REPORT #801

TAX SECTION

New York State Bar Association

Letter on Introduction 417...Systems

Table of Contents

Cover	Letter	1:i
Cover	Letter	2:iii
Cover	Letter	3:1

TAX SECTION 1994-1995 Executive Committee MICHAEL L. SCHLER

Chair 825 Eighth Avenue New York City 10019 212/474-1588

CAROLYN JOY LEE First Vice-Chair

First Vice-Chair 212/903-8761 RICHARD L. REINHOLD

Second Vice-Chair 212/701-3672

RICHARD O. LOENGARD, JF Secretary 212/820-8260

COMMITTEE CHAIRS

Bankruptcy Elliot Pisem Joel Scharfstein

Basis, Gains & Losses
David H. Brockway
Edward D. Kleinbard

Damian M. Hovancik Prof. Deborah H. Schenk

Compliance, Practice & Procedure Robert S. Fink Arnold Y. Kapiloff Consolidated Returns Dennis F. Ross

Dana Trier

Corporations

Yaron Z. Reich

Steven C. Todrys

Cost Recovery

Katherine M. Bristor
Stephen B. Land

Estate and Trusts
Kim E. Baptiste
Steven M. Loeb

Financial Instruments
David P. Hariton
Bruce Kayle

Financial Intermediaries
Richard C. Blake
Stephen L. Millman
Foreign Activities of U.S. Taxpayers

Diana M. Lopo
Philip R. West

Individuals
Victor F. Keen
Sherry S. Kraus

Multistate Tax Issues Arthur R. Rosen Sterling L. Weaver Net Operating Losses

Net Operating Losses Stuart J. Goldring Robert A. Jacobs New York City Taxes

Robert J. Levinsohn Robert Plautz New York State Income Taxes

Paul R. Comeau James A. Locke **New York State Sales and Misc.** E. Parker Brown, II

Maria T. Jones Nonqualified Employee Benefits Stephen T. Lindo

Loran T. Thompson Partnership Andrew N. Berg William B. Brannan

Pass-Through Entities Roger J. Baneman Thomas A. Humphreys

Qualified Plans
Stuart N. Alperin
Kenneth C. Edgar, Jr.

Real Property Linda Z. Swartz Lary S. Wolf

Reorganizations
Patrick C. Gallagher
Mary Kate Wold
Tax Accounting

Jodi J. Schwartz Esta E. Stecher

Linda D'Onofrio Patti T. Wu Tax Exempt Entities

Franklin L. Green Michelle P. Scott Tax Policy

Reuven S. Avi-Yonah Robert H. Scarborough U.S. Activities of Foreign Taxpayers Michael Hirschfeld Charles M. Morgan, III

TAX SECTION

New York State Bar Association

MEMBERS-AT-LARGE OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:

M. Bernard Aidinoff Geoffrey R.S. Brown Robert E. Brown Harvey P. Dale Harry L. Gutman Harold R. Handler Charles I. Kingson Richard M. Leder Erika W. Nijenhuis Ann-Elizabeth Purintun Mikel M. Rollyson Stanley I. Rubenfeld Eugene L. Vogel David E. Watts Joanne M. Wilson

August 18, 1994

Andrew S. Eristoff Council Member, Fourth District Suite B 409 East 14th St. New York, New York 10009

Re: Introduction 417

Dear Mr. Eristoff:

Thank you for your letter of August 10 enclosing Introduction 417, your bill to establish a temporary advisory commission on New York State/City tax conformity and administration.

The Tax Section has for many years strongly supported the objective of maximizing conformity between the City and State tax systems. See, for example, our letter dated June 4, 1992 (copy attached) commenting on proposed amendments to the rules under the City general corporation tax. There are many areas where lack of conformity greatly and unnecessarily increases administrative burdens for taxpayers, as well as for the City and State governments.

We recognize that achieving conformity has been difficult in the past because of revenue considerations, the long history of differences between the City and State tax systems, and the fact that two different levels of government are involved. We believe the

FORMER CHAIRMEN OF SECTION:

Howard O. Colgan Charles L. Kades Carter T. Louthan Samuel Brodsky Thomas C. Plowden-Wardlaw Edwin M. Jones Hon. Hugh R. Jones Peter Miller John W. Fager John E. Morrissey Jr. Charles E. Heming Richard H. Appert Ralph O. Winger Hewitt A. Conway Martin D. Ginsburg Peter L. Faber Hon. Renato Beghe Alfred D. Youngwood Gordon D. Henderson David Sachs J. Roger Mentz Willard B. Taylor Richard J. Hiegel Dale S. Collinson Richard G. Cohen Donald Schapiro Herbert L. Camp William L. Burke Arthur A. Feder James M. Peaslee John A. Corry Peter C. Canellos creation of a formal commission to study this area and make specific and comprehensive recommendations would be helpful in achieving better conformity in the application and administration of the City and State tax systems. Moreover, based upon our understanding of this area, we believe one year should be more than sufficient time for the issuance of a report on this subject.

We do not, however, take a position on the specific provisions of your bill providing for a commission. In particular, we have no view on such matters as the size of the commission, the mechanics for the appointment of commission members, the internal rules of the commission, or the appropriate staff for the commission. Those issues appear to us to involve political judgments rather than matters that we can evaluate based upon our expertise as tax lawyers. We do note that your bill sets forth a rather formal procedure for the establishment and operation of the commission, in contrast to the recently enacted unincorporated business tax (UBT) legislation (Chapter 485, Laws of 1994) that included a rather informal directive for the establishment of a working group to study and report on certain UBT issues.

From our perspective, what is most important is the completion of a professional and widely respected study, in the hope that this will prompt the leadership at both the City and State levels to take the necessary steps towards conformity. We would be delighted to assist in any effort to increase State and City conformity, including working with any commission or other body that might be created towards that end. Please let me know if we can be of further help.

Very truly yours,

Michael L. Schler Chair, Tax Section

Encl.

OFFICERS JOHN A. CORRY

Chair 1 Chase Manhattan Plaza New York City 10005 212/530-4608

PETER C. CANELLOS

First Vice-Chair 299 Park Avenue New York City 10171 212/371-9200

MICHAEL L. SCHLER

Second Vice-Chair Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York City 10019 212/474-1588

CAROLYN JOY LEE ICHEL

Secretary 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York City 10112 212/903-8761

COMMITTEE CHAIRS

Bankruptcy

Stuart J. Golding New York City Dennis E. Ross, New York City

Compliance and Penalties
Robert S. Fink, New York City

Arnold Y. Kapiloff, New York City

Consolidated Returns

Yaron Z. Reich, New York City Irving Salem, New York City Continuing Legal Education

Brookes D. Billman, Jr., New York City Thomas V. Glynn, New York City Corporations

Richard L. Reinhold, New York City Dana Trier, New York City Estate and Trusts

Kim E. Baptiste, New York City Steven M. Loeb, New York City Financial Instruments

Jodi J. Schwartz, New York City Esta E. Stcher, New York City Financial Intermediaries

Bruce Kayle, New York City Hugh T. McCormick, New York City Foreign Activities of U.S. Taxpayers Stanley I. Rubenfeld, New York City

Steven C. Todrys, New York City Income from Real Property Stephen L. Millman, New York City Michelle P. Scott, Newark, NJ

ndividuals Michael Hirschfeld, New York City

Net Operating Losses
Jeffrey M. Cole, New York City
Kenneth H. Heitner, New York City

New York City Tax Matters
Robert J. Levinsohn, New York City
Robert Plautz, New York City
New York State Tax Maters

Robert E. Brown, Rochester James A. Locke, Buffalo Nonqualified Employee Benefits

Stephen T. Lindo, New York City Loran T. Thompson, New York City Partnerships

Joe Scharstein, New York City R. Donald Turlington, New York City Pass-Through Entities

William B. Brannan, New York City Thomas A. Humphreys, New York City Practice and Procedure

Donald C. Alexander, Washington, D. C. Victor F. Keen, New York City Qualified Plans

Stuart N. Alperin, New York City Kenneth C. Edgar, Jr., New York City Reorganizations

Reorganizations

Robert A. Jacobs, New York City
Richard M. Leder, New York City

Sales, Property and Miscellaneous E. Parker Brown, II, Syracuse Paul R. Comeau, Buffalo

State and Local
Arthur R. Rosen, New York City
Sterling L. Weaver, Rochester
Tax Accounting Matters

Elliot Pisem, New York City Mary Kate Wold, New York City Tax Exempt Bonds

Linda D'Onotrio, New York City Patti T. Wu, New York City

Tax Exempt Entitles
Harvey P. Dale, New York City
Franklin L. Green, New York City

Tax Policy
Andrew N. Berg, New York City
Victor Zonana, New York City

Tax Preferences and AMT
Katherine M. Bristor, New York City
Stuart J. Gross, New York City

Stuart J. Gross, New York City

U.S. Activities of Foreign Taxpayers

Roger J. Baneman, New York City

Kenneth R. Silbergleit, New York City

TAX SECTION

New York State Bar Association

MEMBERS-AT-LARGE OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

M. Bernard Aidinoff Reuven Avi-Yonah David H. Bamberger Cynthia G. Beerbower William M. Colby Harold R. Handler Edward D. Kleinbard James A. Levitan Richard O. Loengard, Jr. Charles M. Morgan, III Ronald A. Pearlman Mikel M. Rollyson Eugene L. Vogel David E. Watts Philip R. West

June 4, 1992

Simon G. Salas, Esq.
Deputy Commissioner for Legal Affairs
345 Adams Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Dear Mr. Salas:

We have reviewed the proposed amendments to Sections 11-03, 11-04 and 11-06 of Title 19 of the New York City General Corporation Tax Rules ("Amended Rules"). We write to set forth our preliminary comments, which principally relate to the differences between the Amended Rules and the New York State corporate franchise tax regulations ("State Regulations") with respect to nexus and taxation of partnerships and corporate partners, as found in Req. §§ 1-3.2 and 3-13.1.

We commend the goal, as stated in the Commissioner's statement of the basis and purpose of the proposed amendments, of bringing the City's rules into conformity with the State's rules, and in general we find the substance of the Amended Rules to be in conformity with the State Regulations. The Amended Rules, however, differ from the State Regulations with respect to the taxation of corporate partners in limited partnerships. The State Regulations, § 1-3.2(a)(6), contain the so called "one million dollar or 1% test" to preclude the taxation of corporations with minimal limited partnership interests, as well

FORMER CHAIRMEN OF SECTION

Howard O. Colgan Charles L. Kades Carter T. Louthan Samuel Brodsky Thomas C. Plowden-Wardlaw Edwin M. Jones Hon. Hugh R. Jones Peter Miller John W. Fager
John E. Morrissey Jr.
Charles E. Heming
Richard H. Appert
Ralph O. Winger
Hewitt A. Conway
Martin D. Ginsburg
Peter L. Faber

Renato Beghe Alfred D. Youngwood Gordon D. Henderson David Sachs J. Roger Mentz Willard B. Taylor Richard J. Hiegel

Dale S. Collinson Richard G. Cohen Donald Schapiro Herbert L. Kamp William L. Burke Arthur A. Feder James M. Peaslee as additional criteria to determine whether a corporation that is a limited partner in a partnership doing business in New York State will be subject to corporate franchise tax if it does not otherwise have taxable nexus with New York State.

The Amended Rules do not follow the analysis with respect to this issue contained in the State Regulations and instead continue to provide, in § 11-06, that all corporations are deemed to be doing business in the City if they own a limited partnership interest in a partnership that is doing business, etc., in the City, subject only to the existing exemption for publicly-traded partnerships, and a newly added provision that corporate limited partners in "portfolio investment partnerships" will not be deemed to be doing business in the City. Such an exemption appears in § 1-3.2(a)(6)(i) and (iii)(d) of the State Regulations, except that the Amended Rules would incorporate exceptions similar to those now applying to the City's exemption for corporate limited partners in publicly-traded partnerships. We have no objection to the inclusion of such exceptions in the Amended Rules.

We support and urge adoption of the major portion of the Amended Rules that conform with the State Regulations. However, in view of the virtually identical statutory authority, we urge that further steps be taken to conform the Amended Rules to the State Regulations with respect to corporate limited partners, in particular to add an exemption, subject to appropriate limitations, for corporations whose only City contact is a limited partnership interest of less than 1% with a basis of not more than \$1,000,000. In general, we think the goal should be that, to the extent feasible, a foreign corporate limited partner should not be subject to Hew York City general corporation tax solely because of its partnership interest if it is not taxable under the State Regulations.

In addition, while not directly relevant to the issues of nexus covered in the Amended Rules, we urge that early consideration be given to conforming the City Rules to the State Regulations which provide (a) the election by a foreign corporate limited partner for a separate accounting of New York State income from the partnership contained in § 3-13.1, and (b) detailed guidance with respect to allocation of income and capital by a corporate partner contained in § 4-6.5.

As matters of minor detail, we suggest the following corrections in the Amended Rules:

In § 11-03(f)(2), add the following at the end of the last sentence: ", except as provided in § 11-04(b)(6)."

In § 11-04(c)(2)(ii), on the first line change "share" to "shares".

We reserve the right to furnish additional comments after we have had the opportunity to make a more detailed study of the Amended Rules.

Yours very truly,

Robert J. Levinsohn Co-Chair, Committee on New York City Tax Matters

bcc: John A. Corry, Esq.
 Peter C. Canellos, Esq.
 Michael L. Schler, Esq.
 Carolyn Joy Lee Ichel, Esq.
 Robert Plautz, Esq.
 Ron Rabkin, Esq.

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

ANDREW ERISTOFF
COUNCIL MEMBER, FORTH DISTRICT
251 EAST 77TH STREET
LOWER LEVEL
New York, N.Y. 10021

409 EAST 14TH STREET SUITE B NEW YORK, N.Y. 10009 212-473-4960 FAX: 212-473-6295

212-794-4726

COMMITTEES:
PARKS, RECREATION &
CULTURAL AFFAIRS
CIVIL SERVICE & UBOR
GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

С О М М

August 10, 1994

Michael L. Schler, Esq. Chair, Tax Section New York State Bar Association 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019

Dear Mr. Schler:

I thought you might be interested in reviewing the enclosed legislation I recently introduced before the New York City Council.

Introduction 417 would establish a temporary Advisory Commission on City-State Tax Conformity and Administration. The Commission, comprised of seventeen volunteer members, would make recommendations for improving coordination between the City's and the State's tax laws. The objective would be to cut red tape, reduce compliance costs, and improve New York City's fragile business climate.

I welcome your comments on, and support for, this initiative.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

Andrew S. Eristoff Council Member Int No. 417

By Council Member Eristoff

A LOCAL LAW

To create a temporary Advisory Commission on City-State Tax Conformity and Administration.

Be it enacted by the Council as follows:

Section 1. Declaration of legislative intent. The Council finds that the economic and social well-being of the people of the City of New York is clearly related to the tax policies of both the City and State of New York, the manner in which those policies are administered by the City and State, the adjudication of disputes relating to city and state taxes, and the degree to which the City and State coordinate such policies, administrative procedures and adjudicatory functions. Accordingly, the Council finds it appropriate to establish a temporary Advisory Commission on City-State Tax Conformity and Administration, which shall be charged with: (1) analyzing and comparing the City's tax policies, administrative procedures and adjudicatory functions with those of the State; (2) identifying those instances in which a lack of conformity and/or coordination between city and state tax policies, administrative procedures and adjudicatory functions impose unnecessary burdens upon taxpayers in the City and upon the government agencies responsible for the administration of the city and state tax laws; and (3) making specific recommendations for enhancing the conformity of and/or coordination between city and state tax

state tax policies, administrative procedures and adjudicatory functions in order to avoid or mitigate such burdens, consistent with the traditional tax policy objectives of equity and efficiency, while remaining substantially neutral with respect to city and state tax revenues.

- §2. Temporary Advisory commission on city-state tax conformity and administration. a. There is hereby established am A advisory commission on city-state tax conformity and administration, which shall advise the mayor and the council on measures that can improve the coordination of tax policies and practices of the City and State of New York.
- b. The commission shall consist of seventeen voting members, who shall serve without compensation, to be appointed as follows within thirty days of the effective date of this law:
 - (1) three members appointed by the mayor;
 - (2) three members appointed by the speaker;
 - (3) one member appointed by the comptroller;
 - (4) five members appointed jointly by the mayor and the speaker as follows;
 - (i) two members upon recommendation of the governor of the state of New York;
 - (ii) one member upon recommendation of the majority

 leader of the senate of the state of New York:

 and
 - (iii) one member upon recommendation of the speaker of the assembly of the state of New York; and

(5) five public members appointed jointly by the mayor and the speaker, none of whom shall be elected officials.

One member shall be designated jointly by the mayor and the speaker to serve as chairperson.

- c. Vote of the commission: delegates. The commission shall be authorized to vote on any motion properly before it when a quorum, consisting of more than one-half of its members, is present. A motion shall be adopted if a majority of the commission vote thereon. Each member may designate a representative who may vote on behalf of such member and who shall be counted as a member for the purposes of determining the existence of a quorum. The designation of a representative shall be made in a prior written notice served upon the chairperson of the commission.
- <u>d.</u> Mandates of the commission. The commission is hereby mandated to:
- (1) analyze and compare the city's tax policies, administrative procedures and adjudicatory functions with those of the state;
- (2) identify those instances in which a lack of conformity and/or coordination between city and state tax policies, administrative procedures and adjudicatory functions impose unnecessary burdens upon city and/or state taxpayers arid the agencies responsible for the administration of the tax laws: and
- (3) make specific recommendations for enhancing the conformity of and/or coordination between city and state tax

policies, administrative procedures and adjudicatory functions in order to avoid or mitigate such burdens, consistent with the traditional tax policy objectives of equity and efficiency, while remaining substantially neutral with respect to city and/or the state's tax revenues.

- (e) Duties and powers of the commission. The commission shall:
 - (1) meet at such times as it shall deem necessary;
- (2) convene at least one public hearing at which public testimony shall be taken; and
- (3) utilize the best expertise available as it proceeds with its work, seeking both the advice and the active participation of tax professionals, economists and fiscal analysts, who shall act as advisors and/or paid consultants.
- (f) Reports. The commission shall issue a final report to the mayor and the council no later than twelve months from the effective date of this local law and shall recommend city and/or state legislation and other causes of action to the mayor and the council.
- (g) Staff. The commission may employ an executive secretary and shall utilize department of finance and other mayoral staff, and appropriate council staff, to assist with the preparation of analyses, recommendations, reports and proposed legislation that it deems necessary to effectuate its purposes.

§3. This local law shall take effect immediately.

TPW:bg

DG-LL

LS#533

5/19/94

THE COUNCIL OF

THE CITY OF NEW YORK

ANDREW ERISTOFF
COUNCIL MEMBER, FORTH DISTRICT
251 EAST 77TH STREET
LOWER LEVEL
New York, N.Y. 10021

212-794-4726 409 EAST 14TH STREET SUITE B NEW YORK, N.Y. 10009

212-473-4960 FAX: 212-473-6295 COMMITTEES:
PARKS, RECREATION &
CULTURAL AFFAIRS
CIVIL SERVICE & UBOR
GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

O M M I T T E E S : NEW FROM

COUNCIL MEMBER ANDREW S. ERISTOFF

For Immediate Release August 4, 1994

Contact: 212-473-4960 212-788-7393

ERISTOFF INTRODUCES BILL TO CREATE CITY-STATE TAX ADVISORY COMMISSION

In an effort to cut red tape and improve New York City's business climate, Council Member Andrew S. Eristoff (R-L, East Side) today introduced legislation that would create a temporary Advisory Commission on City-State Tax Conformity and Administration. The Commission, comprised of seventeen volunteer members, would be charged with making recommendations for improving the interaction and coordination between the City's and the State's respective tax laws.

"The City and State both maintain highly complex systems of taxation that are in many ways theoretically or structurally similar but functionally independent," noted Council Member Eristoff, a former tax lawyer in private practice. "For example the City and the State both tax corporate income and hotel occupancy on substantial the same bases, yet minor differences in regulations or the two jurisdictions must independently assess, collect, audit, and adjudicate tax liabilities. The result is a double-barreled bureaucratic morass that wastes government administrative resources and drives up taxpayers' accounting and legal fees, making it more difficult and expensive for businesses to do business and create

jobs in New York City. Better alternatives already exist. For example, the State Department of Finance already collects and administers the City's personal income tax."

"Our businesses already labor under confiscatory tax rates," added Council Member Eristoff. "If in the current fiscal climate we can't reduce tax rates, we can at least try to make it simpler and cheaper for businesses to pay their taxes. In my discussions with tax professionals, I have received enthusiastic support for the notion of having a Commission of experts study our tax laws to find ways to eliminate the unnecessary duplication and waste that pervades the current system."

###