REPORT # 593

TAX SECTION

New York State Bar Association

Letter on Child Care Credit

November 1, 1988

Table of Contents

Cover	Letter	1:	 i

HERBERT L. CAMP

1 Chase Manhattan Plaza New York, NY 10005

First Vice-Chair 330 Madison Avenue New York City 10017

ARTHUR A. FEDER 1 New York Plaza

New York City 10004

JAMES M. PEASLEE

Secretary 1 State Street Plaza New York City 10004

COMMITTEE CHAIRS

Alternative Minimum Tax Robert A. Jacobs, New York City Sherwin Kamin, New York City

Bankruptcy Matthew A. Rosen, New York City Eugene L. Vogel, New York City

Consolidated Returns
Richard D'Avino, Washington, D.C. Michael L. Schler, New York City

Continuing Legal Education

Richard F. Campbell, Buffalo Laraine S. Rothenberg, New York City

Corporations

Kenneth H. Heitner. New York City Richard L. Reinhold, New York City

Criminal and Civil Penalties

Robert S. Fink, New York City Michael I. Saltzman, New York City Depreciation and Amortization

Bruce M. Montgomarie, New York City Arthur R. Rosen, New York City Employee Benefits

Kenneth C. Edgar, Jr., New York City Barbara D. Klippert, New York City

Estate and Gift Taxes

Linda B. Hirschson, New York City

Jerome A. Manning New York City

Exempt Organizations Sherman F. Levey, Rochester

Harry E. White, New York City **Financial Institutions**

John A. Corry, New York City Robert J. McDermott, New York City **Financial Instruments**

Peter C. Canellos, New York City Thomas A. Humphreys, New York City

Foreign Activities of U.S. Taxpayers Victor Zonana, New York City

Income of Estates and Trusts Henry Christinsen, III, New York City

Carlyn S. McCaffrey, New York City

ome From Real Property Michael Hirschfeld, New York City Stuart L. Rosow, New York City

Insurance Companies Irving Salem, New York City Michelle P. Scott, Newark, N.J.

Interstate Commerce

Robert E. Brown, Rochester Paul R. Comeau, Buffalo

Net Operating Losses

William F Indoe. New York City Matthew M. McKenna, New York City New York City Tax Matters

Carolyn Joy Lee Ichel. New York City Robert J. Levinsohn, New York City New York State Tax Matters

William M. Colby, Rochester Hugh T. McCormick, New York City

Partnerships Steven C. Todrys. New York City R. Donald Turlington, New York City Personal Income

Thomas V. Glynn, New York City William H. Weigel, New York City Practice and Procedure

Richard J. Bronstein, New York City Sydney R. Rubin, Rochester

Reorganizations James A. Levitan, New York City

Stanley I. Rubenrfeld. New York City Sales, Property and Miscellaneous E. Parker Brown, II, Syracuse

Sterling L. Weaver, Rochester Tax Accounting Matters

James S. Halpern, Washington. D.C. George E. Zeitlin, New York City Tax Exempt Bonds Henry S. Klaiman, New York City

Steven P. Waterman, New York City Tax Policy Alan W. Granwell. New York City

Richard O. Loengard Jr, New York City Unreported Income and Compliance

Victor F. Keen, New York City Richard m. Leder, New York city U.S. Activities of Foreign Taxpayers

Charles M. Morgan III. New York City

TAX SECTION

New York State Bar Association

MEMBERS-AT-LARGE OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

M. Bernard Aidinoff Donald C. Alexander David H. Brockway

James S. Eustice David C. Garlock Patricia Geoghegan Franklin L. Green Eliyahu D. Jacobson Edward D. Kleinbard

James A. Locke Stephen L. Millman Stephen M. Piga

Mikel M. Rollyson David E. Watts

November 1, 1988

Senator Bill Bradley Room 731, Hart Senate Office Building United States Senate Washington, D. C. 20510

Child Care Credit

Dear Senator Bradley:

I refer to my letter dated September 16, 1988 to you regarding our previous written comments on proposed amendments to \$ 274(n). You suggested that we might comment on the proposed phase-out rules applicable to the child-care credit.

As you will recall, we objected to the proposed Section 274(n) amendment because it taxed gross, not net income from business and it fell unevenly on only certain businesses (unincorporated businesses). You will also recall that we had no objection to the existing law 20% cutback on meals and entertainment expense deduction, representing Congress' judgment as to the nondeductible personal element in such expense.

The question of where to draw the line between business and personal expenditures is a difficult one. There are any number of expenses that a taxpayer can incur as a result of engaging in a business, but which are regarded as personal, nondeductible expenses. Among those are commuting expense, extra clothing expense e.g., a business suit rather than casual attire), expense for personal services

FORMER CHAIRMEN OF SECTION

Howard O. Colgan Charles L. Kades Carter T. Louthan Samuel Brodsky
Thomas C. Plowden-Wardlaw
Edwin M. Jones
Hon. Hugh R. Jones Peter Miller John W. Fager John E. Morrissey Jr. Charles E. Heming Richard H. Appert Ralph O. Winger Hewitt A. Conway

Martin D. Ginsburg Peter L. Faber Renato Beghe Alfred D. Youngwood Gordon D. Henderson David Sachs Ruth G. Schapiro

. Roger Mentz Willard B. Taylor Richard J. Hiegel Dale S. Collinson Richard G. Cohen Donald Schapiro

(such as household maintenance) that the taxpayer could perform if he or she had free time, educational expense to qualify for a new job, and added meal expense (e.g., non-business lunch in a restaurant rather than at home). The policy behind the treatment of those expenses as non-deductible is that they are materially affected by personal decisions, such as where to live, mode of transport, and so forth.

Child care expenses can well be viewed as essentially similar to the above expenses. That is, they are essentially personal expenses that a taxpayer incurs in order to carry on a business and might not be incurred if the taxpayer were not in business, but which also are incurred because of personal decisions.

That is not to say that Congress should reject a deduction or credit for child care expenses under any circumstances, including, for example, lower income or single parent families. Congress could also conclude in that connection that the deduction or credit should be allowed without an income phase-out. Such a decision would have to rest, however, on social policy as well as pure tax policy determinations.

The expertise of the members of the Tax Section qualifies it to comment on the technical aspects of proposed legislation and regulations and comment on whether proposed changes are consistent with established tax policy. We believe that it would be inappropriate for us as an organization to express views not derived from the exercise of that technical expertise. In an effort to adhere to that philosophy, as a general rule we do not comment even on pure questions of tax policy, such as tax rates or the progressivity thereof, other than to express our overall support for greater simplicity in the tax laws and judicious restraint in injecting the technical and administrative complexity that can arise from use of the tax laws to effect social policy. Accordingly, we believe that it would be inappropriate for us as an organization to express an opinion, favorable or unfavorable, on the wisdom of phasing out the credit for child care expenses (or, generally, on allowing a deduction or credit for any of the matters mentioned in the third paragraph of this letter).

Very truly yours,

Herbert L. Camp Chair