



New York State Bar Association

One Elk Street, Albany, New York 12207 • 518/463-3200 • <http://www.nysba.org>

TAX SECTION

2002-2003 Executive Committee

SAMUEL J. DIMON

Chair
Davis Polk & Wardwell
450 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017
212/450-4037

ANDREW N. BERG

First Vice-Chair
212/909-6288

LEWIS R. STEINBERG

Second Vice-Chair
212/474-1656

DAVID P. HARITON

Secretary
212/558-4248

COMMITTEE CHAIRS:

Bankruptcy and Operating Losses

Lawrence M. Garrett
Stuart J. Goldring

Capitalization and Cost Recovery

David W. Mayo
Alan J. Tarr

Character, Gains & Losses

Lisa A. Levy
Erica W. Nijenhuis

Compliance, Practice & Procedure

Robert S. Fink
Arnold Y. Kapiloff

Consolidated Returns

Jonathan Kushner
Joel Scharfstein

Corporations

Kathleen L. Ferrell
Jodi J. Schwartz

Employee Benefits

David A. Pratt
Andrew W. Stumpff

Estates and Trusts

T. Randolph Harris
Jeffrey N. Schwartz

Financial Instruments

Michael S. Farber
David M. Schizer

Financial Intermediaries

Peter H. Blessing
Andrew P. Solomon

Foreign Activities of U.S. Taxpayers

Kimberly S. Blanchard
David R. Sicular

Individuals

Barbara T. Kaplan
Sherry S. Kraus

Multistate Tax Issues

Robert E. Brown
Paul R. Comeau

New York City Taxes

Robert J. Levinsohn
William B. Randolph

New York State Franchise and Income Taxes

Maria T. Jones
Arthur R. Rosen

New York State Sales and Misc.

Hollis L. Hyans
Steven U. Teitelbaum

Partnerships

William B. Brannan
Patrick C. Gallagher

Pass-Through Entities

Janet B. Korins
Gary B. Mandel

Real Property

David E. Kahen
Elliot Pisem

Reorganizations

Dale L. Ponikvar
Diana L. Wollman

Securitized and Structured Finance

Robert T. Smith
Paul R. Wysocki

Tax Accounting

Yaron Z. Reich
Marc L. Silberberg

Tax Exempt Bonds

Linda L. D'Onofrio
John T. Lutz

Tax Exempt Entities

Dickson G. Brown
Michelle P. Scott

Tax Policy

M. Carr Ferguson
David S. Miller

U.S. Activities of Foreign Taxpayers

Stuart E. Leblang
Emily McMahon

MEMBERS-AT-LARGE OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Katherine M. Bristor
William G. Cavanagh
Benjamin J. Cohen
Gary M. Friedman
Karen Gilbreath

Edward E. Gonzalez
Alysse Grossman
Kenneth H. Heitner
Thomas A. Humphreys
Sherwin Kamin

Charles I. Kingdon
James A. Locke
Donald C. Lubick
James Ross Macdonald
Charles Morgan

Deborah L. Paul
Ellis L. Reerner
Stuart L. Rosow
Leslie B. Samuels
David H. Schnabel

Elizabeth A. Smith
Dana L. Trier
Eugene L. Vogel

Gordon Warnke
David E. Watts
Victor Zonana

August 26, 2002

Ms. Diane M. Ohanian
Tax Regulations Specialist 4
NYS Department of Taxation & Finance
State Campus – Building No. 9
Albany, New York 12227

Re: Draft Proposed Regulations

Dear Ms. Ohanian:

Thank you for offering us this opportunity to comment on the draft proposed regulation that you sent to us on July 11. Inasmuch as we have not had sufficient time for our full Executive Committee to review the proposal, the comments in this letter are those of individual members of the Tax Section. We have focused solely on the provisions relating to “trade shows.”

The proposal would amend the regulations pertaining to Article 9-A by providing, in summary, that a corporation’s mere participation in a trade show in New York for 14 days or less during a taxable year will not be considered sufficient to subject a corporation to the corporation franchise tax imposed pursuant to Article 9-A of the Tax Law.

We fully support the result that would be achieved by the adoption of this amendment for three distinct reasons. First, there is clearly an area of de minimis in-state activity that cannot result in a state having sufficient nexus with a corporation to support that state’s right to impose tax; the proposal’s recognition of this is commendable. Second, a quantified, bright-line indication of the Division of Taxation’s interpretation of the de minimis threshold will enable corporations to make informed decisions rather than having to gamble that their interpretation of the threshold is consistent with the Division of Taxation’s. Third, the proposal should encourage corporations to participate in New York trade shows and thereby enhance the New York economy.

FORMER CHAIRS OF SECTION:

Samuel Brodsky
Thomas C. Plowden-Wardlaw
Edwin M. Jones
Hon. Hugh R. Jones
Peter Miller
John E. Morrissey, Jr.
Charles E. Heming

Ralph O. Winger
Martin D. Ginsburg
Peter L. Faber
Hon. Renato Beghe
Alfred D. Youngwood
Gordon D. Henderson
David Sachs

J. Roger Mentz
Willard B. Taylor
Richard J. Hiegel
Dale S. Collinson
Richard G. Cohen
Donald Schapiro
Herbert L. Camp

William L. Burke
Arthur A. Feder
James M. Peaslee
John A. Corry
Peter C. Canellos
Michael L. Schler
Carolyn Joy Lee

Richard L. Reinhold
Richard O. Loengard
Steven C. Todrys
Harold R. Handler
Robert H. Scarborough
Robert A. Jacobs

It is important to note, however, that announcing a de minimis threshold for corporation franchise tax purposes without a similar announcement for sales and use taxes may be seen as setting “a trap for the unwary.” If a corporation participates in a New York trade show relying on the amended regulation but, not being fully advised, does not consider the sales and use tax implication of its New York presence, it may find itself liable for substantial sales and use tax liabilities for taxes it failed to collect in contexts unrelated to the trade show. For example, if a mail order seller with no other New York nexus participates in a New York trade show for, say, ten days, the Division of Taxation might take the position that the corporation is liable to collect use taxes on all of its sales into New York for some period of time (and for use tax on any property used at the trade show). Thus, the corporation, having been enticed into participating in a New York trade show by the amended regulation, would be “ambushed” for sales and use taxes. To remedy this problem, we strongly urge the Division of Taxation to promulgate an amendment to the sales and use tax regulation that would replicate the de minimis threshold in the proposal.

From a technical perspective, the placement of the amendment and the manner in which the amendment is described in your covering letter may lead a reader to conclude that the amendment is merely an interpretation of the “solicitation” activity that is protected by Public Law 86-272. The text of the proposal, of course, shows this not to be so. Accordingly, we suggest that it be made more clear that this provision is independent of Public Law 86-272 and is an interpretation of the Tax Law’s criteria for subjecting a corporation to the franchise tax.

Lastly, we commend the Division of Taxation and the New York City Department of Finance for working together on these proposed amendments so that the regulations in this area will be substantially similar for both the New York City General Corporation Tax and Article 9-A of the Tax Law.

Once again, we thank you for the opportunity to provide our thoughts. We look forward to working further with you on this regulation project.

Respectfully submitted,



Samuel J. Dimon
Chair

cc: Ellen Hoffman
NYC Department of Finance