

REPORT #643

TAX SECTION

New York State Bar Association

Table of Contents

Cover Letter:i

TAX SECTION

New York State Bar Association

MEMBERS-AT-LARGE OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

M. Bernard Aidinoff
David H. Brockway
Stephen R. Field

Franklin L. Green
Eli Jacobson
James A. Levitan

Richard O. Loengard, Jr.
Carlyn S. McCaffrey
Matthew A. Rosen

Dennis E. Ross
Susan P. Serota
Kenneth R. Silbergleit

David E. Watts
Mary Katherine Wold
George E. Zeitlin

January 18, 1990

Marilyn Kaltenborn, Esq.
Chief of Tax Regulations
Department of Taxation and Finance
State Campus - 1dg. #9
Albany, New York 12227

Dear Marilyn:

Further to our Report on New York Taxation of Foreign Corporate limited Partners, the Federal income tax law has treated all partners, whether corporations or individuals and whether general or limited partners, as engaged in trade or business in the United States if the partnership is so engaged. You may find that helpful in considering your position as to the taxation by New York State of non-New York corporate partners in partnerships doing business in New York.

Section 875(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 was originally enacted as part of the Revenue Act of 1936.* It applied only to individuals/ without regard to whether they were general or limited partners. The legislative

* As originally enacted, the Section attributed to a nonresident individual partner any engaging in trade or business by the partnership and also any office of the partnership. At that time, either factor alone resulted in a foreign taxpayer being subjected to tax by the United States on a net income basis. In 1942, net income taxation on the basis of only an office was eliminated and the provision was amended accordingly.

FORMER CHAIRS OF SECTION

Howard O. Colgan
Charles L. Kades
Carter T. Louthan
Samuel Brodsky
Thomas C. Plowden-Wardlaw
Edwin M. Jones
Hon. Hugh R. Jones

Peter Miller
John W. Fager
John E. Morrissey Jr.
Charles E. Heming
Richard H. Appert
Ralph O. Winger
Hewitt A. Conway

Martin D. Ginsburg
Peter L. Faber
Renato Beghe
Alfred D. Youngwood
Gordon D. Henderson
David Sachs
Ruth G. Schapiro

J. Roger Mentz
Willard B. Taylor
Richard J. Hiegel
Dale S. Collinson
Richard G. Cohen
Donald Schapiro
Herbert L. Camp

OFFICERS
WILLIAM L. BURKE
Chair
330 Madison Avenue
New York City 10017

ARTHUR A. FEDER
First Vice-Chair
1 New York Plaza
New York City 10004

JAMES M. PEASLEE
Second Vice-Chair
1 State Street Plaza
New York City 10004

JOHN A. CORRY
Secretary
1 Chase Manhattan Plaza
New York City 10005

COMMITTEES CHAIRS

Alternative Minimum Tax
Sherwin Kamin, New York City
Robert J. McDermott, New York City

Bankruptcy
Robert A. Jacobs, New York City
Eugene L. Vogel, New York City

Consolidated Returns
Mikel M. Rollyson, Washington, D. C.
Irving Salem, New York City

Continuing Legal Education
William M. Colby, Rochester
Laraine S. Rothenberg, New York City

Corporations
Richard L. Reinhold, New York City
Michael L. Schler, New York City

Criminal and Civil Penalties
Robert S. Fink, New York City
Michael I. Saltzman, New York City

Depreciation and Amortization
David H. Bamberger, New York City
William H. Weigel, New York City

Employee Benefits
Kenneth C. Edgar, Jr., New York City
Barbara D. Klippert, New York City

Estate and Trusts
Sherman F. Levey, Rochester
Guy B. Maxfield, New York City

Exempt Organizations
Harvey P. Dale, New York City
Michelle P. Scott, Newark, NJ

Financial Institutions
Thomas A. Humphreys, New York City
Leslie B. Samuels, New York City

Financial Instruments
Peter C. Canellos, New York City
Edward D. Kleinbard, New York City

Foreign Activities of U.S. Taxpayers
Cynthia G. Beerbower, New York City
Randall K.C. Kau, New York City

Income From Real Property
Michael Hirschfeld, New York City
Stuart L. Rosow, New York City

Insurance Companies
Norman C. Bensley, Washington, D. C.
Hugh T. McCormick, New York City

Interstate Commerce
Robert E. Brown, Rochester
Paul R. Comeau, Buffalo

Net Operating Losses
Richard D'Avino, Washington, D. C.
Bruce M. Montgomerie, New York City

New York City Tax Matters
Carolyn Joy Lee Ichele, New York City
Robert J. Levinsohn, New York City

New York State Tax Matters
James A. Locke, Buffalo
Sterling L. Weaver, Rochester

Partnerships
Stephen L. Millman, New York City
Steven C. Todrys, New York City

Personal Income
Thomas V. Glynn, New York City
Victor F. Keen, New York City

Practice and Procedure
Richard J. Bronstein, New York City
Sydney R. Rubin, Rochester

Reorganizations
Kenneth H. Heitner, New York City
Stanley R. Rubinfeld, New York City

Sales, Property and Miscellaneous
E. Parker Brown, II, Syracuse
Arthur R. Rosen, New York City

Tax Accounting Matters
Sherry S. Kraus, Rochester
Victor Zonana, New York City

Tax Exempt Bonds
Henry S. Klaiman, New York City
Steven P. Waterman, New York City

Tax Policy
James S. Halpern, Washington, D. C.
Donald R. Turlington, New York City

Unreported Income and Compliance
Donald C. Alexander, Washington, D.C.
Richard M. Leder, New York City

U.S. Activities of Foreign Taxpayers
Matthew M. McKenna, New York City
Charles M. Morgan, III, New York City

history of the 1936 Act does not contain any specific comment on the provision, but the same conclusion had previously been reached with respect to a foreign corporation in the Board of Tax Appeals majority decision in Cantrell & Cockrane, Ltd., 19 B.T.A. 16 (1930). The facts in that case involved a "syndicate" or partnership in the United States and a foreign corporation that was actively involved in the conduct of the business carried on by the syndicate in the United States. But the Court's opinion focuses on the foreign corporation being a party to the conduct of the business by the syndicate "through which it established a place of business", citing the prior New York tax case of People v. Roberts, 152 N.Y. 59, 46 N.E. 161 (1897). The Roberts case held that New York could impose its franchise tax on a foreign corporation where the only basis for "doing business" in the state was the corporation being a special partner in a limited partnership carrying on business in the state.

In 1966, Section 875(1) was amended to refer specifically to corporations as well as individuals, and at the same time clause (2) was added to similarly "impute" to the beneficiaries of estates and trusts any engaged-in-trade-or-business status of the estate or trust. The fact that the addition of the words "or foreign corporation" in clause (1) in 1966 was not mentioned in the legislative history, even as a technical amendment, suggests that it is a reflection of what was already regarded to be the law.

Thus, for federal tax purposes, it has long been settled even without express statutory provision, and continues to be the case, that when a partnership is engaged in trade or business in the United States, then each foreign partner is so engaged. Moreover, the judicial-legislative history of Section 875(1) and the reference to "imputation" in the legislative history of Section 875(2) suggest that the basis for this conclusion does not rest upon some application of principal-agent theory but rather on what has come to be described as the "aggregate" theory of corporate taxation (under which the partners stand in the place of the partnership).

Sincerely,

WLB/JAPP
4928r

Wm. L. Burke
Chair

cc: William F. Collins, Esq.
Deputy Commissioner and Counsel
New York State Department of Taxation and Finance
State Campus - Building 9
Albany, New York 12227