail**

Patrick J. Higgins, Esq.

[10.0]	I.	Introduction.....	10-1
[10.1]	II.	The Court of Appeals in <i>Toure</i> and <i>Pommells</i> — Setting Standards of Proof on Serious Injury Threshold Claims	10-1
[10.2]	A.	<i>Toure</i>	10-2
[10.3]	I.	<i>The Evidentiary Tests (Revised)</i>	10-2
[10.3.1]	a.	<i>Procedural Background and Skepticism of the Court</i>	
[10.3.2]	b.	<i>Rejection of the Contemporaneous Quantitative Measurement Requirement</i>	
[10.3.3]	c.	<i>Causation in Perl</i>	
[10.3.4]	d.	<i>The Lack of Evidence Supporting the 90/180 day Category in Travis</i>	

[10.4]	2.	Application of the Evidentiary Tests in <i>Toure</i>	10-3
[10.5]	B.	<i>Pommells</i>	10-5
[10.6]	III.	Aftermath—The Lower Courts Apply <i>Toure</i> and <i>Pommells</i>	10-9
[10.7]	A.	The Current Proof Requirements	10-9
[10.8]	1.	<i>Causation (Revised)</i>	10-9
[10.9]	2.	The Gap or Cessation in Treatment.....	10-11
[10.10]	a.	Who Should Explain the Gap?	10-13
[10.11]	b.	The Proof Needed to Satisfy a Gap .	10-14
[10.12]	c.	The Gap on 90/180 Claims	10-14
[10.13]	3.	Need for Objective Medical Proof of Injury	10-15
[10.14]	a.	<i>Herniated and Bulging Discs</i> <i>(Revised)</i>	10-16
[10.15]	b.	Radiculopathy	10-17
[10.16]	c.	Spasms	10-17
[10.17]	d.	Tendon Tears	10-17
[10.18]	e.	Subjective Complaints of Pain and Headaches	10-18
[10.19]	4.	Need for an Objective Basis for the Quantitative/Qualitative Assessments of Plaintiff’s Limitations/Severity of Injury	10-18
[10.20]	a.	<i>The Need to Identify the Objective</i> <i>Tests (Revised)</i>	10-18
[10.21]	b.	<i>The Timing of the Objective Tests</i> <i>(Revised)</i>	10-19
[10.22]	c.	<i>Objective Numeric Quantitative</i> <i>Assessment of Loss of Range of</i> <i>Motion (Revised)</i>	10-19
[10.23]	d.	The Need for an Objective Qualitative Assessment.....	10-21
[10.24]	5.	<i>The Need for the 90/180-Day Record to</i> <i>Rest on Competent Medical Proof</i> <i>(Revised)</i>	10-22
[10.25]	6.	The 90/180-Day Claim and a Later Defense Medical Affidavit	10-22
[10.26]	IV.	Evidentiary Issues on the Summary Judgment Motion.....	10-22
[10.27]	A.	<i>The Burden-Shifting Mechanism</i> <i>(Revised)</i>	10-22

[10.28]	B.	Marshaling the Proof—The Evidentiary Standards Applicable to the Motion	10-25
[10.29]	1.	The General Rule of Admissibility.....	10-25
[10.30]	2.	Unsworn Reports and Records	10-26
[10.31]	a.	Inadmissible	10-26
[10.32]	b.	Admissible	10-26
[10.33]	3.	Competence of the Medical Witness to Render an Opinion.....	10-26
[10.34]	4.	Foundation for Expert Report Held Inadmissible.....	10-27
[10.35]	5.	MRI Reports Held Admissible	10-27
[10.36]	6.	<i>The Plaintiff’s Affidavit (Revised)</i>	10-27
[10.37]	C.	<i>Checklist for Prevailing on the Serious Injury Threshold in the Three Categories at Bar (Revised)</i>	10-28
[10.38]	V.	Conclusion	10-29

Chapter 11 Managing the Plaintiff’s SUM/UIM Claim
Donald P. Ford, Jr., Esq.

[11.0]	I.	Introduction.....	11-1
[11.1]	II.	Events that Trigger Coverage	11-2
[11.2]	A.	Plaintiff’s Bodily Injury (BI) Policy Limits Must Exceed Defendant’s	11-3
[11.3]	B.	A Tortfeasor Must Offer His or Her Full Policy Limits	11-4
[11.4]	C.	Plaintiff Must Obtain the SUM Carrier’s Consent Prior to Settling the BI Lawsuit	11-5
[11.5]	III.	Calculation of the SUM Benefit	11-7
[11.6]	IV.	No-Fault Threshold.....	11-9
[11.7]	V.	Notice.....	11-10
[11.8]	VI.	Conclusion	11-13

SECTION III—CLIENTS WITH DIFFERING CAUSES OF ACTION AND NEED

Chapter 12 The Infant Settlement in New York and Infant’s Structured Settlements: Almost All You Need to Know (And Forms Too)
Justice Paul A. Victor

Part 1: Settlement of Claims Made by Infants and Impaired Persons

[12.1]	I.	The Court’s Duty to Protect.....	12-1
--------	----	----------------------------------	------

[12.2]	II. Strict Compliance with Rules and Statutes (Revised)	12-1
[12.3]	A. Part Rules and Forms	12-2
[12.4]	B. Full Disclosure Required	12-2
[12.5]	III. The Application and Supporting Documents.....	12-3
[12.6]	A. An Ex Parte Application, Motion or Special Proceeding?.....	12-3
[12.7]	B. Notice of Settlement for Structured Settlements	12-4
[12.8]	C. The Proposed Order	12-4
[12.9]	D. The Petitioner’s Affidavit	12-4
[12.10]	1. Qualification of Petitioner (Revised) ...	12-4
[12.11]	2. Petitioner’s Understanding of the Settlement	12-5
[12.12]	3. Injuries and Recent and/or Current Complaints.....	12-5
[12.13]	4. Medical Services and Expenses	12-6
[12.14]	E. Affirmation of Counsel	12-6
[12.15]	1. Reasons for Recommending the Settlement	12-7
[12.16]	2. Retainer Statement, Compliance, Fees and Disbursements	12-8
[12.17]	3. No Conflicts of Interest	12-9
[12.18]	4. Recent and/or Current Complaints	12-9
[12.19]	5. Medical Services, Expenses and Liens..	12-10
[12.20]	F. Infants Over the Age of 14	12-10
[12.21]	G. Supporting Medical Proof.....	12-11
[12.22]	H. Other Necessary and/or Helpful Exhibits	12-13
[12.23]	IV. The Hearing	12-14
[12.24]	V. Liens and Other Charges (Revised)	12-15
[12.25]	A. Medicaid Funded Health Care Plans	12-18
[12.26]	B. Non-Assertion of a Lien (Revised)	12-18
[12.27]	C. Medicare	12-19
[12.28]	D. Private Insurance and Liens (Revised)	12-20
[12.28.1]	E. The Medical Indemnity Fund and Infant Settlement	
[12.28.2]	F. The Supplemental or Special Needs Trust	
[12.29]	VI. Recalcitrant Parent, Guardian or Infant	12-20
[12.30]	A. <i>De Forte</i>	12-21
[12.31]	B. <i>Stahl</i>	12-21

[12.32]	C. <i>Sutherland</i>	12-22
[12.33]	D. Evolution of the Law Since <i>Sutherland</i>	12-23
[12.34]VII.	Application to Withdraw Funds	12-24

Part 2: An Anatomy of a Structured Settlement

[12.35]I.	Structured Settlements (and Post-settlement Trusts)	12-27
[12.36]II.	Benefits of the Annuity	12-28
[12.37]	A. Personally Designed Payment Schedule	12-29
[12.38]	B. Spendthrift Protection	12-29
[12.39]	C. Safe, Guaranteed Tax-Free Growth Without Costs or Fees	12-30
[12.40]	D. Settlements Are Facilitated	12-31
[12.41]	E. A Supplemental Needs Trust Can Be the Payee	12-31
[12.42]III.	Tax Code Compliance.....	12-31
[12.43]	A. Total Settlement Amount	12-32
[12.44]	B. Total Settlement Payout vs. Total Settlement Cost	12-32
[12.45]	C. All Documents Must Be Consistent.....	12-33
[12.46]IV.	The Structured Settlement Documents	12-33
[12.47]	A. The Court's Form Order	12-35
[12.48]	B. The Settlement Agreement	12-35
[12.49]	C. The Assignment Agreement	12-36
[12.50]	D. The Annuity Contract	12-36
[12.51]	E. The Guaranty Agreement.....	12-37
[12.52]	F. Structure Broker's Affidavit	12-38
[12.53]V.	Due Diligence	12-40
[12.54]	A. The Cost of the Annuity.....	12-40
[12.55]	B. Independence of the Structure Broker	12-41
[12.56]	C. Compensation for the Broker.....	12-43
[12.57]	D. Guaranteed Payments.....	12-44
[12.58]	E. Lock-in Quotes and Notices.....	12-45
[12.59]	F. Qualified Settlement Fund	12-46
[12.60]VI.	Can a Trust Qualify as a Structured Settlement? (Definitions and Guidelines).....	12-48
[12.61]	A. The Halpern Group Trust.....	12-48
[12.62]	B. New York State Statutory Provisions	12-49
[12.63]	C. IRC §§ 104, 130 and 5891	12-51
[12.64]	D. The National Structured Settlement Trade Association.....	12-52
[12.65]VII.	Applications to Transfer Structured Settlement Payments	12-53

[12.66]	A. Legislative History.....	12-53
[12.67]	B. GOL §§ 5-1701–5-1709.....	12-53
[12.68]	C. Sample Decisions Dealing with Applications to Transfer.....	12-55
[12.69]	VIII. Liquidation by Commutation.....	12-60
Appendix A	Worksheet/Checklist for Compromise Applications.....	12-61
Appendix B	Affidavit and Certificate of Reliability and Assurance.....	12-71
Appendix C	Standard Compromise Order.....	12-75
Appendix D	Simple Order for a Structured Settlement.....	12-79
Appendix E	Complex Order for a Structured Settlement.....	12-95
Appendix F	Rules for Settlement of Claims by Infants and Impaired Persons.....	12-113
Appendix G	Settlement Agreement and Release.....	12-147
Chapter 13	Wrongful Death Claims in New York State <i>Stephen G. Schwarz, Esq.</i>	
[13.0]	I. Common Law History.....	13-1
[13.1]	II. Statutory Remedies.....	13-1
[13.2]	A. Survival Claims.....	13-1
[13.3]	B. Wrongful Death Claims.....	13-2
[13.4]	III. Survival Claims.....	13-2
[13.5]	A. Recoverable Damages.....	13-2
[13.6]	1. Pain and Suffering.....	13-2
[13.7]	2. Other Damages.....	13-3
[13.8]	B. Statute of Limitations (Revised).....	13-3
[13.9]	IV. Wrongful Death Claims.....	13-5
[13.10]	A. Who Can Bring a Wrongful Death Claim	13-5
[13.11]	B. Stillbirths.....	13-5
[13.12]	C. Class of Beneficiaries.....	13-6
[13.13]	D. Statute of Limitations (Revised).....	13-7
[13.14]	E. Pleading a Wrongful Death Claim.....	13-8
[13.14.1]	1. Altered Burden of Proof in a Wrongful Death Case	
[13.15]	F. Recoverable Damages (Revised).....	13-8
[13.16]	G. Reasonable Expectation of Support.....	13-9
[13.17]	H. Elements of Pecuniary Loss.....	13-10
[13.18]	1. Loss of Earnings.....	13-10
[13.19]	2. Loss of Services.....	13-11
[13.20]	3. Loss of Guidance and Nurture (Revised).....	13-12

[13.21]	4. Loss of Inheritance	13-13
[13.22]	I. Pecuniary Loss When Decedent Is a Minor..	13-13
[13.23]	J. Punitive Damages	13-14
[13.24]	K. <i>Interest on Wrongful Death Awards</i> <i>(Revised)</i>	13-14
[13.25]	L. Structured Judgment Statutes.....	13-16
[13.26]	M. Allocation of Pecuniary Loss by the Jury	13-16
[13.27]	N. Procedure for Compromising Wrongful Death Claims.....	13-17
[13.28]	O. Allocation of Wrongful Death Settlement Proceeds	13-19

Chapter 14 Handling Immigration Issues in Personal Injury Cases

Stephen Yale-Loehr, Esq.

Anna Angel, Esq.

[14.0] I.	<i>Introduction (Revised)</i>	14-1
[14.1] II.	Determining a Plaintiff’s Immigration Status and Work Documents	14-1
[14.2] III.	<i>Hoffman Plastic</i>	14-2
[14.3] IV.	Immigration Issues in Workers’ Compensation Cases	14-3
[14.4] V.	Pre- <i>Balbuena</i> Personal Injury Cases in New York.....	14-4
[14.5] VI.	<i>Balbuena v. IDR Realty</i>	14-6
[14.6] VII.	<i>Post-Balbuena Cases (Revised)</i>	14-7
[14.7] VIII.	Practical Issues.....	14-11
[14.8] IX.	Calculating Damages	14-12
[14.9] X.	Conclusion	14-13

Chapter 15 The Intersection of Bankruptcy and Personal Injury Law

Paul A. Levine, Esq.

[15.0] I.	Introduction.....	15-1
[15.1]	A. <i>Applicable Law (Revised)</i>	15-1
[15.2]	B. The Parties	15-2
[15.3]	1. The Court.....	15-2
[15.4]	2. Debtors	15-2
[15.5]	3. Trustees.....	15-4
[15.6] II.	The Personal Injury Plaintiff as Debtor	15-5
[15.7]	A. <i>The Claim or Cause of Action Is Property</i> <i>of the Bankruptcy Estate (Revised)</i>	15-5

[15.7.1]	1. <i>New York Courts Differ in Their Treatment of Chapter 13 Debtor’s Failure to Include a Claim</i>	
[15.8]	B. The Chapter 7 Trustee Controls the Case	15-7
[15.9]	C. Who Is Your Client	15-7
[15.10]	D. Being Retained by the Trustee	15-9
[15.11]	E. Settlement and Compromise of Claims	15-10
[15.12]	F. Contingency Fee	15-11
[15.13]	G. <i>Exemptions (Revised)</i>.....	15-12
[15.14]III.	The Personal Injury Defendant as Debtor.....	15-14
[15.15]	A. The Automatic Stay	15-14
[15.16]	B. Claims Against the Estate	15-15
[15.17]	C. Objections to Discharge.....	15-15

SECTION IV—STARTING THE ACTION AND DISCOVERY

Chapter 16 Investigating and Starting the Action

Gary B. Pillersdorf, Esq.

[16.0] I.	Introduction.....	16-1
[16.1] II.	Preliminary Investigation.....	16-1
[16.2]	A. Client Interview	16-2
[16.3]	B. Basic Areas of Inquiry	16-2
[16.4]	C. Liability.....	16-2
[16.5]	D. Injury.....	16-3
[16.6]	E. Causation	16-3
[16.7]	F. Coverage	16-4
[16.8]	G. Intangibles of Success.....	16-4
[16.9]	H. Quantifying Your Decision.....	16-5
[16.10]III.	The Full Investigation	16-5
[16.11]	A. Overview: Purpose of the Investigation.....	16-5
[16.12]	1. Evaluation.....	16-6
[16.13]	2. Settlement.....	16-6
[16.14]	3. Trial	16-6
[16.15]	B. Methods of Investigation	16-7
[16.16]	1. Checklists	16-7
[16.17]	2. The Interview	16-7
[16.18]	3. Telephone Contact.....	16-8
[16.19]	4. On-Site Investigation.....	16-9
[16.20]	5. In-house Investigators	16-9
[16.21]	6. Private Investigators	16-10
[16.22]	7. Accident Reconstructionist.....	16-11
[16.23]IV.	Obtaining Liability Documentation	16-11

[16.24]	A. Police Records in General.....	16-11
[16.25]	B. The Police Report	16-12
[16.26]	1. Content and Uses.....	16-12
[16.27]	2. How to Obtain a Police Report.....	16-13
[16.28]	3. Admissibility	16-14
[16.29]V.	Accident Investigation Squad Material and Mechanical Reports	16-15
[16.30]	A. Content and Uses	16-15
[16.31]	B. How to Obtain an AIS Report.....	16-15
[16.32]	C. Admissibility of a Photograph	16-16
[16.33]VI.	Summons and Convictions	16-16
[16.34]	A. Content and Uses	16-16
[16.35]	B. How to Obtain a Defendant’s Prior Convictions	16-16
[16.36]	C. Admissibility	16-17
[16.37]VII.	Department of Motor Vehicle Records.....	16-18
[16.38]	A. Vehicle Ownership.....	16-18
[16.39]	B. Insurance	16-18
[16.40]	C. Photographs.....	16-19
[16.41]	1. Content and Uses.....	16-19
[16.42]	2. How to Obtain Photographs	16-19
[16.43]	3. Admissibility of Photographs	16-20
[16.44]	D. Plot Plans, Diagrams, and Topographical Maps.....	16-21
[16.45]	1. Content and Uses.....	16-21
[16.46]	2. How to Obtain Plans, Diagrams and Maps	16-21
[16.47]	3. Admissibility of Plans, Diagrams and Maps	16-23
[16.48]	E. Weather Conditions and Report.....	16-23
[16.49]	1. Content and Uses.....	16-23
[16.50]	2. How to Obtain Weather Reports	16-23
[16.51]	3. Admissibility of Weather Reports	16-23
[16.52]	F. Medical Records	16-24
[16.53]VIII.	Starting the Action	16-24
[16.54]	A. Pre-filing Actions.....	16-25
[16.55]	1. No-Fault Claim Notice	16-25
[16.56]	2. Claim Letter to Defendant.....	16-25
[16.57]	3. Notice of Claim to Municipalities	16-25
[16.58]	B. Filing the Summons and Complaint	16-25
Appendix A	Net Fee Evaluation.....	16-27

Appendix B	Sample Auto Case Checklist.....	16-29
Appendix C	Auto Investigation Worksheet	16-31

Chapter 17 Discovery

David Paul Horowitz, Esq.

[17.0]	I.	Introduction.....	17-1
[17.1]	II.	Overview of New York Civil Disclosure	17-1
[17.2]	III.	Scope of Disclosure	17-3
[17.3]	IV.	Judicial Supervision of Disclosure	17-5
[17.4]	V.	Pre-Action Disclosure.....	17-5
[17.5]	VI.	Notices for Discovery and Inspection.....	17-6
[17.6]	VII.	Depositions	17-6
[17.7]	A.	The New Deposition Rules	17-6
[17.8]	B.	Delaying Objections Until Trial	17-7
[17.9]	C.	Raising Objections That Must Be Made at Deposition.....	17-8
[17.10]	D.	Objecting to Qualifications of Person Before Whom a Deposition Is Taken	17-9
[17.11]	E.	Objecting to Competency of Witness	17-9
[17.12]	F.	Raising Objections at Depositions.....	17-10
[17.13]	G.	Leaving the Deposition Room to Confer.....	17-11
[17.14]	H.	Making a Record.....	17-12
[17.15]	I.	The Difficult Deposition Adversary	17-12
[17.16]	J.	Tips for Dealing with a Difficult Adversary.	17-15
[17.17]	K.	Tips for Preparing Witnesses.....	17-16
[17.18]	VIII.	Interrogatories.....	17-18
[17.19]	IX.	Notices to Admit.....	17-19
[17.20]	X.	Expert Disclosure.....	17-20
[17.21]	XI.	Disclosure Motions	17-28
[17.22]	XII.	Conclusion	17-28

Chapter 18 The Successful Bill of Particulars

Patrick J. Higgins, Esq.

[18.0]	I.	<i>The Statutory Framework (Revised)</i>	18-1
[18.1]	II.	<i>What the Bill of Particulars Must Provide (Revised)</i>	18-1
[18.2]	III.	The Bill of Particulars in a Personal Injury Action.....	18-2
[18.3]	IV.	Specific Demands Most Commonly Litigated....	18-3
[18.4]	A.	<i>Overbroad and Burdensome Demands (Revised)</i>	18-3
[18.5]	B.	Demands for Interrogatories	18-4

[18.6]	C. Demands for Evidentiary Matter (Revised)	18-4
[18.7]	D. Demands for Witnesses.....	18-5
[18.8]	E. Demand for Attorney Work Product and Legal Basis for Claim	18-5
[18.9]	F. Demand for Expert Disclosure (Revised)....	18-6
[18.10]	G. Demand for Defect in Products Liability Action.....	18-6
[18.11]	H. Demands for Causation Statements and Opinions (Revised)	18-7
[18.12]	I. Demands for Statutes, Ordinances, and Laws (Revised).....	18-8
[18.13]	J. Demand for a Breakdown of Future or General Damages	18-9
[18.14]V.	The Bill of Particulars in the Medical Malpractice Action (Revised).....	18-10
[18.15]VI.	Labor Law § 241(6) Cases (Revised).....	18-11
[18.16]VII.	Procedure for Answering and Objecting to Demands for Verified Bills	18-12
[18.17]VIII.	Supplementing and Amending the Bill of Particulars	18-13
[18.18]	A. The Amended Bill of Particulars (Revised)	18-13
[18.19]	B. The Supplemental Bill of Particulars (Revised)	18-14
[18.20]IX.	The Interplay Between the Bill of Particulars and Expert Disclosure	18-17
[18.21]X.	Conclusion	18-17
Appendix	Checklist	18-19

VOLUME TWO

SECTION V—LITIGATING THE PERSONAL INJURY ACTION IN DIFFERENT FORUMS

Chapter 19 Court of Claims—Jurisdiction

Robert T. DeCataldo, Esq.

Eileen F. Fazzino, Esq.

Stephen Weinberg, Esq.

Kevin MacDonald, Esq.

Part One: Introduction

[19.0] I.	A Brief History and Background	19-1
[19.1] II.	<i>Major Developments in Court of Claims Practice (Revised)</i>	<i>19-1</i>

Part Two: Procedure

[19.2] I.	<i>Types of Cases: Law Versus Equity (Revised)</i> ...	<i>19-3</i>
[19.3] II.	<i>Entities Over Which the Court of Claims Has Jurisdiction (Revised)</i>	<i>19-5</i>
[19.4] III.	<i>Obtaining Jurisdiction (Revised)</i>	<i>19-6</i>
[19.5] IV.	Contents of Pleadings	19-7
[19.6]	A. Claims	19-7
[19.7]	1. <i>Cases on the Issue of the Sufficiency of the Claim with Respect to “Time When and Place Where” (Revised)</i>	<i>19-9</i>
[19.8]	2. <i>Additional Cases on the Issue of the Sufficiency of the Claim with Respect to the “Nature” of the Claim (Revised)</i>	<i>19-9</i>
[19.9]	B. Jurisdictional Defenses	19-9
[19.10]	C. Amendment of Pleadings.....	19-10
[19.11]	<i>D. Verification of Claim (Revised)</i>	<i>19-10</i>
[19.12] V.	Time Limitations.....	19-11
[19.13]	A. Personal Injury versus Wrongful Death Claims	19-13
[19.14]	B. Application of CPLR Article 2	19-14
[19.15]	C. Court of Claims Act § 10(5)	19-15
[19.16]	<i>D. Accrual (Revised)</i>	<i>19-15</i>
[19.17]	E. Estoppel and Waiver.....	19-17
[19.18]	F. Late Filing Remedies	19-17
[19.19]	1. Motion for Permission to File a Late Claim (Court of Claims Act § 10[6])	19-17

[19.20]	<i>a. Timeliness of Application (Revised)</i>	19-18
[19.21]	<i>b. Service (Revised)</i>	19-18
[19.22]	<i>c. Motion Papers (Revised)</i>	19-18
[19.23]	d. Statutory Factors	19-19
[19.24]	(1) Excuse for the Delay in Filing	19-19
[19.25]	(2) Whether Defendant Had Notice of the Essential Facts Underlying the Claim	19-20
[19.26]	(3) Whether Defendant Had an Opportunity to Investigate the Circumstances Underlying the Claim	19-20
[19.27]	(4) Whether the Failure to Serve the Defendant Within the Statutory Period Resulted in Substantial Prejudice to the Defendant	19-20
[19.28]	(5) <i>Appearance of Merit (Revised)</i>	19-22
[19.29]	(6) Availability of Alternative Remedy	19-23
[19.30]	2. Motion to Treat a Notice of Intention as a Claim (Revised)	19-23

Part Three: Substantive Law Issues

[19.31]I.	Immunity	19-24
[19.32]	A. Governmental Versus Proprietary Function (Revised)	19-24
[19.33]	B. Special Duty	19-24
[19.34]	C. Discretionary Versus Ministerial Acts (Revised)	19-25
[19.35]II.	Appropriation Claims.....	19-26
[19.36]	A. Basic Valuation Concepts (Revised)	19-26
[19.37]	1. Market Data Approach (Revised)	19-26
[19.38]	2. Income Approach	19-27
[19.39]	3. Capitalization of Income	19-27
[19.40]	B. Cost Approach	19-27
[19.41]	C. Partial Takings	19-27
[19.42]	1. “Before” and “After” Values (Revised)	19-27

[19.43]	2. <i>Direct and Consequential Damages (Revised)</i>	19-27
[19.44]	D. <i>Consequential Damage Cases (Revised)</i>	19-28
[19.45]	E. <i>EDPL § 701—Additional Allowance (Revised)</i>	19-28
[19.45.1]	F. <i>Special Proceedings</i>	
[19.46]III.	Contract Claims—Public Improvement Contracts	19-29
[19.47]	A. Defective Specifications Causing Damages to Contractor.....	19-30
[19.48]	B. Changes.....	19-30
[19.49]	C. Changed Conditions.....	19-31
[19.50]	D. Delays—“No Damages for Delays” Clauses.....	19-31
[19.51]	E. Fraudulent Misrepresentation of Working Conditions.....	19-31
[19.52]IV.	Tort Liability.....	19-31
[19.53]	A. General Principles.....	19-31
[19.54]	B. Multiple Tortfeasors and Joint and Several Liability.....	19-32
[19.55]	C. <i>State as Landowner (Revised)</i>	19-32
[19.56]	D. State as Custodian of Persons.....	19-33
[19.57]	1. <i>Prisons (Revised)</i>	19-33
[19.58]	2. Parole Board.....	19-34
[19.59]	3. <i>State Hospitals (Revised)</i>	19-35
[19.60]	E. Police Power.....	19-35
[19.61]	1. <i>False Arrest and Malicious Prosecution (Revised)</i>	19-35
[19.62]	2. <i>Assault and Battery (Revised)</i>	19-37
[19.63]	3. <i>Unjust Conviction and Imprisonment (Revised)</i>	19-37
[19.64]	F. Highway Negligence.....	19-38
[19.65]	G. Constitutional Torts.....	19-40

Chapter 20 The Plaintiff’s Personal Injury Case in Federal Court

Arthur J. Siegel, Esq.

[20.0] I.	<i>Introduction (Revised)</i>	20-1
[20.1] II.	Commencing an Action.....	20-3
[20.2]	A. Personal Jurisdiction.....	20-3
[20.3]	B. <i>Pleading Requirements (Revised)</i>	20-3
[20.4]	C. Available Sanctions in Federal and State Courts with Respect to Frivolous Pleadings.	20-4

[20.5]	D. State versus Federal Requirements with Respect to the <i>Ad Damnum</i> Clause of the Complaint.....	20-5
[20.6]	E. Serving the Summons and Complaint.....	20-7
[20.7]	F. Electronic Filing.....	20-8
[20.8]	III. Defending a Motion to Dismiss	20-9
[20.9]	IV. Venue in Federal Court versus State Court.....	20-10
[20.10]	V. Defendant’s Answer.....	20-10
[20.11]	A. <i>Requirements in Federal Court Versus State Court (Revised)</i>.....	20-10
[20.12]	B. Cross-Claim	20-11
[20.13]	C. Counterclaim.....	20-12
[20.14]	VI. <i>Amendment of Pleadings (Revised)</i>.....	20-12
[20.15]	VII. Discovery	20-14
[20.16]	A. Introduction.....	20-14
[20.17]	B. Judicial Intervention.....	20-15
[20.18]	C. Scheduling of Discovery	20-15
[20.19]	D. Mandatory Initial Disclosures.....	20-16
[20.20]	E. <i>Mandatory Expert Disclosures (Revised)</i>....	20-17
[20.21]	F. Mandatory Disclosure of Trial Material	20-19
[20.22]	G. Failure to Disclose Mandatory Disclosures ..	20-19
[20.23]	H. Scope of Discovery	20-20
[20.24]	I. Discovery Devices	20-20
[20.25]	J. Deposition upon Oral Examination	20-21
[20.26]	K. Interrogatories	20-22
[20.27]	L. Request for Production of Documents or Things	20-23
[20.28]	M. Request for Physical and Mental Examination	20-23
[20.29]	N. Request for Admissions	20-24
[20.30]	O. Electronic Discovery—Cost Shifting	20-24
[20.31]	VIII. Discovery Disputes	20-25
[20.32]	A. Good-Faith Effort to Resolve.....	20-25
[20.33]	B. Protective Order	20-26
[20.34]	IX. Paper Discovery	20-27
[20.35]	A. Subpoena Power.....	20-27
[20.36]	B. Scope of Paper Disclosure: State versus Federal Court	20-29
[20.37]	X. Readiness for Trial.....	20-29
[20.38]	XI. Summary Judgment: State Versus Federal Court	20-31

[20.39]XII.	Appeals of Summary Judgment and Other Interlocutory Orders.....	20-34
[20.40]XIII.	Trial.....	20-35
[20.41]	A. Jury Pool	20-35
[20.42]	B. Voir Dire	20-36
[20.43]	C. Admissibility of Evidence	20-36
[20.44]	D. Expert Witness Testimony	20-37
[20.45]	E. Admissibility of Deposition Testimony.....	20-38
[20.46]	F. Verdict Sheet, Jury Instructions, Number of Jurors Needed for Verdict.....	20-38
[20.47]XIV.	Post-trial Motions	20-39
[20.48]XV.	Appeal of Jury Verdict.....	20-39
[20.49]XVI.	Conclusion	20-40

Chapter 21 The Personal Injury Action in the Nassau and Suffolk Supreme Courts

W. Russell Corker, Esq.

Part One: Nassau County

[21.0] I.	Introduction.....	21-1
[21.1] II.	Preliminary Conferences.....	21-1
[21.2] III.	Motion Practice.....	21-2
[21.3] IV.	Certification Conference.....	21-2
[21.4] V.	Post–Note of Issue Discovery.....	21-3
[21.5] VI.	Trial Calendar	21-3
[21.6] VII.	Jury Selection.....	21-4
[21.7] VIII.	Trial.....	21-4

Part Two: Suffolk County

[21.8] I.	Introduction.....	21-6
[21.9] II.	Preliminary Conferences.....	21-6
[21.10]III.	Motion Practice.....	21-7
[21.11]IV.	Certification Conference.....	21-7
[21.12]V.	Post–Note of Issue Discovery.....	21-8
[21.13]VI.	Trial Calendar	21-8
[21.14]VII.	Jury Selection.....	21-9
[21.15]VIII.	Trial.....	21-10

Chapter 22 Practice in the Fifth Judicial District and the Counties of Cayuga and Madison

Robert E. Lahm, Esq.

[22.0] I.	<i>Introduction (Revised)</i>	<i>22-1</i>
[22.1]	<i>A. Oswego County (Revised)</i>	<i>22-1</i>

[22.2]	B. Jefferson County (Revised)	22-1
[22.3]	C. Lewis County (Revised)	22-1
[22.4]	D. Herkimer County (Revised)	22-1
[22.5]	E. Oneida County (Revised)	22-2
[22.6]	F. Cayuga County (Revised)	22-2
[22.7]	G. Madison County (Revised)	22-2
[22.8]	II. Request for Judicial Intervention and Preliminary Conferences.....	22-2
[22.9]	A. Motion Practice	22-3
[22.10]	B. Orders to Show Cause.....	22-4
[22.11]	C. Pre-trials	22-5
[22.12]	III. All Purpose and Calendar Justices	22-5
[22.13]	A. All Purpose Justices	22-5
[22.14]	B. IAS Calendars	22-5
[22.15]	IV. Trial.....	22-6
[22.16]	A. Demographics of Supreme Courts	22-7
[22.17]	B. Appeals (Revised)	22-7

Chapter 23 Calendar Practice in Bronx County

Hugh W. Campbell, Esq.

[23.0]	I. Introduction.....	23-1
[23.1]	II. Navigating the Courthouse Building	23-1
[23.2]	III. Preliminary Conferences and Discovery Practice	23-2
[23.3]	IV. Depositions	23-3
[23.4]	V. Motion Practice	23-3
[23.5]	VI. Pre-trial Conferences and Trials	23-4
[23.6]	VII. Jury Selection and Assignment	23-5
[23.7]	VIII. Subpoenaed Records.....	23-5
[23.8]	IX. The Trial.....	23-5
[23.9]	A. Summary Jury Trials.....	23-6
[23.10]	B. The “City Part”	23-6
[23.11]	C. New York City Civil Court—Bronx County	23-7

Chapter 24 Litigating the Personal Injury Action before the Southern Tier Courts

James B. Reed, Esq.

[24.0]	I. Overview of the Southern Tier Courts (Revised)	24-1
[24.1]	II. Southern Tier Juries (Revised)	24-2
[24.2]	III. Courts of the Southern Tier.....	24-3
[24.3]	A. Sixth Judicial District (Revised)	24-3

[24.4]	1. <i>Broome County, Sixth Judicial District, Third Department (Revised)</i>	24-5
[24.5]	2. <i>Chemung County, Sixth Judicial District, Third Department (Revised)</i> ...	24-6
[24.6]	3. <i>Schuylar County, Sixth Judicial District, Third Department (Revised)</i>	24-7
[24.7]	4. <i>Tioga County, Sixth Judicial District, Third Department (Revised)</i>	24-7
[24.8]	5. <i>Tompkins County, Sixth Judicial District, Third Department (Revised)</i> ...	24-8
[24.9]	B. <i>Seventh Judicial District (Revised)</i>	24-8
[24.10]	1. <i>Steuben County, Seventh Judicial District, Fourth Department (Revised)</i>	24-9
[24.11]	2. <i>Yates County, Seventh Judicial District, Fourth Department (Revised)</i>	24-10
[24.12]IV.	Litigating in the Courts of the Southern Tier.....	24-10
[24.13]	A. <i>Pre-Trial Practice in the Southern Tier (Revised)</i>	24-10
[24.14]	B. <i>Deposition Practice (Revised)</i>	24-11
[24.15]	C. <i>Filing the Note of Issue (Revised)</i>	24-12
[24.16]	D. <i>Pre-Trial Conference (Revised)</i>	24-13
[24.17]	E. <i>Trial in the Southern Tier Courts (Revised)</i>	24-13
[24.18]	F. <i>Jury Selection in the Southern Tier (Revised)</i>	24-14

SECTION VI—MANAGING CLIENT OBLIGATION AND SETTLEMENTS

Chapter 25 Private Health Insurers’ Liens, Right of Recovery and Subrogation Rights

Leslie D. Kelmachter, Esq.

[25.0]	I. <i>Introduction (Revised)</i>	25-1
[25.0.1]	A. <i>GOL § 5-335</i>	
[25.0.2]	B. <i>Reimbursement and Subrogation</i>	
[25.0.3]	C. <i>The Constitutional Challenge to GOL § 5-335</i>	
[25.0.4]	D. <i>The Federal Employees Health Benefits Act and GOL § 5-335</i>	
[25.0.5]	E. <i>Medicare Replacement Coverage</i>	
[25.0.6]	F. <i>ERISA Benefit Plans</i>	

[25.0.6.1]	1. <i>ERISA Benefit Plans—2</i>	
[25.0.6.1]	2. <i>Self-Funded ERISA Benefit Plans</i>	
[25.1]	II. The Benefits Contract	25-2
[25.2]	III. Reimbursement and Subrogation	25-4
[25.3]	IV. Direct Action by the Private Health Insurer	25-12
[25.4]	V. The <i>Ahlborn</i> Analogy	25-12
[25.5]	VI. <i>The Practical Considerations (Revised)</i>	25-17

**Chapter 26 Liens and Rights of Subrogation
in Government Benefits**

J. Michael Hayes, Esq.

Joan Lensky Robert, Esq.

[26.0]	I. Introduction.....	26-1
[26.1]	II. Overview of Government Entitlement Programs	26-1
[26.2]	A. Who May Claim Reimbursement from Lawsuit Proceeds	26-1
[26.3]	1. Medicaid	26-1
[26.4]	2. Medicare	26-2
[26.5]	3. Temporary Assistance	26-2
[26.6]	4. Office of Mental Health Benefits	26-2
[26.7]	B. Programs That Do Not Seek Reimbursement from Lawsuit Proceeds	26-2
[26.8]	1. Supplemental Security Income (SSI)	26-2
[26.9]	2. Social Security Disability	26-3
[26.10]	3. Section 8 Housing.....	26-3
[26.11]	III. Medicaid Liens in Personal Injury Lawsuits after <i>Arkansas Department of Health & Human Services v. Ahlborn</i>	26-3
[26.12]	A. Introduction.....	26-3
[26.13]	B. Medicaid Liens Against Personal Injury Actions in New York Prior to <i>Ahlborn</i>	26-4
[26.14]	1. Assignment, Subrogation, and Lien Statutes	26-4
[26.15]	2. Supplemental Needs Trusts (SNTs) and Liens	26-5
[26.16]	a. The Lien Was to Be Satisfied prior to the Funding of an SNT	26-5
[26.17]	b. The Entire Recovery Available to Satisfy the Lien	26-6
[26.18]	c. Infants’ Lawsuits Were Available to Satisfy the “Lien”	26-6

[26.19]	C. <i>Arkansas Department of Health & Human Services v. Ahlborn</i>	26-7
[26.20]	1. Facts of <i>Ahlborn</i>	26-7
[26.21]	2. Statutory Analysis	26-8
[26.22]	a. Past Medical Expenses	26-9
[26.23]	b. No Medicaid Lien Against a Medicaid Recipient's Own Funds ...	26-9
[26.24]	D. Medicaid "Liens" in New York State after <i>Ahlborn</i>	26-10
[26.25]	1. Policy Memorandum	26-10
[26.26]	2. Allocation Hearings.....	26-11
[26.27]	E. <i>Possible Conflicts of Interest: Whose Obligation Is It to Notify the Department of Social Services? (Revised)</i>	26-11
[26.27.1]	1. <i>The Issue</i>	
[26.27.2]	2. <i>Laws of 2011: Notification to the Department of Social Services</i>	
[26.28]	F. Negotiating Medicaid "Liens" Imposed Against Personal Injury Lawsuits	26-13
[26.29]	1. Overview	26-13
[26.30]	2. Verify the Amount of Medicaid Expended	26-13
[26.31]	3. Only Medicaid Benefits Causally Related to the Lawsuit Should Be Subject to Any Recovery.....	26-14
[26.32]	4. There Are Strict Procedural Requirements for the Agency to Attach a "Lien"	26-14
[26.33]	5. Challenge Educational Costs That May Be Listed as Medicaid Expenses	26-15
[26.34]	6. The Nursing Home as Defendant	26-16
[26.35]	7. Guardianship	26-16
[26.36]	IV. Medicare Claims	26-16
[26.37]	A. Introduction.....	26-16
[26.38]	B. The Medicare Secondary Payer Program Prior to December 8, 2003	26-17
[26.39]	C. Circuit Courts Interpret the Medicare Secondary Payer Program in 2003.....	26-19
[26.40]	D. December 8, 2003, Amendments to the Medicare Secondary Payer Program.....	26-21
[26.41]	E. <i>Should Medicare Claims Be a Priority? (Revised)</i>	26-21

[26.41.1]	1. <i>Are Claims Filed by Medicare Advantage Plans Subject to the Same Priority as Claims Filed by the Medicare Trust Fund?</i>	
[26.41.2]	a. <i>The Issue: What About Medicare Advantage Plans?</i>	
[26.41.3]	b. <i>Ferlazzo v. 18th Avenue Hardware</i>	
[26.42]	F. Medicare Claims	26-23
[26.43]V.	Temporary Assistance Liens	26-24
[26.44]	A. Adults	26-24
[26.44.1]	B. Medicare Claims	
[26.44.2]	C. Administrative Protocol	
[26.45]	B. Temporary Assistance and Children under the Age of 21	26-25
[26.46]VI.	Office of Mental Health Liens	26-25
[26.47]VII.	Court Proceeding to Reduce or Vacate Lien.....	26-25
[26.48]VIII.	Conclusion	26-27
Appendix A	General Release	26-29
Appendix B	Federal Settlement Agreement.....	26-31

Chapter 27 Workers' Compensation Liens Against Plaintiffs' Personal Injury Actions

Carl D. Copps, Esq.

[27.0] I.	Introduction.....	27-1
[27.1] II.	Claimant's Right to Collect Workers' Compensation Benefits and Sue a Third-Party Tortfeasor (WCL § 29(1)).....	27-1
[27.2] III.	Carrier's Right to Assignment and Subrogation (WCL § 29(2))	27-4
[27.3] IV.	Claimant's Obligation to Obtain Compensation Carrier's Consent (WCL § 29(5)) (Revised).....	27-5
[27.4] V.	Compensation Carrier's Right to Lien and Future Offset Vis-a-Vis Claimant's Third Party Recovery (WCL § 29(1), (4)) (Revised)	27-11
[27.5] VI.	Equitable Apportionment of Litigation Expenses Between Claimant and Compensation Carrier (WCL § 29(1))	27-17
[27.6]	A. 1983–2006: Kelly, Briggs and McKee (Revised)	27-18
[27.7]	B. 2006–2011: Burns v. Varriale (Pre-Stenson Analysis) (Revised).....	27-19

[27.7.1]	1. Overview	
[27.7.2]	B.1. <i>WCB Application of Burns: Beacon Electric Corp</i>	
[27.7.3]	B.2. <i>Stenson v. New York State Department of Transportation</i>	
[27.7.4]	1. <i>Third-Party Settlement and WCB Proceedings</i>	
[27.7.5]	2. <i>Stenson: Appellate Division, Third Department Decision</i>	
[27.7.6]	3. <i>Lessons Learned from Stenson</i>	
[27.8]	C. <i>Practical Application . . . Doing the Math (Revised)</i>	27-21
[27.9]	D. <i>Impact of 2007 Workers' Compensation Reform Legislation on Kelly and Burns Calculations (Revised)</i>	27-29
[27.9.1]	1. <i>"Hybrid" Case: One Part Kelly; One Part Burns</i>	
[27.10]	E. Other Equitable Apportionment Issues	27-31
[27.11]	1. Present Value Is Limited to Net Recovery	27-31
[27.12]	2. Deficiency Cases	27-31
[27.13]	3. "Fresh Money"	27-32
[27.14]	4. Death Cases	27-32
[27.15]	5. Cases Involving Special Funds under WCL § 15(8)(d)	27-34
[27.16]	6. <i>Mayerhof</i>	27-34
[27.17]	7. <i>Ochal</i>	27-34
[27.18]	VII. Interplay Between Workers' Compensation and No-Fault (WCL § 29(1), (1-a), (4), (5); Insurance Law §§ 5102, 5104, 5105)	27-35
[27.19]	A. 1973–1978	27-35
[27.20]	B. <i>Post-Granger (Revised)</i>	27-36
[27.21]	C. <i>Dietrick</i>	27-37
[27.22]	D. <i>Johnson</i>	27-38
[27.23]	E. <i>No-Fault Amendment (Revised)</i>	27-39
[27.24]	VIII. Employer Liability in Third-Party Actions	27-42
Appendix	Dutch Royal Insurance Institution Mortality and Remarriage Tables	27-45

Chapter 28 Interrelationship Between Lawsuit Recoveries and Government Entitlements: Planning Options, Including Supplemental Needs Trusts

Joan Lensky Robert, Esq.

[28.0]	I.	Introduction.....	28-1
[28.1]	II.	Overview of Eligibility for Government Entitlements	28-1
[28.2]	A.	Introduction.....	28-1
[28.3]	B.	Medicaid	28-1
[28.4]	1.	Medicaid Eligibility for Unmarried Adults	28-2
[28.5]	a.	Overview (Revised)	28-2
[28.6]	b.	Resource Rules (Revised)	28-3
[28.7]	c.	Income Rules (Revised)	28-3
[28.8]	d.	Transfer of Assets Rules	28-4
[28.9]	(1)	Community Medicaid	28-4
[28.10]	(2)	Nursing Home and Waivered Medicaid Services (Revised) ..	28-4
[28.11]	(3)	Supplemental Needs Trusts (SNTs).....	28-4
[28.12]	(4)	The Home.....	28-5
[28.13]	(5)	Other Exempt Transfers	28-5
[28.14]	e.	The Look-Back Period.....	28-5
[28.15]	f.	The Date the Transfer Penalty Will Begin.....	28-6
[28.16]	2.	Medicaid Eligibility for Married Individuals	28-6
[28.17]	a.	Nursing Home and Waivered Home Care Services (Revised)	28-6
[28.18]	b.	Community Medicaid (Revised)	28-6
[28.19]	c.	“Spousal Refusal”	28-7
[28.20]	3.	Medicaid Eligibility for Infants under the Age of 18	28-7
[28.21]	a.	Non-waivered Medicaid Programs ..	28-7
[28.22]	b.	Waivered Medicaid Home Care Programs	28-8
[28.23]	C.	Supplemental Security Income (SSI).....	28-9
[28.24]	1.	SSI Financial Criteria	28-10
[28.25]	2.	SSI for Children under 18: Financial Criteria (Revised)	28-10
[28.26]	3.	Transfer of Resource Rules	28-11
[28.27]	D.	Social Security Disability	28-12

[28.28]	E. Disabled Adult Child Benefits	28-13
[28.29]	F. Medicare	28-13
[28.30]	G. Temporary Assistance.....	28-14
[28.31]	H. Office of Mental Health Benefits.....	28-15
[28.32]	I. Section 8 Housing	28-16
[28.33]	1. Overview of Eligibility	28-16
[28.34]	2. Effect of Transfer of Assets.....	28-16
[28.35]	J. Food Stamp Program	28-17
[28.36]	K. Family Health Plus.....	28-18
[28.37]III.	Preserving Ongoing Eligibility for Medicaid and SSI.....	28-18
[28.38]	A. Not Everyone Needs Ongoing Eligibility for Medicaid or SSI	28-18
[28.39]	B. Outright Transfer of Assets to a Third Party	28-19
[28.40]	C. Use of “Payback” Supplemental Needs Trust for Individuals with Disabilities under the Age of 65	28-20
[28.41]	1. Statutory Authority.....	28-20
[28.42]	2. What May the Trust Be Used For?.....	28-21
[28.43]	3. What Regulations Govern These Trusts?	28-22
[28.44]	4. Establishing a “Payback” Trust.....	28-23
[28.45]	a. Incapacitated Adult Beneficiary and Article 81	28-23
[28.46]	b. Trusts Established by Guardians Pursuant to SCPA 17-A	28-24
[28.47]	c. Adult Plaintiff with Capacity.....	28-25
[28.48]	(1) Parent-Established Trust	28-25
[28.49]	(2) Special Guardian/Court Order	28-25
[28.50]	(3) Special Proceeding to Establish a “Payback” Trust....	28-26
[28.51]	5. SNTs and Infants	28-26
[28.52]	a. Establishing the SNT	28-26
[28.53]	(1) SCPA 17.....	28-26
[28.54]	(2) Within the Infant Compromise Order	28-26
[28.55]	b. Use of Trust Assets and Infants	28-27
[28.56]	D. Pooled Trusts	28-28
[28.57]	E. Planning for the Tort Victim over the Age of 65	28-29
[28.58]	1. Overview	28-29
[28.59]	2. Transfers of Assets	28-29
[28.60]	a. Medicaid in a Skilled Nursing Facility (Revised)	28-29

[28.61]	b. Community Medicaid Services (Revised)	28-31
[28.62]	3. SSI	28-31
[28.63]	4. Use of Pooled Trusts for Those over the Age of 65	28-31
[28.64]IV.	Use of Structured Settlements.....	28-32
[28.65]	A. Overview of Structured Settlements	28-32
[28.66]	B. Paying Monthly Income into a Supplemental Needs Trust	28-32
[28.67]	1. Medicaid (Revised)	28-32
[28.68]	2. SSI	28-33
[28.69]	3. Advice to the Personal Injury Bar	28-33
[28.70]	C. The “Payback” and Guaranteed Payments: <i>Sanango v. NYCHHC</i>	28-33
[28.71]	D. Plaintiffs over the Age of 65	28-34
[28.72]	E. Choosing the Structure to Coordinate with the Plaintiff’s Plans and Goals.....	28-34
[28.73]V.	What Happens to Remaining Assets upon the Death of the Plaintiff?.....	28-35
[28.74]	A. The Death of the Beneficiary of a Supplemental Needs Trust	28-35
[28.75]	B. The Death of a Medicaid Recipient with a Home.....	28-36
[28.75.1]	1. The Neurologically Impaired Infant Fund: Will it Keep Down Medicaid Costs?	
[28.75.2]	a. Overview	
[28.75.3]	b. Provisions of the Statute	
[28.75.4]	c. Impact of this Legislation	
[28.76]	C. State Recovery and Other Government Benefits	28-37
[28.77]VI.	Conclusion	28-38
Appendix A	Adult Incapacitated Plaintiff, Compromise Order after Guardianship.....	28-39
Appendix B	Infant’s Compromise Order and Order Authorizing Establishment of a Supplemental Needs Trust (No Guardianship)	28-41
Appendix C	Infant Compromise Order Without SNT	28-47
Appendix D	Infant Compromise Order Authorizing SNT with Appointment of Guardian Within 60 Days.....	28-51

Appendix E	Article 81 Petition to Appoint Guardian and Asking for Expenditures and Creation of SNT....	28-57
Appendix F	Trust Agreement Within ICO No Guardianship..	28-61

Chapter 29 Structured Settlement Overview for New York Plaintiff’s Attorneys

Kevin T. O’Brien, Esq.

[29.0]	I.	Introduction.....	29-1
[29.1]	II.	The Responsibilities of the Plaintiff’s Attorney ..	29-2
[29.2]	A.	Duty to Provide Competent Legal Representation	29-2
[29.3]	B.	Bringing in a Plaintiff’s Structure Broker.....	29-3
[29.4]	III.	Benefits to a Plaintiff in a Structured Settlement	29-4
[29.5]	IV.	Risks to a Plaintiff in a Structured Settlement.....	29-6
[29.6]	A.	Risk of Default by the Annuity Issuer	29-6
[29.7]	B.	Lack of Liquidity	29-7
[29.8]	C.	The Risk That Interest Rates Will Rise in the Future	29-7
[29.9]	V.	Benefits to a Defendant in a Structured Settlement	29-7
[29.10]	VI.	Deciding Whether to Structure the Settlement	29-9
[29.11]	A.	Situations Potentially Appropriate for a Structured Settlement.....	29-10
[29.12]	B.	Situations Potentially Not Appropriate for a Structured Settlement.....	29-11
[29.13]	VII.	If the Defendant Refuses to Structure the Settlement	29-12
[29.14]	VIII.	Tax Issues in Structured Settlements	29-12
[29.15]	A.	<i>Income Taxes and Structured Settlements (Revised)</i>	29-12
[29.16]	B.	The Constructive Receipt Doctrine.....	29-13
[29.17]	C.	Designation of Beneficiary	29-14
[29.18]	D.	Estate Taxes	29-14
[29.19]	E.	Commutation Provisions to Provide Liquidity upon Death	29-15
[29.20]	IX.	The Structure Process	29-16
[29.21]	A.	The Structured Settlement Broker	29-16
[29.22]	B.	Fixed Annuity versus Variable Annuity versus Treasury Bond Structure.....	29-16
[29.23]	C.	Annuity Rates	29-16
[29.24]	D.	Rated Ages	29-17
[29.25]	E.	Selecting a Payment Plan.....	29-17

[29.26]	F. Life-Care Plans	29-19
[29.27]	G. Funding the Annuity	29-20
[29.28]	H. Locking in the Annuity Rates	29-20
[29.29]	I. Payment of the Upfront Money and the Attorney’s Fee.....	29-20
[29.30]X.	The Legal Structure of a Structured Settlement...	29-21
[29.31]XI.	The Structured Settlement Documents	29-22
[29.32]	A. The Settlement Agreement	29-23
[29.33]	B. The Assignment Agreement	29-23
[29.34]	C. Security Interest in the Annuity	29-24
[29.35]XII.	<i>The New York Life Insurance Guaranty Fund (Revised)</i>	29-25
[29.36]XIII.	Splitting the Annuity to Diversify Risk	29-26
[29.37]XIV.	Selecting an Annuity Issuer	29-26
[29.38]XV.	Structured Settlement Involving a Minor, Incompetent, or Conservatee	29-27
[29.39]	A. The Case Cannot Be Settled Without Court Approval	29-27
[29.40]	B. Permitted Investments for Settlement Proceeds	29-27
[29.41]XVI.	Medicare Set-Asides	29-27
[29.42]XVII.	Special Needs Trusts.....	29-28
[29.43]XVIII.	The New York Structured Settlement Protection Act.....	29-28
[29.44]	A. Defendant Must Provide Certain Information to the Plaintiff	29-28
[29.45]	B. Sale of Structured Settlement Payments	29-29
[29.46]XIX.	Qualified Settlement Funds.....	29-30
[29.47]XX.	Structuring the Attorney’s Fee.....	29-30
[29.48]XXI.	<i>Expedited Collection in Wrongful Death Cases</i>	
Appendix	Structured Settlement Checklist for New York Plaintiff’s Attorneys.....	29-33

SECTION VII—PREPARING FOR TRIAL

Chapter 30 Mediating the Plaintiff’s Personal Injury Action *Hon. Leonard A. Weiss*

Chapter 31	Polarizing the Case: Exposing and Defeating the Malingering Myth	
	<i>Richard H. Friedman, Esq.</i>	
[31.0] I.	Defining the Problem and Taking It Seriously	31-1
[31.1] II.	A Solution—The Overview	31-4
Chapter 32	Rules of the Road: A Plaintiff Lawyer’s Guide to Proving Liability	
	<i>Richard H. Friedman, Esq.</i>	
	<i>Patrick Malone, Esq.</i>	
[32.0] I.	Defining the Problem.....	32-1
[32.1]	A. Disability Case.....	32-2
[32.2]	B. Uninsured Motorist Case	32-2
[32.3]	C. Property Case	32-3
[32.4] II.	Solving the Problem.....	32-6
Chapter 33	Trial Evidence Preparation	
	<i>David Paul Horowitz, Esq.</i>	
[33.0] I.	Introduction.....	33-1
[33.1] II.	Pre-trial Checklist	33-3
[33.2]	A. Sixty Days before Trial.....	33-3
[33.3]	B. Thirty Days before Trial	33-4
[33.4]	C. Fifteen Days before Trial	33-4
[33.5]	D. Final Week before Trial	33-4
[33.6] III.	Know the Trial Court.....	33-5
[33.7]	A. Pleadings Issues	33-5
[33.8]	B. Exchange of Medical Reports	33-7
[33.9]	C. Expert Responses	33-7
[33.10]	D. Witnesses	33-8
[33.11]	E. Notices to Admit.....	33-8
[33.12]	F. Liens.....	33-9
[33.13]	G. Certified Records	33-9
[33.14]IV.	Trial Memoranda	33-11
[33.15]	A. Requests to Charge, Verdict Sheets, and Contentions	33-11
[33.16]	B. Motions in Limine.....	33-12
[33.17]V.	Conclusion	33-14
Chapter 34	Motions in Limine	
	<i>Benedene Cannata, Esq.</i>	
[34.0] I.	The Motion in Limine.....	34-1
[34.1]	A. How to Make a Motion in Limine	34-1

[34.2]	B. When to Make a Motion in Limine	34-2
[34.3]	1. Motion in Limine During Jury Selection	34-2
[34.4]	2. <i>Motion in Limine During Trial (Revised)</i>	34-3
[34.5]	3. Premature Motions in Limine.....	34-4
[34.6]	C. Authority for a Motion in Limine	34-5
[34.7]	II. <i>The Varied Applications of the Motion in Limine (Revised)</i>	34-7
[34.8]	A. <i>Particular Evidence (Revised)</i>	34-8
[34.9]	B. <i>General Categories of Evidence (Revised)</i> ..	34-10
[34.10]	C. Statements	34-11
[34.11]	D. Expert Witnesses and the <i>Frye</i> Motion.....	34-12
[34.12]	1. Motions to Preclude Unreliable Scientific Evidence.....	34-13
[34.13]	2. <i>When to Make a Frye Motion (Revised)</i>	34-15
[34.14]	3. <i>Frye</i> Motion Mechanics	34-17
[34.15]	4. The <i>Frye</i> Inquiry	34-18
[34.16]	a. First Scenario	34-18
[34.17]	b. Second Scenario.....	34-18
[34.18]	c. Third Scenario	34-19
[34.19]	d. Fourth Scenario.....	34-19
[34.20]	5. Proving General Acceptance in the Relevant Scientific Community	34-19
[34.21]	III. <i>In Limine Motions That Have the Effect of Summary Judgment (Revised)</i>	34-22
[34.22]	IV. <i>Is an In Limine Ruling Appealable? (Revised)</i> ..	34-24
[34.23]	V. Conclusion	34-25

SECTION VIII—TRIAL

Chapter 35 The Trial: The View from the Bench

Hon. John C. Cherundolo

[35.0]	I. Voir Dire: The First Battle in the War of Litigation.....	35-3
[35.1]	II. The Opening Statement: Where the Battle Lines Are Drawn.....	35-6
[35.2]	III. The Proof in the Case: The Basic Facts upon Which the Jury Will Base Its Decision	35-8
[35.3]	IV. Closing Arguments: The Last Battle	35-11
[35.4]	V. Conclusion	35-13

Chapter 36 Jury Selection

Debra Miller

Artemis Malekpour, Esq.

David Ball, Ph.D.

[36.0] I.	Your Goal.....	36-1
[36.1] II.	Your Strategy	36-2
[36.2] III.	Your Preparation	36-7
[36.3] IV.	Voir Dire Techniques.....	36-9
[36.4] V.	Challenges for Cause	36-13
[36.5] VI.	Group Composition.....	36-15
[36.6] VII.	Leaders	36-16
[36.7] VIII.	How to Select Jurors	36-16

Chapter 37 Opening Statements

E. Stewart Jones, Jr., Esq.

[37.0] I.	Introduction.....	37-1
[37.1] II.	The Psychology of the Opening Statement.....	37-4
[37.2]	A. The Principles of Primacy and Recency	37-4
[37.3]	B. Order of Information.....	37-5
[37.4]	C. The Language of Presentation	37-6
[37.5]	D. The Halo Effect.....	37-6
[37.6]	E. Simplicity.....	37-7
[37.7]	F. Ownership, Knowledge, and Control.....	37-7
[37.8]	G. Anger versus Sympathy	37-8
[37.9]	H. The Language of Visualization	37-8
[37.10]	I. The Present Tense	37-9
[37.11]III.	The Purpose of the Opening Statement	37-9
[37.12]IV.	The Structure of the Opening Statement.....	37-10
[37.13]	A. Introduction.....	37-10
[37.14]	B. Brevity.....	37-10
[37.15]	C. Transitions.....	37-10
[37.16]	D. Construction.....	37-11
[37.17]	E. The Story Order	37-12
[37.18]	F. Elements of the Opening.....	37-13
[37.19]	1. Beginning	37-13
[37.20]	2. Theme	37-14
[37.21]	3. Issues	37-15
[37.22]	4. The Story	37-16
[37.23]	5. The Damages	37-18
[37.24]	6. Confronting Problems	37-20
[37.25]	7. Language of Law	37-22
[37.26]	8. Closing the Opening.....	37-23

[37.27]	9. Forcing Your Adversary’s Hand	37-24
[37.28]	10. The Opening Must Relate to the Summation.....	37-24
[37.29]V.	The Presentation of the Evidence	37-25
[37.30]	A. Be Positive	37-25
[37.31]	B. Be Specific	37-25
[37.32]	C. Develop Psychological Identification Between the Jury and You, Your Client, and the Client’s Case	37-26
[37.33]	D. Personalize and Humanize Your Client.....	37-26
[37.34]	E. Use of the Courtroom.....	37-26
[37.35]	F. Use of Physical Space.....	37-27
[37.36]	G. Use of Pleadings and Exhibits	37-28
[37.37]	H. Depositions	37-28
[37.38]	I. Make the Jurors “Experts”	37-29
[37.39]	J. Word Choice	37-29
[37.40]	K. Do Not Overreach	37-30
[37.41]	L. Emotion.....	37-31
[37.42]	M. Vocal Tone.....	37-31
[37.43]	N. Use Transitions	37-32
[37.44]	O. Maintain Eye Contact	37-32
[37.45]	P. Levity	37-33
[37.46]	Q. Avoid Objections	37-33
[37.47]	R. Do Not Promise a Witness by Name or Link Precise Details of Testimony to a Specific Witness.....	37-33
[37.48]	S. Opening the Opening	37-33
[37.49]	T. Use Contrast.....	37-36
[37.50]	U. Use Rhetorical Questions.....	37-37
[37.51]VI.	Verbal Snapshot, Present Tense.....	37-38
[37.52]	A. Do Not Tell the Jury That What You Are Telling Them Is Not Evidence.....	37-39
[37.53]	B. Preempt the Defense	37-39
[37.54]	C. Concluding the Opening	37-40
[37.55]	D. Do Not Present the Jury with Too Many Dominant Themes or Alternative Theories...	37-41
[37.56]	E. Notes	37-42
[37.57]VII.	The Law of Opening Statements.....	37-42
[37.58]	A. Avoiding Mistrials and Reversals.....	37-43
[37.59]	B. The Need to Object	37-44

Chapter 38 Demonstrative Evidence in the Visual Age

W. Russell Corker, Esq.

[38.0]	I.	Introduction.....	38-1
[38.1]	II.	Visual Strategies	38-2
[38.2]	III.	Demonstrative Evidence	38-3
[38.3]		A. When to Use Demonstrative Evidence	38-4
[38.4]		B. Admissibility of Demonstrative Evidence: Evidentiary Rules.....	38-5
[38.5]		C. Objections to Demonstrative Evidence.....	38-6
[38.6]	IV.	Technology in the Courtroom.....	38-7
[38.7]		A. Presentation Software	38-9
[38.8]		B. Hardware.....	38-10
[38.9]		1. Projectors	38-10
[38.10]		2. Integrated Presentation Systems.....	38-10
[38.11]		C. Basic PowerPoint for Lawyers.....	38-11
[38.12]		1. Emphasis Tools: Get in Focus	38-11
[38.13]		2. Exhibit Numbers.....	38-12
[38.14]		3. Titles	38-12
[38.15]		4. Bullet Point Presentations.....	38-12
[38.16]		D. Multimedia Presentations.....	38-13
[38.17]		1. Blackboards and Flip Charts	38-13
[38.18]		2. Exhibit Boards	38-14
[38.19]		3. Models	38-15
[38.20]	V.	Enhancing the Impact of Demonstrative Evidence	38-15
[38.21]		A. Generally.....	38-15
[38.22]		B. Other Considerations for the Use of Demonstrative Evidence	38-17
[38.23]	VI.	Opening Statements	38-17
[38.24]	VII.	Examination of Witnesses Using Presentation Software	38-20
[38.25]		A. Summation	38-20
[38.26]		B. Photographs in the Visual Age	38-20
[38.27]		C. Documents in the Visual Age	38-22
[38.28]		D. Time Line.....	38-24
[38.29]		E. Chronological List	38-25
[38.30]		F. Flowcharts.....	38-26
[38.31]		G. Checklist	38-26
[38.32]		H. Imaging Studies	38-27
[38.33]		I. Trial Graphics	38-28
[38.34]		J. Medical Illustrations	38-28
[38.35]		K. Video.....	38-29
[38.36]		L. Videotaped Depositions	38-30

[38.37]	M. Computer-Generated Images: Simulations, Animations, and Re-creations	38-31
[38.38]	N. Maps and Diagrams	38-34
[38.39]	O. Magnetic Boards	38-34
[38.40]	P. Summary Collages	38-35
[38.41]	Q. Visual Analogies	38-35

Chapter 39 The Plaintiff’s Direct Case at Trial

J. Michael Hayes, Esq.

[39.0]	I. Introduction	39-1
[39.1]	II. The Plaintiff	39-1
[39.2]	A. Your Client’s Presentation and Communication	39-1
[39.3]	B. Checklist of Necessary Elements	39-2
[39.4]	C. Photographs: Their Identification and Qualification for Evidence	39-3
[39.5]	D. Diary: Qualification, Use, and Purpose	39-4
[39.6]	E. Spouse	39-6
[39.7]	III. The Defendant	39-6
[39.8]	A. When to Be Gentle	39-6
[39.9]	B. When to Be Aggressive with Inconsistent Statements and Depositions	39-7
[39.10]	IV. Witnesses	39-7
[39.11]	A. Police Officer	39-7
[39.12]	B. Experts	39-7
[39.13]	1. Orthopedic Surgeon	39-7
[39.14]	2. Radiologist	39-9
[39.15]	3. Economist	39-9
Appendix A	Direct Examination of Orthopedic Surgeon	39-11
Appendix B	Direct Examination of a Radiologist	39-55
Appendix C	Direct Examination of an Economist	39-63

Chapter 40 The Art of Cross-Examination

Hon. John C. Cherundolo

[40.0]	I. The Bible: The “Ten Commandments” of Cross-Examination	40-1
[40.1]	II. What Is the Purpose of Cross-Examination?	40-3
[40.2]	A. Be Prepared	40-4
[40.3]	B. Do I Really Need to Cross-Examine the Witness?	40-4
[40.4]	C. How to Structure a Cross-Examination	40-5
[40.5]	D. Be Present “in” the Cross-Examination	40-5

[40.6]	E. Define the Scope of the Cross-Examination .	40-6
[40.7]	F. Assess What the Witness Has Done to Your Plan	40-6
[40.8]	G. Be Careful of Language (Both Verbal and Body).....	40-7
[40.9]	H. Set the Pace and Rhythm of the Examination	40-7
[40.10]	I. It Is Important to Stay in Control.....	40-8
[40.11]	J. Learn What Kind of Witness Is Testifying ...	40-9
[40.12]	K. Be Prepared When You Stand Up	40-9
[40.13]	L. Do Not Rush Your Questions or Your Examination	40-10
[40.14]	M. Know What “Proof” Supports the Case Theme and Theory	40-11
[40.15]	N. Know the Judge and the Rules of the Court and Staff	40-12
[40.16]III.	Impeachment.....	40-12
[40.17]	A. Bias, Interest, and Motive	40-13
[40.18]	B. Prior Inconsistent Statements.....	40-14
[40.19]	C. Contradictory Facts	40-16
[40.20]	D. Prior Convictions	40-17
[40.21]	E. Prior Bad Acts.....	40-18
[40.22]	F. Bad Reputation for Character or Truthfulness	40-19
[40.23]	G. Competency—Impeachment by Showing Intoxication, Illness, Overmedication, or Some Other Defect of Capacity	40-20
[40.24]	H. Treatises	40-20
[40.25]IV.	Conclusion	40-21

Chapter 41 Some Do’s and Don’ts for Summation

Henry G. Miller, Esq.

[41.0] I.	Don’t Start Slowly	41-1
[41.1] II.	Don’t Be an Encyclopedia	41-1
[41.2] III.	Don’t Use Jargon	41-2
[41.3] IV.	Speak Plainly	41-2
[41.4] V.	Speak Anglo Saxon.....	41-2
[41.5] VI.	Use the Language of the Charge.....	41-2
[41.6] VII.	Don’t Give Your Opinion	41-3
[41.7] VIII.	Don’t Get Carried Away	41-3
[41.8] IX.	Use an Outline.....	41-3
[41.9] X.	Don’t Answer Their Questions	41-3
[41.10]XI.	Don’t Be Too Insensitive	41-4

[41.11]XII. Don't Be Arrogant	41-4
[41.12]XIII. Don't Use a Weak Argument—Use Imagination	41-4
[41.13]XIV. Don't Be Greedy	41-5
[41.14]XV. Look at the Jury	41-5
[41.15]XVI. Don't Think of Yourself	41-5
[41.16]XVII. Believe	41-6
[41.17]XVIII. Have a Little Courage.....	41-6

Chapter 42 Appellate Practice

Mary Ellen O'Brien, Esq.

[42.0] I. Introduction.....	42-1
[42.1] A. Purpose, Function and Structure of Appellate Courts and Necessity to Preserve Issues on Appeal (Revised).....	42-1
[42.2] B. Scope of Review (Revised)	42-3
[42.3] 1. Reviewability (Revised).....	42-4
[42.4] 2. Preservation Doctrine—Raising Issues for the First Time on Appeal	42-6
[42.5] C. Controlling Statutes of Appellate Practice and Procedure Must Be Consulted.....	42-6
[42.6] II. Decision to Appeal.....	42-6
[42.7] A. Aggrievement Doctrine.....	42-7
[42.8] 1. An Aggrieved Party (Revised)	42-7
[42.9] 2. Party Who Prevails Is Not Aggrieved ...	42-8
[42.10] 3. Party Is Not Aggrieved by Dismissal of Complaint Against a Co-Defendant	42-8
[42.11] 4. Party Is Aggrieved by Dismissal of Cross-Claims	42-9
[42.12] 5. Party Is Not Aggrieved Where It Does Not Join in Relief Sought	42-9
[42.13] 6. Party Is Not Aggrieved When Order or Judgment Is Entered on Consent	42-9
[42.14] 7. Party Is Not Aggrieved When It Disagrees with Some Part of an Order or Judgment but Otherwise Prevails (Revised).....	42-10
[42.15] 8. Person Does Not Necessarily Have to Be a Party to Be Aggrieved.....	42-10
[42.16] 9. Party Who Assigns Interest Is Not Aggrieved	42-10
[42.17] 10. Party Against Whom a Default Is Entered Is Not Aggrieved (Revised).....	42-11

[42.18]	11. Party Can Be Partially Aggrieved	42-11
[42.19]	12. Respondent on Appeal Becomes Aggrieved by Reversal	42-12
[42.19.1]	13. <i>Principals of Corporation Not Aggrieved if Order Only Affects Rights of the Corporation</i>	
[42.19.2]	14. <i>Party is Aggrieved When Court Fails to Address a Part of His or Her Motion</i>	
[42.20]	B. Appealability	42-12
[42.21]	1. Orders Deciding Motions on Notice Are Appealable	42-12
[42.22]	2. Ex Parte Orders Are Not Appealable	42-13
[42.23]	3. <i>Decisions, Verdicts, Reports or Rulings Are Not Appealable (Revised)</i>	42-13
[42.24]	4. <i>Order Denying Reargument Is Not Appealable (Revised)</i>	42-13
[42.25]	5. Order Denying a Motion to Resettle Certain Parts of an Order Is Not Appealable	42-14
[42.26]	6. <i>Appealability Not Affected by Subsequent Application Affecting the Same Order (Revised)</i>	42-14
[42.27]	7. Preserving Appealability	42-14
[42.28]III.	Invoking Jurisdiction	42-15
[42.29]	A. <i>Notice of Appeal and Permission to Appeal Generally (Revised)</i>	42-15
[42.30]	B. Cross Appeals	42-17
[42.31]	C. <i>Do Not Limit the Notice of Appeal (Revised)</i>	42-17
[42.32]IV.	Stays Pending Appeal	42-17
[42.33]	A. Automatic Stays (Without Court Order)	42-18
[42.34]	B. Discretionary Stays	42-19
[42.35]	C. Stays Are Very Specific	42-20
[42.36]V.	Preargument Conference	42-20
[42.37]VI.	Perfecting the Appeal	42-20
[42.38]	A. The Record on Appeal	42-20
[42.39]	B. Transcripts That Are Part of the Record Must Be Settled	42-22
[42.40]	C. Briefs Generally	42-23
[42.41]	D. Dismissal Calendar	42-24
[42.42]	E. Filing and Service of the Record and Brief ..	42-25
[42.42.1]	F. <i>Electronic Filing</i>	

[42.43]	VII. Calendaring an Appeal.....	42-26
[42.44]	VIII. Oral Argument (Revised)	42-26
[42.45]	IX. Options for the Unsuccessful Litigant	42-28
[42.46]	A. Appeals as of Right to the Court of Appeals	42-28
[42.47]	B. Permission to Appeal and Post-appeal Motions at the Appellate Court.....	42-29
[42.48]	C. Perfecting the Appeal.....	42-30
[42.49]	X. Appeals to the Appellate Term	42-31
[42.50]	XI. Recent Cases of Interests—Recurring Issues on Appeal	42-33
[42.51]	A. Summary Judgment Motions Generally	42-33
[42.52]	1. Movant Must Sustain Initial Burden on Motion for Summary Judgment (Revised)	42-33
[42.53]	2. Non-movant Must Rebut Movant’s Prima Facie Showing.....	42-34
[42.54]	3. Conclusory Allegations Incompetent to Support or Rebut the Prima Facie Showing for Summary Judgment	42-34
[42.54.1]	4. Affidavits Used to Correct Prior Testimony	
[42.54.2]	5. Expert Disclosure and Use of Affidavits	
[42.55]	B. Rear-End Collisions—Rebuttable Presumption of Negligence—Sudden-Stop Defense (Revised)	42-34
[42.56]	C. Late Notice of Claim to a Municipality	42-35
[42.57]	D. Open and Obvious Nature of the Condition .	42-35
[42.58]	E. Insurance Disclaimer	42-36
[42.59]	F. Supplemental Underinsured and Underinsured Motorist Notice Disclaimer	42-36
[42.60]	G. Serious Injury (Revised)	42-37
[42.61]	H. Discovery Motions—Standard of Review	42-37
[42.62]	I. Trial Issues Generally	42-39
[42.63]	1. Sufficiency of Offers of Proof at a Trial or Hearing	42-39
[42.64]	2. Challenges to Damage Awards	42-40

**SECTION IX—LAW PRACTICE MANAGEMENT FOR THE
PERSONAL INJURY ATTORNEY**

Chapter 43 Practice Management: The Rule of Three

Mark A. Powers, Esq.

Nora R. Bergman, Esq.

[43.0]	I.	Introduction.....	43-1
[43.1]	II.	Time Management and Productivity.....	43-1
[43.2]	A.	Professional Vision and Strategic Goals.....	43-1
[43.3]	B.	Schedule Your Time and Honor Your Schedule.....	43-3
[43.4]	C.	Delegate Effectively.....	43-4
[43.5]	III.	Case-Management Systems	43-6
[43.6]	A.	Client Intake Matrix	43-6
[43.7]	B.	Case-Status Meetings.....	43-7
[43.8]	IV.	Staffing Systems	43-8
[43.9]	A.	Determine What Needs to Be Done.....	43-9
[43.10]	B.	Recruit the Right Person	43-9
[43.11]	C.	Make the New Person Part of the Team	43-10
[43.12]	D.	Reward Success	43-10
[43.13]	V.	Conclusion	43-11

Table of Authorities

Index

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

PATRICK J. HIGGINS, ESQ.

Patrick J. Higgins practices in Albany, New York, where he represents the seriously and catastrophically injured and their families. He also litigates environmental matters. In 2014, Mr. Higgins was named to “Best Lawyers in America” in the field of plaintiff’s medical malpractice and personal injury. In 2013, he was also listed as one of the top 25 lawyers in the Hudson Valley by *Super Lawyers*.™

Since 2003, Mr. Higgins has been the state chair for the New York State Bar Association’s seminar “Handling Tough Issues in Personal Injury Litigation.” Mr. Higgins is board certified by the National Board of Trial Advocacy in Trial Practice and is a graduate of the National Institute of Trial Advocacy (NITA) Master Advocacy Program. He is a past President of the Albany County Bar Association and a past member of the New York State Bar Association House of Delegates. Mr. Higgins has frequently spoken to attorneys’ groups on trial practice and representing plaintiffs in personal injury matters. In December 2013, the American Association of Justice (AAJ) *Trial* magazine published an article co-authored by Mr. Higgins on the illegal practice of corporate dentistry and its effects on young children.

Mr. Higgins has also spearheaded Albany County Bar Association programs held with fire, police and local hospitals to give free bike helmets to children and to educate children on safe biking. Mr. Higgins served on the Independent Judicial Elections Qualification Commission for the Third Judicial District for six years until January 1, 2014.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

JEFFREY KYLE ANDERSON, ESQ.

Jeffrey Kyle Anderson is managing partner in the law firm of Anderson, Moschetti & Taffany, PLLC. He received his Bachelor of Arts degree from Colgate University, *cum laude*, in 1978, and Juris Doctor degree from Albany Law School of Union University in 1981.

For the past 31 years, Mr. Anderson has represented clients in personal injury and wrongful death cases arising from automobile accidents, defective products, medical negligence, construction site accidents and unsafe premises. He has obtained numerous multi-million-dollar settlements and verdicts on behalf of his clients, including a verdict of \$4.8 million in a products liability case.

Mr. Anderson is admitted to practice in state and federal courts in New York and is a member of the American Bar Association, American Association for Justice, New York State Bar Association, New York State Trial Lawyers Association (former member, Board of Directors), Albany, Rensselaer and Saratoga County Bar Associations and is a past President of the Capital District Trial Lawyers Association.

Mr. Anderson is also a founding partner of Litigation Resolution Services, which provides alternative dispute services in upstate New York. He has handled over 400 mediations and arbitrations of personal injury and wrongful death cases. He is also a member of the Dispute Resolution Section of the New York State Bar Association, having served as Co-Liaison to the Torts, Insurance, and Compensation Law Section, and as a member of the Arbitration, Mediation and ADR in the Courts Committees. Mr. Anderson received training in mediation from Harvard Negotiation Institute at Harvard Law School.

Mr. Anderson regularly lectures at seminars on personal injury, products liability, medical malpractice, workplace injuries and trial practice. He has lectured at numerous annual Decisions programs for the New York State Trial Lawyers Association, Capital District Trial Lawyers Association, New York State Bar Association, American Bar Association, American Association for Justice, Society of Safety Engineers, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, National Business Institute and for community organizations.

Mr. Anderson has been consistently voted as one of the top attorneys in upstate New York in *Super Lawyers*, and for 10 years has been rated *AV Preeminent* by Martindale-Hubbell. He has also been selected for inclusion in the 2014 edition of *The Best Lawyers in America* in the practice area of Products Liability Litigation.

ANNA F. ANGEL, ESQ.

Anna F. Angel is an associate in Miller Mayer LLP's immigration group. Her practice focuses on employment-based immigration, assisting universities, and high-tech and medical institutions in obtaining non-immigrant and immigrant status for their employees, and on obtaining immigration benefits for foreign medical graduates, such as J-1 Waivers and National Interest Waivers. She also represents EB-5 immigrant investors and assists individuals with a variety of family-based immigration and naturalization matters.

Prior to law school, Ms. Angel worked as a paralegal at immigration law firms in Boston and Manhattan, and as a Law Clerk at Miller Mayer. Fluent in Spanish, Ms. Angel received her J.D. from Cornell Law School and her B.A. from Cornell University.

DAVID A. BALL, PH.D.

David Ball, Ph.D., has spent 15 years teaching law students, practicing attorneys, and judges across the country and in Canada. He is a national authority on focus groups, case analysis and presentation, advocacy skills, damages strategies, and jury selection. Of his 50 death-penalty cases, only two defendants are on death row. Dr. Ball is a partner in Miller Malekpour & Ball in Durham, North Carolina. He has written three best-selling trial advocacy books: *Theatre Tips and Strategies for Jury Trials*, *How to Do Your Own Focus Groups*, and *David Ball on Damages*.

NORA RIVA BERGMAN, ESQ.

As a coach and Practice Advisor with Atticus, Nora R. Bergman is dedicated to helping attorneys create the lives and law practices they dreamed of when they were in law school. She knows the frustrations that attorneys experience every day and is committed to helping them change their lives for the better.

Ms. Bergman brings a deep understanding of the practice and business of law to her work as coach and Practice Advisor. She has practiced as an employment law attorney. She is a certified mediator and has served as a

CONTRIBUTOR BIOGRAPHIES

professor at both Stetson University College of Law and the University of South Florida, teaching courses in alternative dispute resolution and negotiation. In addition, Ms. Bergman has been a speaker at conferences for the American Bar Association, the Florida Bar and other national legal associations. She has also served as the Executive Director of the St. Petersburg Bar Association.

Her background as an attorney, law professor and bar executive gives her a unique perspective on the challenges lawyers face and makes her a powerful coach. In addition to her broad experience with all aspects of the law firm, Ms. Bergman understands the importance of, and is committed to, helping attorneys leverage technology in order to maximize their ultimate success.

Ms. Bergman is a graduate of the prestigious Leadership Development Program (LDP) at Eckerd College, and is certified in the Conflict Dynamics Profile® developed by the LDP to help individuals and organizations learn how to deal with conflict constructively. She is also certified in the DISC® Behavioral Style Assessment.

Ms. Bergman received an undergraduate degree in journalism, *summa cum laude*, from the University of South Florida and her J.D., *cum laude*, from Stetson University College of Law, where she was a member of the Law Review and served as a mentor for incoming students. For further information, see www.atticusonline.com and www.norarivabergman.com.

MICHAEL G. BERSANI, ESQ.

Michael G. Bersani joined the firm of Michaels and Smolak, PC, in Auburn, New York, in 1995, coming from the Appellate Division, Fourth Department, where he clerked for two years. A *magna cum laude* graduate of Syracuse University College of Law in 1993, Mr. Bersani was an Associate Editor of the *Syracuse Law Review*, a member of the Order of the Coif and the Justinian Society, and a recipient of the Scribe Award. He practices in the areas of personal injury, appellate practice, and products liability. Mr. Bersani has authored numerous articles appearing in the New York State Trial Lawyers Association quarterly *Bill of Particulars* and the New York State Bar Association *Journal*. He is a frequent lecturer at law seminars throughout New York State, mainly on the topic of municipal liability.

SCOTT W. BUSH, ESQ.

Scott W. Bush is a member of the firm of Corrigan, McCoy & Bush, PLLC. He was admitted to the bar in the State of New York in January 1983, having attended Albany Law School. Mr. Bush's area of concentration is legal malpractice defense work as well as representing attorneys in front of the various grievance committees.

HUGH W. CAMPBELL, ESQ.

Hugh W. Campbell is a principal with the law firm of Rodman and Campbell, P.C., where he practices in the areas of personal injury, motor vehicle accidents, construction accidents, premises liability, slip and fall, wrongful death and police misconduct.

Mr. Campbell is a past president of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association, and a past president of the Bronx County Bar Association. He frequently lectures on the topics of personal injury and trial practice for various bar associations.

Mr. Campbell serves as the Chair of the Bronx County Bar Association's Continuing Legal Education Program, and is a member of its Civil Courts Committee. A former member of the New York State Commission to Examine Solo and Small Firm Practice, he currently serves as a member of the Independent Judicial Election Qualification Commission for the Supreme Court of the State of New York: Twelfth Judicial Department. He is a member of the American Association for Justice (formerly, Association of Trial Lawyers of America). Mr. Campbell is a graduate of Boston College and Hofstra University School of Law.

BENEDENE N. CANNATA, ESQ.

Benedene Cannata is a graduate of Colgate University and New York Law School. She is of counsel to The Flomenhaft Law Firm, PLLC, a firm concentrating in neurolaw. Since admission to the bar in 1987, she has been associated with firms in New York City and Long Island, handling medical, dental, legal, and veterinary malpractice, premises liability, motor-vehicle accidents, and construction-site accidents. After years of court appearances, depositions, client interviews and investigations, and managing cases from intake to trial, she now concentrates on appellate work as well as other legal research and writing.

Ms. Cannata's publications include the First and Second Editions of *New York Judge Reviews* (1997 James Publishing Co.), an annotated ref-

CONTRIBUTOR BIOGRAPHIES

erence book profiling over 200 New York State Supreme Court Justices. She has contributed to the West publication *Litigating MIST Cases* and to the ATLA Motor Vehicle and Premises Liability Section newsletters.

Ms. Cannata is a Dean of the New York State Academy of Trial Lawyers. She has presented various CLE courses for the Trial Academy, Monroe County Bar Association, New York State Bar Association, and New York City Bar Association on various subjects relating to personal injury practice, including discovery, expert witnesses, doctors and their records at trial, *Frye* issues, appeals, and legal writing.

Ms. Cannata loves living in Rochester, having moved there in 2002. She currently resides in a 19th-century farmhouse with her husband and pets.

HONORABLE JOHN C. CHERUNDOLO

John C. Cherundolo graduated from Syracuse University Maxwell School with a major in political science, and a master's degree in public administration. He graduated from Syracuse University College of Law, *cum laude* in 1973 where he was a member of Justinian Honor Society and Order of the Coif.

Upon completion of law school, he entered the private practice of law in Syracuse with some of the most prestigious firms in the city. In 1983, Mr. Cherundolo founded Cherundolo, Bottar & Leone, P.C. to focus on helping victims and their families in personal injury litigation. He has experience in all types of injury claims; however, the concentration is on medical malpractice, product liability, workplace accidents, automobile and aviation matters.

Mr. Cherundolo is a Fellow of the International Academy of Trial Lawyers, a Fellow of the American College of Trial Lawyers, an Adjunct Professor of Law at the Syracuse University College of Law and a Fellow of the Million Dollar Advocates Forum. He has been named in *Best Lawyers in America* for the past decade and has been included in *Who's Who in American Law*. A former State delegate of the American Trial Lawyers Association, he has served as President of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association. He is a former member of the Presidents' Council, ATLA; a former Dean of the New York Trial Lawyers Institute; the former chair of the Steering Committee of the Alliance for Consumer Rights; and a past State Coordinator for the Trial Lawyers for Public Justice. He is a Member of the Board of Directors of the New York State Trial Law-

yers Association. Mr. Cherundolo has had many of the largest personal injury verdicts and settlements in Upstate New York, and has been involved in personal injury cases throughout the Northeast United States. He is actively involved in community activities, committees and foundations.

Mr. Cherundolo is a frequent lecturer, speaking regularly at New York State Trial Lawyers Association conferences and local bar associations' continuing education legal seminars.

CARL D. COPPS, ESQ.

Carl D. Copps is of counsel to the firm of Copps & DiPaola, where he does consulting work on matters of workers' compensation law, with an emphasis on workers' compensation carrier's liens under WCL § 29. Prior to entering private practice, he was a Staff Attorney at the New York State Bar Association, coordinating and facilitating the presentation of continuing legal education programs on a variety of topics statewide. Previously, he was Principal Attorney at the Office of Appeals of the New York State Workers' Compensation Board. A graduate of Albany Law School, he began his career at the New York State Insurance Fund and, in 1998, was hired by the New York State Workers' Compensation Board to recruit, organize and supervise a staff of approximately 70 members in forming a professionalized Office of Appeals. Mr. Copps is a frequent lecturer for the Albany County Bar Association, the Capital District Trial Lawyers Association, New York State Self-Insurers Association and Albany Law School, and other organizations. He is a member of the New York State Bar Association and its Committee on Attorneys in Public Service (CAPS), the Albany County Bar Association, Capital District Women's Bar Association, and the Capital District Trial Lawyers Association. He has authored chapters in several New York State Bar Association Continuing Legal Education coursebooks on topics of workers' compensation law and plaintiff's personal injury actions.

W. RUSSELL CORKER, ESQ.

Russell Corker is a medical malpractice attorney in private practice at the Law Office of W. Russell Corker, PC. Previously, he was a senior partner of the firm Shayne, Dachs, Corker, Sauer & Dachs, LLP in Mineola. After graduating from Boston University School of Law in 1974, he was an Assistant District Attorney of Nassau County, and then practiced in a firm that represented doctors and hospitals in medical malpractice lawsuits. In 1980, he joined Shayne, Dachs and became the principal trial attorney representing plaintiffs in medical malpractice, personal injury,

CONTRIBUTOR BIOGRAPHIES

labor law and products liability cases. He oversees all of the plaintiff cases in the firm, from intake until completion and was voted one of the Ten Leaders of Civil Trial Law and one of Top Ten Trial Attorneys on Long Island.

Mr. Corker is board certified in medical professional liability by the American Board of Professional Liability Attorneys. He is a member of numerous legal organizations, including the American Bar Association, American College of Legal Medicine, New York State Bar Association, New York State Trial Lawyers Association, Association of Trial Lawyers of America and American Board of Trial Advocates. Nassau-Suffolk Trial Lawyers Association, which he chaired, the Nassau County Bar Association, for which he chaired the Medical Jurisprudence Committee, and currently is the Chairman of the Grievance Committee, Chairman of the Supreme Court Committee and sits on the Judicial Screening and Nominating Committees. Mr. Corker received the Nassau County Bar Association's "President's Award" for 2005. He has frequently spoken at various programs on topics related to medicine, medical malpractice, trauma, trial practice and personal injury litigation for the Nassau County Bar Association Academy of Law, New York State Bar Association, New York State Trial Lawyers Association, the Practising Law Institute, New School for Social Research, Hofstra University School of Law, Molloy College of Nursing, St. John's University School of Law and State University of New York at Stonybrook School of Medicine. He has also published articles on medical and hospital liability, medicine and the law, and trial practice.

ROBERT T. DECATALDO, ESQ.

Robert T. DeCataldo was appointed chief clerk of the New York State Court of Claims in November 2005. Mr. DeCataldo began his career with the court as principal law clerk to the Honorable Richard E. Sise in January 2001 and continued in that position until his appointment as chief clerk. He is a member of the National Association for Court Management.

After earning a B.A. in political science in 1980 from Siena College and his J.D. in 1983 from Western New England College School of Law, Mr. DeCataldo was admitted to practice law in Massachusetts in 1983 and in New York in 1984; he is also admitted to practice in the Northern and Western Districts of New York. He began his career in Massachusetts and returned to the Capital District, where he practiced with DiFabio, Tommaney and Legnard and then Harvey & Harvey, Mumford & Kingsley before joining the Civil Service Employees Association as associate coun-

sel. In 1994 Mr. DeCataldo and his wife opened DeCataldo and DeCataldo, where he practiced until joining the court.

LAURENCE M. DEUTSCH, ESQ.

Laurence M. Deutsch is a partner at North & Deutsch LLP (New York, New York) where he concentrates in medical malpractice litigation.

Mr. Deutsch obtained his J.D. from the University of Chicago Law School. Admitted to the New York Bar in 1998, he began practice at Whiteman Osterman (Albany, New York) in environmental and corporate law. Mr. Deutsch then became an Associate at the medical malpractice defense firm of Bower & Gardner (New York, New York) through the dissolution of that firm, thereafter becoming Senior Trial Attorney at Breitter & Hoffman, a medical malpractice defense firm.

Before the formation of North & Deutsch LLP, Mr. Deutsch was the Principal of Laurence M. Deutsch Law Firm, P.C. (New York, New York), engaged in plaintiffs' medical malpractice litigation.

Mr. Deutsch is the author of *Medical Records for Attorneys* (American Bar Association, 2001), a full-length treatise on medical records and malpractice litigation. He has been co-author of the "Damages" chapter of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association *Decisions* annual update (2005, 2006, 2012 and 2013 editions) and contributing author to the New York State Bar Association's *Environmental Law Handbook* (1992).

Mr. Deutsch is an active CLE speaker for the New York State Trial Lawyers Association and National Business Institute, on topics of trial practice, medical malpractice litigation, evidence and expert witness issues.

Mr. Deutsch is an active member of the New York City Bar Association Medical Malpractice Committee, an invited group comprised of several sitting New York State Supreme Court judges and representatives of the principal medical malpractice firms in New York City.

EILEEN F. FAZZONE, ESQ.

Eileen F. Fazzone is a Principal Court Attorney for the New York State Court of Claims. She provides counsel to the Clerk of the Court on issues regarding the operation and management of court facilities, including the leasing of space and the procurement of goods and services. In addition, she provides legal support to the Clerk's staff, assists attorneys and pro se

CONTRIBUTOR BIOGRAPHIES

litigants on jurisdictional and procedural matters, and performs legal research and writing for judges of the court statewide. She is a liaison to the Unified Court System's Americans with Disabilities Act Committee and coordinates the Court of Claims' participation in the Unified Court System Quality Service Program. Prior to joining the Court of Claims in 1997, Ms. Fazzone spent four years as a court attorney on the central staff of the New York State Court of Appeals. She received her B.A. from St. Lawrence University and her J.D. from Albany Law School.

JAMES S. FIEDLER, ESQ.

James S. Fiedler, Esq., is Managing Attorney of the New York State Insurance Fund (NYSIF), the largest workers' compensation insurer in the state. Mr. Fiedler has been an attorney at NYSIF for over 25 years, concentrating in the areas of third-party/subrogation, employer liability, and premium collection. Mr. Fiedler has been a frequent presenter at various workers' compensation seminars, such as "What Are You Going to Do about That Lien?," Nassau Academy of Law (2005), and "Kelly and the Workers' Compensation Interplay," in connection with the New York State Bar Association Continuing Legal Education program on Premises Liability/Construction Work-Site Accidents (1996). Mr. Fiedler is a contributing author to the forthcoming NYSBA Continuing Legal Education Publication on Workers' Compensation Law.

DONALD P. FORD, JR., ESQ.

Donald P. Ford, Jr., devotes the majority of his time to insurance defense, medical malpractice insurance coverage and personal injury litigation. Mr. Ford is active in all courts in upstate New York and is admitted in all state courts, the U.S. District Court for the Northern and Western Districts of New York and the U. S. Court of Appeals, Second Circuit.

Mr. Ford is a member of the Albany County Bar Association, New York State Bar Association and Federation of Insurance Counsel. He is an active member of the Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law Section of the New York State Bar Association, serving as Upstate CLE Program Chairperson. As such, Mr. Ford has chaired and lectured at annual programs on "Recent Developments in Personal Injury Litigation," "Recent Developments on Personal Injury Law" and "Automobile Insurance Law and Practice." In addition, Mr. Ford has authored a chapter on "Underinsured Coverage" for an insurance monograph, to be published by the New York State Bar Association. He has also lectured extensively throughout the state of New York about underinsured coverage and has published on the subject of civil rights violations under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Mr. Ford has practiced law with Thuillez, Ford, Gold, Butler & Monroe, LLP and its predecessor firms for over 30 years. He represents various insurers and national corporations in serious personal injury matters, including claims involving negligence, products liability, medical malpractice, premises liability and civil rights claims. He received his B.A. from Boston College, *summa cum laude* and Phi Beta Kappa, and his J.D. from Albany Law School.

HAL M. FRIEDMAN, ESQ.

Hal Friedman is an Associate Attorney with the New York State Insurance Fund. A graduate of New York Law School, Mr. Friedman has worked for the Fund for over 25 years, focusing on the collection of workers' compensation liens. He has acquired extensive knowledge of the rules, regulations and court decisions governing the determination of which workers' compensation payments are subject to being claimed as liens on third-party recoveries and what percentage of these liens must be contributed to pay the Fund's share of the recoveries' litigation costs. He has taught CLE courses on this subject. He is married and lives in Park Slope, Brooklyn.

RICHARD H. FRIEDMAN, ESQ.

Rick Friedman conducts a national trial practice from offices in Bremerton, Washington, and Anchorage, Alaska. He is a member of the Inner Circle of Advocates and author of *Rules of the Road: A Plaintiff Lawyer's Guide to Proving Liability*; *Polarizing the Case: Exposing and Defeating the Malingering Myth*; *Rick Friedman on Becoming a Trial Lawyer*; and *The Elements of Trial*. They are available from Trial Guides at www.trialguides.com.

EVAN M. GOLDBERG, ESQ.

A partner at Trolman, Glaser & Lichtman, P.C., in New York City, Evan M. Goldberg has been repeatedly recognized as a *Super Lawyer* by a national ranking organization. He focuses his practice on negligence, products liability, medical malpractice, construction, automobile and premises accidents, lead-paint litigation and wrongful death. Mr. Goldberg is an Executive Committee Member of the New York State Bar Association, a Fellow of the New York Bar Foundation, Past Chair of the NYSBA's Trial Lawyers Section, Chair of the Section's Trial Practice and Membership Committees, and the 9th Judicial District Representative for the Section. He also serves on the Torts and Federal Legislative Priorities Committees for the NYSBA. He is an Officer and Director of the New

York State Trial Lawyers Association and is a member of its Medical Malpractice Taskforce and Legislative Committees; he is a Dean of the New York State Trial Lawyers Institute. He has been a District Leader of the Westchester County Democratic Committee since 1995. Mr. Goldberg has lectured widely, serving as Chair and moderator for programs offered by the New York State Bar Association, the New York State Trial Lawyers Institute, and the Kings County Inns of Court. He has authored articles for the New York State Bar Association, the *New York Law Journal*, *Trial Lawyers Digest* and the New York State Trial Lawyers Institute's *Bill of Particulars*. He has served as a legal commentator on network television and radio. He is a 1989 graduate of Brooklyn Law School.

J. MICHAEL HAYES, ESQ.

J. Michael Hayes has his own firm in Buffalo, N.Y., practicing in personal injury litigation. He is Board Certified by the National Board of Trial Advocacy as a Civil Trial Advocate,¹ was a Charter Member of the Buffalo Chapter of the American Board of Trial Advocates, President of the Inns of Court, Buffalo Chapter, has been on the Board of Directors for the New York State Trial Academy, and President of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association, Western District of New York. Mr. Hayes has been and is featured in "Who's Who in Law" for Western New York in *Business First*, *New York Super Lawyers*, *Best Lawyers in America*, *The Bar Register of Preeminent Lawyers*, *Who's Who in America*, *Who's Who in American Law* and he is "AV" rated by Martindale-Hubbell.

Born in St. Louis, Missouri, in 1946, Mr. Hayes attended the University of Notre Dame and SUNY at Brockport, graduating *summa cum laude* in 1973. He graduated from University of Buffalo Law School in 1976, having received an award for excellence in the Senior Trial Technique Course. He has been an adjunct professor at the University of Buffalo Law School where he has taught courses on motor vehicle accidents and personal injury litigation since 2001. Mr. Hayes is a frequent lecturer for the New York State Bar Association, The New York State Trial Academy, the Erie Bar Institute as well as the National Institute for Trial Advocacy. He is the author of *New York Motor Vehicle Accidents* (James Publishing Co., 1999), and numerous articles in local and statewide publications including the New York State Bar Association *Journal* and the New York State Trial Lawyers Association's *Bill of Particulars*.

¹ The National Board of Trial Advocacy is not affiliated with any governmental authority.

DAVID PAUL HOROWITZ, ESQ.

David Paul Horowitz (david@newyorkpractice.org) has represented plaintiffs in personal injury cases for over 25 years and is of counsel to Ressler & Ressler in New York City. He is the author of *New York Civil Disclosure* and *Bender's New York Evidence* (both by LexisNexis), the 2008 and 2014 supplements to *Fisch on New York Evidence* (Lond Publications), and, since 2005, the monthly "Burden of Proof" column in the New York State Bar Association *Journal*. Mr. Horowitz teaches New York Practice at Columbia Law School and Professional Responsibility and Electronic Evidence & Disclosure at Brooklyn Law School. He serves on the Office of Court Administration's CPLR Advisory Committee, as Associate Reporter to the New York Pattern Jury Instruction (PJI) Committee, and is a frequent lecturer and writer on these subjects.

ROBERT A. HYMAN, ESQ.

Robert A. Hyman, deceased, was the managing partner at the Law Offices of Robert A. Hyman, P.C., in Pleasantville, N.Y. He concentrated his practice in the representation of the victims of nursing home and elder care neglect and abuse, medical malpractice, automobile accidents, negligence, construction accidents and premises liability. Mr. Hyman was a President's Club member of the Association of Trial Lawyers of America and a member of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association and the New York State Bar Association. Mr. Hyman was also a member of the National Citizens Coalition for Nursing Home Reform, the Long Term Care Community Coalition, Friends and Relatives of Institutionalized Aged, and the American Medical Directors Association. Admitted to practice in both New York and Massachusetts, Mr. Hyman was a *cum laude* graduate of Vermont Law School, and held a master's degree in cell and molecular biology from the State University of New York at Buffalo. He also wrote and lectured on nursing home negligence in New York.

E. STEWART JONES, JR., ESQ.

E. Stewart Jones, Jr. graduated from Williams College in 1963 and Albany Law School in 1966, joining the firm of E. Stewart Jones, PLLC, in Troy, N.Y. following graduation. His practice concentrates on the representation of victims in personal injury and wrongful death cases of all kinds and in the defense of major criminal cases in all federal and state courts. Mr. Jones is a fellow of the International Academy of Trial Lawyers, the Inner Circle of Advocates, the International Society of Barristers and the American College of Trial Lawyers. He is also a Diplomat of the American Board of Trial Advocates and the American Board of Profes-

sional Liability Attorneys. He is a Fellow of the American Board of Professional Liability Attorneys, the American Bar Foundation, the New York State Bar Foundation and the American Board of Criminal Lawyers. Mr. Jones has been listed in the *Best Lawyers in America* from the first through the current editions (currently in five areas), and is listed in *Who's Who in American Law* and *Who's Who in America*. He was named one of the top 25 attorneys in the Hudson Valley and one of the top 10 lawyers in Upstate New York in *Super Lawyers Magazine* in 2007 and every year since. Active in civic and community affairs, he has served on many boards of trustees and directors, including the Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York, the Albany Academy, Albany Law School and the New York State Trial Lawyers Association, among many others, and has chaired numerous capital campaigns and annual funds.

Mr. Jones is the recipient of the New York State Bar Association's Criminal Justice Section's Outstanding Practitioner Award (1980), the Albany Academy Distinguished Alumnus Award (2002), the Albany Law School Trustees Gold Medal (2003), Law Day 2005—Equal Access to Justice Award from the Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York, Partners in Literacy Award—Literacy Volunteers of Rensselaer County (2005), Capital District Trial Lawyers Association—Daniel Mahoney Memorial Charter President's Award (2006), Citizen Laureate Award—The University at Albany Foundation (2008), American Cancer Society—Beacon of Hope Award (2010), and St. Rose Community Service Award (2010). He has authored numerous articles and chapters in a broad variety of trial advocacy books, and lectured extensively throughout the state of New York on all facets of personal injury lawsuits.

LESLIE DEBRA KELMACHER, ESQ.

Leslie Kelmacher has a proven track record of success in achieving multi-million-dollar results for clients in all areas of personal injury, medical malpractice and defective product cases. Well known in the New York legal community for her outstanding work in the courtroom, she is a leader in New York's legal profession. She has successfully tried cases in a variety of matters including faulty construction, missed medical diagnoses, lead poisoning, toxic chemical exposures, premises liability and wrongful death and shares her expertise through leadership in many professional organizations.

Ms. Kelmacher served in the prestigious position of Chair for the Tort Litigation Committee, Association of the Bar of the City of New York and on that Association's Committee on the Judiciary. She is also on the

THE PLAINTIFF'S PERSONAL INJURY ACTION

Board of Directors of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association and for many years chaired its Annual Continuing Legal Education Seminar, "DECISIONS." She is also Past President of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association. She is a frequent lecturer and teacher on various topics in personal injury and medical malpractice law for bar groups, including the New York State Bar Association, New York State Trial Lawyers Institute and the City Bar Association.

Ms. Kelmachter has extensive courtroom experience in state and federal courts and takes pride in the results she has obtained for injured people and their families.

She received her law degree (J.D.) at Albany Law School, Union University and her undergraduate degree (B.A. *magna cum laude*) at the State University of New York.

DANIEL KRAMER, ESQ.

Daniel Kramer, now retired, was a sole practitioner in New York City, concentrating in personal injury litigation. Mr. Kramer was also an Adjunct Professor of Law at St. John's University School of Law where he taught Legal Medicine since 1999. Prior to this, he taught a course in Medical Malpractice as an Adjunct Professor of Law at New York Law School for eight years. Mr. Kramer graduated *summa cum laude* with a B.A. degree from Syracuse University and graduated in the top 2% of the class from St. John's University School of Law. He is a former partner of Kramer, Dillof, Tessel, Duffy & More, a personal injury litigation firm with an emphasis in Medical Malpractice and a former partner of DiJoseph & Gluck, a firm concentrating in personal injury litigation and appeals.

Mr. Kramer served as a pro bono attorney for victims who filed claims for compensation from the Victims Compensation Fund from September 11, 2001 until July 15, 2004. During this time period, he filed more claims pro bono than any other attorney or firm in the country, and was recognized for this in an article by *The New York Times* and honored by *Lawyer's Weekly* as one of the Ten Best Attorneys for the Year in 2004. Mr. Kramer is a frequent lecturer for organizations including the New York State Trial Lawyers Association, New York State Bar Association and Practising Law Institute. He is the co-author of several books including *Medical Malpractice, Editions 4 and 5* (published by P.L.I.), *Medical Malpractice Discovery and Trial, Edition 6* (published by P.L.I.) and *Evidence in Negligence Cases, Editions 6 and 7* (published by P.L.I.), as well

CONTRIBUTOR BIOGRAPHIES

as the author of numerous articles, including monthly columns published in the *New York Law Journal* and other legal trade publications. He received the Bergen County “Salute the Champions” award for his work with organizations that help handicapped persons.

ROBERT E. LAHM, ESQ.

Robert E. Lahm, of the firm Robert E. Lahm, PLLC, concentrates his practice on personal injury, medical malpractice, products liability, and all other torts and trial law. Admitted to practice in the State of New York and the State of Pennsylvania, the Federal District Courts and the Federal 2d Circuit Court of Appeals, he is a Board Certified Civil Trial Advocate. Mr. Lahm is a Past President, Director and one of the founders of the New York State Academy of Trial Lawyers. He is also Co-Chair of the Fifth District Jury Project and Co-Chair of the Fifth Judicial District Task Force. Mr. Lahm is a Past President of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association and Past President of the Upstate Trial Lawyers Association. He has lectured widely on topics as diverse as products liability, opening statements and jury selection, trial techniques, trial practice and anatomy. He is listed in *The Best Lawyers in America, 1997–Present* and the 2013 *New York Super Lawyers Upstate* edition, and was recently honored as Best Lawyer of the Year 2014 by *The Best Lawyers in America*. A former Adjunct Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, S.U.N.Y. Health and Science Center, Mr. Lahm was a *magna cum laude* graduate of Fairleigh Dickinson University and received his Juris Doctor from Syracuse University College of Law. He is a member of the American Association of Justice, the New York State Bar Association, the New York State Academy of Trial Lawyers, the Onondaga County Bar Association, the Oswego County Bar Association, the Civil Justice Foundation and the Women’s Bar Association of New York.

PAUL A. LEVINE, ESQ.

A member of the law firm Lemery Greisler, LLC, in Albany, New York, Paul A. Levine concentrates his practice on bankruptcy, creditors’ rights and commercial litigation. A graduate of George Washington University Law School, he is a frequent lecturer for the New York State Bar Association, Capital Region Bankruptcy Bar Association, Albany County Bar Association, and other CLE providers. Mr. Levine was Chair of the 2000 Annual Conference of the Capital Region Bankruptcy Association and Co-Chair of the American Bar Association 2005 Judicial Divisions Inaugural Bench and Bar Bankruptcy Conference in Washington, D.C. He is a Past President of the Capital Region Bankruptcy Bar Association.

Licensed in both New York State and District of Columbia, he is a member of New York State Bar Association and Capital Region Bankruptcy Bar Association.

KEVIN J. MACDONALD, ESQ.

Kevin J. Macdonald is a Principal Court Attorney with the New York State Court of Claims. He provides counsel to the Clerk's Office and its staff regarding court operations, procedure and jurisdiction as well as information regarding Court of Claims practice and procedure to attorneys and pro se litigants. He also performs legal research and writing services for the court's judges and supervises the court's pro se unit and library. He is a panel member of the Unified Court System's Anti-Discrimination Panel and also scores bar exam essays for the New York State Board of Law Examiners. Prior to his position as Court Attorney, he was an Appellate Court Attorney for the Appellate Division, Third Department, Law Clerk to a Court of Claims Judge; and a Law Clerk to an Associate Judge of the Court of Appeals. He received his B.A. from State University of New York at Albany and his J.D. from Vanderbilt University School of Law.

ARTEMIS H. MALEKPOUR, ESQ.

Artemis H. Malekpour is a partner in North Carolina's national trial consulting firm of Miller Malekpour & Ball, concentrating in focus groups, case strategy and jury selection. She holds a B.A. in psychology from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, a master's degree in Healthcare Administration from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Public Health, and her J.D. degree with honors from Duke University School of Law. She is a member of the Orange County, 15B Judicial District, North Carolina and American Bar Associations, the North Carolina State Bar, the North Carolina Academy of Trial Lawyers, the American Association for Justice, and the American Society of Trial Consultants.

PATRICK A. MALONE, ESQ.

Patrick A. Malone is a leading patient safety advocate and attorney who represents seriously injured people in medical malpractice lawsuits product liability cases and other types of lawsuits. Mr. Malone has won a long string of exceptional verdicts and settlements on behalf of his clients. He is the coauthor of *Rules of the Road: A Plaintiff Lawyer's Guide to Proving Liability* (2nd edition, Trial Guides, 2010), an acclaimed book teaching other attorneys how to win cases, and has spoken about the

“Rules of the Road” approach to lawyer groups all over the country. He appeared on the Today Show to discuss his book for patients, *The Life You Save: Nine Steps to Finding the Best Medical Care—and Avoiding the Worst*. He also wrote *Winning Medical Malpractice Cases With the Rules of the Road™ Technique* (Trial Guides, 2012) and has chaired several national seminars featuring the book’s techniques.

A 1984 graduate of Yale Law School, Mr. Malone clerked with U.S. District Judge Gerhard Gesell in Washington for one year before starting his law practice. He is a member of the Inner Circle of Advocates, and a Fellow of the International Academy of Trial Lawyers. A past president of the Trial Lawyers Association of Metropolitan Washington, D.C. (2005–2006), Mr. Malone is a recipient of that organization’s “Lawyer of the Year” award. He has been recognized as a leader in the field by the *Lawdragon 500 Leading Lawyers in America* (2008), *The Best Lawyers in America* (2006 edition to present) and Super Lawyers.

Mr. Malone is a Certified Civil Trial Advocate of the National Board of Trial Advocacy. He is a member of the American Law Institute and served on the National Academy of Sciences’ Panel on Science, Technology and Law from 2000 to 2006. Mr. Malone is a frequent lecturer at continuing legal education programs for lawyers, and he has lectured at grand rounds at Yale-New Haven Hospital and has spoken to others doctors’ groups. He has written articles for *Trial*, *Litigation*, *The American Scholar* and the *Washington Post*, among others. One of his notable appellate cases includes *Benedi v. McNeil-PPC Inc.*, 66 F.3d 1378 (4th Cir. 1995) (affirming an \$8-million award for Malone’s client against Tylenol manufacturer for acetaminophen-induced liver failure). At Yale, he was an editor of the *Yale Law Journal* and won the Harlan Fiske Stone Prize and Potter Stewart Prize for best moot court efforts, along with the C. LaRue Munson Prize for legal clinic work. Before attending law school, Mr. Malone was a journalist, writing for United Press International and the *Miami Herald*. He was a finalist for the Pulitzer Prize in 1980 for a series of articles he coauthored, titled “Dangerous Doctors.” Other journalism awards he received were from the Newspaper Guild, American Bar Association, Sigma Delta Chi, National Headliners Club, American Academy of Family Physicians and the Florida Medical Association. Malone received his B.A. in 1972 from the University of Kansas, *summa cum laude*, Phi Beta Kappa. He lives with his wife Vicki in Chevy Chase, MD, and practices from offices three blocks north of the White House in downtown Washington.

DEBRA MILLER

Debra Miller is a partner in North Carolina's national trial consulting firm of Miller Malekpour & Ball. Her trial consulting expertise comes from her background in marketing, advertising, persuasion and communications as applied to decision making. She has worked on a wide variety of civil and criminal cases across the country, providing strategy, jury selection, jury group research, advocacy skills, and post-trial interviews and analyses. She has taught at the law schools at the University of North Carolina, Campbell and Duke, and for such groups as the American Association for Justice (formerly ATLA), ATLA-New Jersey, and the North Carolina Academy of Trial Lawyers.

HENRY G. MILLER, ESQ.

Henry G. Miller is the senior member of the White Plains, New York, law firm of Clark, Gagliardi & Miller, P.C. Mr. Miller's trial work has usually been for plaintiffs in civil cases. A graduate of St. John's College (1952) and St. John's Law School (1959), Mr. Miller is a Past President of both the Westchester County Bar Association and the New York State Bar Association. He is a Past Regent of the American College of Trial Lawyers, a Past Director of the International Academy of Trial Lawyers, a Past Director of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association and a Fellow of both the American and New York Bar Foundations. Mr. Miller was appointed by Governor Mario Cuomo to chair the Commission on Local Government Ethics. He was appointed by Governor George Pataki to be a member of the Committee on Cameras in the Courtroom.

Mr. Miller has frequently appeared on television and radio. He often lectures at law schools and at Bar Associations on all aspects of trial practice. He is the author of *Settlements* in the Art of Advocacy series as well as the editor of the *New York Practice Guide on Negligence*, both published by Matthew Bender, and most recently, *On Trial: Lessons from a Lifetime in the Courtroom*, published by ALM Publishing.

A former Adjunct Professor of Law at St. John's Law School, Mr. Miller has written the "Ethics" chapter in the American Trial Lawyers Association's text on plaintiffs personal injury litigation titled *Atla's Liti-gating Tort Cases*. He is a past recipient of the Pace University School of Law Distinguished Service Award.

STEVEN E. NORTH, ESQ.

Steven E. North, of North & Deutsch, LLP, New York, NY, concentrates his practice in medical malpractice. He was named the Medical Malpractice Lawyer of the Year 2012 by Best Lawyers. Mr. North received his J.D. from Brooklyn Law School and LLM from New York University School of Law. Formerly an Assistant District Attorney for New York County in the Homicide Bureau, he was also Special Assistant Attorney General Bureau Chief. Mr. North is a referee for the New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct, a member of the Bench and Bar Medical Malpractice Committee of the New York County Supreme Court, and a former member of the Board of Directors of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association and appointed to the Governor's Judicial Screening Committee. He is a mediator for the U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, and a member of both the Advisory Committee on Civil Litigation, Practising Law Institute (PLI) and the Million Dollar Advocates Forum.

Mr. North's law school teaching affiliations include New York University (Judge, Appellate Argument; Alumni Advisor); Cardozo School of Law (Faculty, Intensive Trial Advocacy Program); Fordham Law School (Roscoe Pound Institute Lecture); and Brooklyn Law School (Bridge the Gap Programs). A frequent lecturer, he has presented for the New York State Bar Association, Mt. Sinai School of Medicine, Grand Rounds, Jacobi Hospital, New York State Trial Lawyers Association, PLI, Lorman, and the New York County Bar Association. His publication credits include "Damages Update," *Trial Lawyers Quarterly*, N.Y.S.T.L.A. (1991–present); "North's Ninety-Nine Pointers on Advanced Deposition Practices, Use of Experts in Medical Malpractice Cases," *New York Law Journal*; and *Managing the Multi-Million Dollar Lawsuit*.

KEVIN T. O'BRIEN, ESQ.

Kevin T. O'Brien is a structured settlement broker with Secure Settlements LLC in New York City. He is also an attorney, formerly with the law firm of Shearman & Sterling. He received his J.D. from Boston College Law School and an LLM in taxation from New York University School of Law. He is accredited by the New York State Continuing Legal Education Board to give lectures on structured settlements, and he lectures frequently to judges and attorneys on how to protect plaintiffs in structured settlements.

MARY ELLEN O'BRIEN, ESQ.

Mary Ellen O'Brien is a solo practitioner who has focused her practice in appellate advocacy of New York appeals and appellate motion practice since 2001. She is responsible for all phases of taking, perfecting and arguing appeals as well as preparation of pre- and post-trial substantive motions. She serves of counsel to appellate, insurance defense and plaintiff's firms. A 1999 graduate of St. John's University School of Law, she is admitted to practice in the state of New York. A former Second Judicial Department court attorney, Ms. O'Brien has served as in-house appellate counsel for multifaceted insurance defense litigation firm with a concentration in Labor Law, Construction, Personal Injury Defense, and Contract Law. Ms. O'Brien is also a member of several legal and professional bar associations including the Women's Bar Association of Nassau County, Nassau County Bar Association, Columbian Lawyers' Association of Nassau County, Catholic Lawyers' Association of Nassau County, and the New York County Lawyers' Association, where she is a member of the appellate practice committee, as well as the New York State Bar Association. Before joining the law profession, Ms. O'Brien, a former Peace Corps volunteer, had a long career as investment analyst and portfolio manager for many well-known Wall Street investment-banking institutions.

MICHELLE LECLAIR PANICCIA, ESQ.

Michelle LeClair Paniccia graduated, *cum laude*, from Thomas M. Cooley Law School in 1995. Thereafter, she was employed by Unum, First Cardinal Corporation and in 2001 joined the New York State Workers' Compensation Board. As a Senior Attorney in the Appellate Review Division, Ms. Paniccia reviews appeals, conducts research and prepares Memorandum of Board Panel Decisions, many of which involve issues surrounding Workers' Compensation Law § 29.

PAUL J. PENNOCK, ESQ.

For the last two decades, Paul J. Pennock has been Chair of the Pharmaceutical and Medical Device practice group at Weitz & Luxenberg. He is directly responsible for all aspects of leading a large national litigation department involving all aspects of litigation from inception through trial. He has served as lead plaintiffs' counsel as well as plaintiff steering committee member liaison counsel in numerous state and federal MDL mass tort litigations. Mr. Pennock has handled numerous "bellwether" trials and *Daubert* hearings in both state and federal courts.

GARY B. PILLERSDORF, ESQ.

Gary B. Pillersdorf is a board-certified Civil Trial attorney practicing in New York City. He has over 35 years of courtroom experience, having taken over 350 verdicts, including 28 verdicts over \$1 million. He is the principal attorney of Gary B. Pillersdorf & Associates, P.C., practicing in courtroom litigation.

Mr. Pillersdorf is currently Dean Emeritus of New York State Trial Lawyers Continuing Legal Education Institute. He is the author of the highly acclaimed textbook *New York Motor Vehicle Accidents*, published by James Publishing, and is a frequent contributor to *Trial* magazine. He has also authored a chapter on “Case Selection” in *Litigating Tort Cases*, Thomson-West Publishers 2003.

A highly sought-after speaker, Mr. Pillersdorf has lectured throughout the nation. He teaches courses in Trial Advocacy, Medicine for Lawyers and Courtroom Techniques in New York. He is a past president and current member of the Melvin Belli Society, is a member of the Million-Dollar Advocates Forum, is included in *Who’s Who in American Law* and is a “Super Lawyer”—Top Lawyers of New York. He is the senior New York State representative to the State Delegates Committee of the American Association of Justice (formerly ATLA) and has served as chair of their Motor Vehicle Collision, Highway and Premises Liability Section. A winner of the prestigious Mel Award as National Trial Lawyer of the Year, Mr. Pillersdorf is the only Northerner to win the War Horse Award from the Southern Trial Lawyers Association. He has handled numerous high-profile cases including several that were the largest verdict in the state for the year in which they were tried, and has tried many cases against municipalities.

JASON J. PLATT, ESQ.

Jason J. Platt is a partner of Doolan Platt & Setareh, LLP in Dobbs Ferry, New York. His practice is concentrated in personal injury matters, and, since 2004, he has focused almost exclusively on negligence, neglect, and abuse matters arising in nursing home, hospital, assisted living, and home care settings. An active member of the American Association for Justice Nursing Home Litigation Group, the New York State Trial Lawyers Association, and the New York State Bar Association, Mr. Platt also belongs to the National Citizens’ Coalition for Nursing Home Reform, the Long Term Care Community Coalition, Friends and Relatives of the Institutional Aged, and the American Medical Directors Association. Mr. Platt has lectured on, and co-authored, the following papers:

“Civil Prosecution of a Nursing Home Case,” the Professional Education Systems Institute; “Nursing Home Neglect and the Regulations in New York” for Lorman Education Services; and “Case Intake, Investigation, Pleadings, and Disclosure” for the Suffolk County Bar Association.

A *cum laude* graduate of the State University at Geneseo with a B.A. in Economics, Mr. Platt received his J.D. from Brooklyn Law School, where he was a member of the Moot Court Honor Society. His practice encompasses the five boroughs of New York City, surrounding counties, and upstate New York.

MARK A. POWERS

Mark Powers is President and Founder of Atticus and is a Master Certified Coach. He has been coaching trial lawyers for more than 25 years, working with top-producing litigators, increasing their incomes by 30% in the first 12 months while decreasing their time in the office by 10% to 15%.

Mr. Powers co-authored *Time Management for Attorneys*, developed in conjunction with the American Bar Association, *How Good Attorneys Become Great Rainmakers*, and *Hire Slow, Fire Fast*. He is a nationally recognized speaker who has worked with State Trial Lawyer Associations, the Law Society of Scotland, the Canadian Bar Association, the American Bar Association and various bar associations, including those in Arizona, Florida, Massachusetts, Mississippi, and New York. An expert in the field, Mr. Powers is a syndicated columnist on law firm marketing and has been featured in publications such as *Lawyers Weekly USA*, *American Bar Association Journal*, *Florida Bar News*, *Massachusetts Bar Association Lawyers Journal*, and many others.

Mr. Powers previously served as the Chief Executive Officer and President of a multi-million-dollar, privately held company, and as a Corporate Manager in a Fortune 500 company. A native of Massachusetts, he has a master's degree from Northeastern University in Boston. His undergraduate studies include a bachelor's degree in economics. Mr. Powers can be reached at mark@atticusonline.com or by visiting the Atticus web site, www.atticusonline.com.

JAMES B. REED, ESQ.

James B. Reed is a trial attorney admitted in New York and Pennsylvania and is the managing partner of the Ziff Law Firm of Elmira, New York. A 1986 graduate of Dickinson School of Law, he is a member of the Multi-Million Dollar Advocates Forum, New York State and American Bar Associations, the New York Trial Lawyers Association, the Pennsylvania Association for Justice, the New York Academy of Trial Lawyers and the American Association for Justice. Admitted to practice in New York and Pennsylvania, Mr. Reed was designated as a “Super Lawyer” in the area of personal injury plaintiff attorneys. Mr. Reed was selected a “Best Lawyer in the United States” for personal injury and malpractice cases and his firm was selected as a Tier 1 “Best Law Firms in the United States.”

Active in the community, he is currently the President of the *Soaring Capital Soccer Club*, a regional travel soccer club, has served on the Board of Directors for the Arnot Art Museum, the Rockwell Art Museum and the Chemung County SPCA. Mr. Reed lectures frequently and has been published in the New York State Bar Association *Journal*.

JOAN LENSKY ROBERT, ESQ.

Joan Lensky Robert is a member of Kassoff, Robert & Lerner LLP, a law firm in Rockville Centre, New York, practicing exclusively in the areas of elder law and disability law. She is an honors graduate of Skidmore College, the University of Pennsylvania, and a *summa cum laude* graduate of Touro College School of Law where she was the recipient of a Dean’s Fellowship and valedictorian of the part-time division. A member of the Executive Board of the Elder Law Section of the New York State Bar Association, she served as Chair of the Section during the 2003–2004 term. She served as a Director of the Nassau County Bar Association and is a Past Dean of the Nassau Academy of Law and a past Chair of the Elder Law/Social Services/Health Advocacy Committee of the Nassau Bar. A member of the Legal Advisory Committee of the Long Island Alzheimer’s Foundation, Ms. Robert was honored for outstanding service in 1997. She was also honored for her outstanding service to Project Real in October 2001 and was a member of the 2004 Class Leadership of the National Multiple Sclerosis Society, Long Island Chapter. She is Past President of the New York Chapter of NAELA, serves on the Board of Editors of *Bill of Particulars*, the publication of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association, and is a former editor of *Elder Law Attorney*, the quarterly publication of the Elder Law Section of the New York State Bar

Association. She also served as a past editor-in-chief of the *New York Elder Law and Guardianship Newsletter*, West Publications.

A member of the New York State Bar Association Trusts & Estates Law Section, Ms. Robert serves as a member of the Continuing Legal Education Committee. She co-wrote the chapter "Creative Advocacy" in the book *Guardianship Practice in New York State*, published by the New York State Bar Association. An author of numerous articles for community groups and professional groups concerning elder law and disability law and frequent lecturer to community groups on these topics, Ms. Robert also has served on the faculty of various Bar Association programs concerning Elder Law, Supplemental Needs Trusts and asset preservation, and has been an instructor at certified training programs for Guardians, Supplemental Needs Trust Trustees and Court Evaluators sponsored by the New York State Bar Association, the Nassau Academy of Law, St. John's University, the Association of the Bar of the City of New York and the New York County Lawyers' Association.

LAWRENCE N. ROGAK, ESQ.

Since graduating from Brooklyn Law School in 1981, Lawrence N. "Larry" Rogak has spent most of his career on the defense side of the insurance and liability area of the law, including two years working in the claims departments of insurance companies. A prolific writer, he has published hundreds of articles on insurance law practice, and two books. *Rogak's New York No-Fault Law & Practice* is the first comprehensive guide to the subject ever published. He also wrote a general liability claims-handling guide, *Rogak's New York Insurance Law*. Mr. Rogak is the managing partner of Lawrence N. Rogak LLC, an insurance defense law firm in Oceanside, New York, which is listed in *Best's Directory of Recommended Insurance Attorneys*.

Although Mr. Rogak is almost always on the defense side of the bar, the New York State Trial Lawyers Association invited him to write the no-fault section for its personal injury law treatise, released in January 2008. Mr. Rogak also publishes a daily insurance law newsletter, *The Rogak Report* (www.Rogak.com), which examines the latest court decisions.

DANIEL R. SANTOLA, ESQ.

Daniel R. Santola is a founding partner of Powers and Santola LLP, a law firm that has received the top ranking from *U.S. News* and Best Lawyers/Best Law Firms in plaintiffs' personal injury litigation and medical malpractice. He practices in the areas of personal injury, medical malprac-

tice, construction accidents and product liability law. A graduate of Albany Law School, he is the author of the book *Litigating Construction Accidents in New York* (West Publishing Co.) and has authored chapters in *Representing Plaintiffs in Medical Malpractice Cases* (Aspatore/Thomson Reuters); “Medical Equipment,” in the *Products Liability Practice Guide* (Matthew Bender & Co.); and “Construction Accidents,” in the *New York Negligence Guide* (Matthew Bender & Co.). Since 1989, he has been listed in the *Best Lawyers in America*. Mr. Santola is a Dean of the New York State Academy of Trial Lawyers, past president of the Albany County Bar Association, the Albany Chapter of the American Board of Trial Advocates, and the Capital District Trial Lawyers Association. He has been a frequent lecturer on construction accidents and has given legal seminars on medical malpractice and trial tactics.

STEPHEN G. SCHWARZ, ESQ.

Stephen G. Schwarz has been the managing partner of Faraci Lange, LLP in Rochester, N.Y., since 1995. He focuses on personal injury and business litigation, including medical malpractice, serious auto accident cases, product liability and toxic tort and environmental contamination cases, practicing in both state and federal courts. A graduate of Albany Law School, Mr. Schwarz is a former confidential law clerk to Chief Judge Michael A. Telesca, U.S. District Court for the Western District of New York. He is a Fellow in the American College of Trial Lawyers and is Past President of the Rochester Chapter of the American Board of Trial Advocates. He is also a member of the American Association for Justice, the New York State Bar Association (where he has served as Chair of the Committee on Judicial Administration), the Monroe County Bar Association (where he has served as chair of various committees and twice on the board of trustees), and is a Past President of the Genesee Valley Affiliate of the New York State Trial Lawyers Association. Mr. Schwarz has been awarded Martindale-Hubbell’s highest rating and received the Special Service Award from the U.S. District Court, Western District of New York, in 1998, and the President’s Award from the Monroe County Bar Association in 1995 for his work on the judicial candidate evaluation program. Mr. Schwarz has written articles for *Voir Dire* magazine, *Trial* magazine and the New York State Bar Association *Journal*, as well as numerous essays on topics involving civil litigation for the *Rochester Democrat & Chronicle*. He is a frequent lecturer on a range of litigation topics at continuing legal education programs presented by the New York State and Monroe County Bar Associations.

ARTHUR J. SIEGEL, ESQ.

Arthur J. Siegel, a member of Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC, is a trial lawyer practicing in the areas of commercial, personal injury, product liability, medical malpractice, and environmental litigation in both state and federal courts. He also maintains an active appellate practice in both state and federal courts. He is Past President of the Legal Aid Society of Northeastern New York; Past Co-Chair, Board of Directors, Fund Equal Justice; President of Congregation Beth Emeth, Albany, New York; and recipient of the Community Builder's Award from the Council of Community Services of New York State, Inc. He is a member of the New York State Bar Association, for which he has led CLE programs in personal injury and product liability litigation, the Federal Bar Association, Northern District of New York, and the Albany County Bar Association.

HONORABLE PAUL A. VICTOR

Paul A. Victor is a Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Bronx County. A graduate of Fordham Law School, he was previously a Judge in the Civil Court of the City of New York, Bronx County; a partner with the law firm Dublirer, Haydon, Straci & Victor; an Arbitrator with the American Arbitration Association; Counsel to Assemblyman Roberto Ramirez; Counsel to Senator Martin Connor; Counsel to Assemblyman George Friedman; Commissioner of the Law Revision Commission; member of the Medical Malpractice Mediation Panel, Bronx County Supreme Court; Board Member of the New York City Conciliation and Appeals Board; Arbitrator for the New York City Civil Court, Bronx County; Counsel to Assemblyman Alexander Chananau; partner in the law firm Russo, Stein & Carola & Victor; Assistant District Attorney in Bronx County; and a Law Assistant to Hon. Adrian P. Burke, New York Court of Appeals. Justice Victor is a Presiding Justice at the Regional Finals of the National Student Advocacy Competition sponsored by the Association of Trial Lawyers. A frequent lecturer, he presents for the New York State Trial Lawyers Association and the Bronx County Bar Association; he is a lecturer and faculty member at the annual OCA-sponsored New Judges Orientation Seminars. He was also a participating Justice in the OCA-sponsored "Jury Trial Project" and a Judge for the New York City Law Department's moot court training program.

STEPHEN A. WEINBERG, ESQ.

Stephen A. Weinberg was born and raised in the Bronx, New York, received a B.A. from Stony Brook University in 1975 and a J.D. from Pace University Law School in 1979. After five years of private practice

CONTRIBUTOR BIOGRAPHIES

in White Plains, he joined the Court of Claims in 1985 as law clerk to Hon. Henry W. Lengyel. During his 29 years with the Court of Claims, he has served as law clerk to presiding Judge Christopher J. Mega, Judge Melvin L. Schweitzer and Judge Stephen J. Mignano, and also was the court's principal court attorney for a period of 10 years, during which he was responsible for the creation and development of the court's website, including a searchable database of the court's decisions.

HONORABLE LEONARD A. WEISS

Leonard A. Weiss was the Presiding Justice of the Appellate Division of the New York State Supreme Court, Third Department. A graduate of Albany Law School, Judge Weiss also served as Justice of the City Court of Albany (1977–1978), and Justice of the New York State Supreme Court (1978–1981) and served on the Appellate Division, Third Department (1981–1994). In 1994, he was appointed Commissioner for the New York State Public Service Commission. Judge Weiss has received a number of awards, including the 2005 Distinguished Alumni in Government Award from Albany Law School; the Felix J. Aulisi Award for Judicial Excellence and the Fair Administration of Justice from the New York State Trial Lawyers Association; the Award for Judicial Excellence and Leadership from the Association of Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of New York; and an honorary Doctor of Law Degree from the College of Saint Rose. A presenter in CLE programs on arbitration and mediation, he is a member of the New York State Bar Association, the Albany County Bar Association, and the Association of Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of New York. He was appointed Trustee of the Roman Catholic Diocese of Albany Catholic Charities and Referee in the Frontier Insurance Corporation Dissolution by the New York State Department of Insurance.

STEPHEN YALE-LOEHR, ESQ.

Stephen Yale-Loehr has practiced immigration law for more than 30 years. He is co-author of *Immigration Law and Procedure*, the leading multi-volume treatise of U.S. immigration law. Of counsel at Miller Mayer, LLP, in Ithaca, New York, he also teaches immigration and asylum law at Cornell Law School as an adjunct professor. Mr. Yale-Loehr received his B.A. in 1977 from Cornell University, where he was a Rotary exchange student to Japan, a College Scholar and Phi Beta Kappa. In 1981, he received his J.D., *cum laude*, from Cornell Law School, with a concentration in international legal affairs; he was also editor-in-chief of the *Cornell International Law Journal*. After graduation, Mr. Yale-Loehr

clerked for a federal judge. For the next four years, Mr. Yale-Loehr practiced international trade and immigration law at a large law firm in Washington, D.C. From 1986 to 1994, he was managing editor of *Interpreter Releases* and executive editor of *Immigration Briefings*, two leading immigration law publications.

Mr. Yale-Loehr is the co-author of many books, including *Americas Challenge: Domestic Security, Civil Liberties and National Unity After September 11*; *Balancing Interests: Rethinking the Selection of Skilled Immigrants*; *J Vista Guidebook*; *Understanding the Immigration Act of 1990*; *Understanding the 1986 Immigration Law*; and numerous law review articles. He is a member of the New York and District of Columbia bars, and is admitted to practice before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit and the U.S. Supreme Court. He is a member of the Business Immigration Committee of the American Immigration Lawyers Association (AILA). A frequent speaker and moderator at immigration law conferences, he has been quoted in national and local newspapers, radio and TV news reports, and has testified before Congress and as an expert witness. Mr. Yale-Loehr is listed in *Who's Who in America* and *An International Who's Who of Corporate Immigration Lawyers*. He is the 2001 recipient of AILA's Elmer Fried Award for excellence in teaching and the 2004 recipient of AILA's Edith Lowenstein Award for excellence in advancing the practice of immigration law.