

REPORT #836

TAX SECTION

New York State Bar Association

Letter Urging the Senate

Table of Contents

Cover Letter: i

TAX SECTION**New York State Bar Association****TAX SECTION**
1995-1996 Executive Committee
CAROLYN JOY LEEChair
Worldwide Plaza
825 Eighth Avenue
New York City 10019
212/903-8761**RICHARD L. REINHOLD**First Vice-Chair
212/701-3672**RICHARD O. LOENGARD, JR.**Second Vice-Chair
212/859-8260**STEVEN C. TODRYS**Secretary
212/715-9331**COMMITTEE CHAIRS****Bankruptcy**Joel Scharfstein
Linda Z. Swartz**Basis, Gains & Losses**Stephen B. Land
Robert H. Scarborough**CLE and Pro Bono**Damian M. Hovancik
Deborah H. Schenk**Compliance, Practice & Procedure**Robert S. Fink
Arnold Y. Kapiloff**Consolidated Returns**Ann-Elizabeth Purinton
Dennis E. Ross**Corporations**Katherine M. Bristor
Deborah L. Paul**Cost Recovery**Geoffrey R.S. Brown
Elliot Pisem**Estate and Trusts**Carlyn S. McCaffrey
Georgiana J. Slade**Financial Instruments**David P. Hariton
Bruce Kayle**Financial Intermediaries**Richard C. Blake
Thomas A. Humphreys**Foreign Activities of U.S. Taxpayers**Reuven S. Avi-Yonah
Philip R. West**Individuals**Victor F. Keen
Sherry S. Kraus**Multistate Tax Issues**Robert E. Brown
Paul R. Comeau**Net Operating Losses**Stuart J. Goldring
Robert A. Jacobs**New York City Taxes**Robert J. Levinsohn
Robert Plautz**New York State Franchise and****Income Taxes**James A. Locke
Arthur R. Rosen**New York State Sales and Misc.**Maria T. Jones
Joanne M. Wilson**Nonqualified Employee Benefits**Stuart N. Alperin
Kenneth C. Edger, Jr**Partnership**Andrew N. Berg
William B. Brannan**Pass-Through Entities**Roger J. Baneman
Stephen L. Millman**Qualified Plans**Stephen T. Lindo
Loran T. Thompson**Real Property**Alan J. Tan
Lary S. Wolf**Reorganizations**Patrick C. Gallagher
Mary Kate Wold**Tax Accounting**Erika W. Nijenhuis
Jodi J. Schwartz**Tax Exempt Bonds**Linda D'Onofrio
Patti T. Wu**Tax Exempt Entities**Michelle P. Scott
Jonathan A. Small**Tax Policy**David H. Brockway
Peter V. Cobb**U.S. Activities of Foreign Taxpayers**Michael Hirschfeld
Charles M. Morgan, III**MEMBERS-AT-LARGE OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**M. Bernard Aidinoff
Dickson G. Brown
E. Parker Brown, IIScott F. Cristman
Harold R. Handler
Walter HellersteinSherwin Kamin
Charles I. Kingson
Richard M. LederYaron Z. Reich
Stanley I. Rubinfeld
David R. SicularEsta E. Stecher
Eugene L. Vogel
David E. Watts

May 26, 1995

BY TELECOPIER

The Honorable Jesse Helms
Chair, Committee on Foreign Relations
United States Senate
Room 403
Senate Dirksen Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510 f

Dear Senator Helms:

I am writing on behalf of the Tax Section of the New York State Bar Association to urge the Senate Foreign Relations Committee to approve the income tax treaties and protocols with Canada, France, Kazakhstan, Mexico, Sweden and Ukraine that are now pending before it.

The existence of U.S. income tax treaties with countries throughout the world is important and highly desirable. Tax treaties facilitate investment (by, for example, limiting withholding taxes) and the movement of goods in commerce, encourage the free movement of commercial travelers, teachers and trainees, prevent discrimination, and reduce the double taxation of income. Tax treaties provide the private sector with the ability to know and to plan for the tax consequences of foreign activities, as well as assurance, through the treaties' mutual agreement procedure, of a mechanism for resolving double taxation and interpretative issues. Tax treaties are therefore very important to U.S. persons who

FORMER CHAIRS OF SECTIONHoward O. Colgan, Jr.
Charles L. Kades
Samuel Brodsky
Thomas C. Plowden-Wardlaw
Edwin M. Jones
Hon. Hugh R. Jones
Peter Miller
John W. FagerJohn E. Morrissey Jr.
Charles E. Heming
Richard H. Appert
Ralph O. Winger
Hewitt A. Conway
Martin D. Ginsburg
Peter L. Faber
Hon. Renato BegheAlfred D. Youngwood
Gordon D. Henderson
David Sachs
J. Roger Mentz
Willard B. Taylor
Richard J. Hiegel
Dale S. Collinson
Richard G. CohenDonald Schapiro
Herbert L. Camp
William L. Burke
Arthur A. Feder
James M. Peaslee
John A. Corry
Peter C. Canellios
Michael L. Schler

invest, trade or travel abroad, as well as to foreign persons investing in the United States. Furthermore, the exchange of information provisions included in tax treaties help the Treasury to combat international tax evasion.

Each of the treaties and protocols now under consideration serves these ends. The protocol with Canada and the new treaties with France and Sweden make the form and substance of the existing treaties with those countries more consistent with current U.S. treaty policy, for example, by reducing withholding rates (as in the case of interest under the Canadian treaty). The treaties with Kazakhstan, Portugal and Ukraine will extend the U.S. treaty network to those countries for the first time, while the protocol with Mexico broadens the scope of the exchange of information provision.

We recognize that each tax treaty is the result of negotiations between the United States government and its foreign counterpart. Obviously compromises are necessary, and hence neither country may regard the completed treaty as optimal from its point of view. We urge, however, that any such deficiencies be balanced against the substantial contributions tax treaties provide to international commerce, and we believe the overall benefits that will be provided by these seven tax treaties and protocols outweigh any individual shortcomings. We hope that your Committee will view the pending treaties and protocols favorably and report them to the Senate for approval as expeditiously as possible.

Please let us know if we can be of any further assistance to you in your deliberations.

Very truly yours,

Carolyn Joy Lee
Chair, Tax Section