REPORT #871

TAX SECTION

New York State Bar Association

Letter on S. 942, Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 Small

Table of Contents

Cover	Letter:		i
-------	---------	--	---

TAX SECTION 1996-1997 Executive Committee RICHARD L. REINHOLD

Chair Cahill Gordon & Reindel 80 Pine Street New York, NY 10005 212/701-3672

RICHARD O. LOENGARD, JR. First Vice-Chair

STEVEN C. TODRYS Second Vice-Chair 212/715-9331

212/715-9331 HAROLD R. HANDLER Secretary 212/455-3110

COMMITTEE CHAIRS: Bankruptcy

Joel Scharfstein

Linda Z. Swartz

Basis, Gains & Losses

Stephen B. Land Erika W. Nijenhuis

CLE and Pro Bono
Deborah H. Schenk

Victor Zonana
Compliance, Practice & Procedure

Robert S. Fink
Arnold Y. Kapiloff
Consolidated Returns
Ann-Flizabeth Purintun

Ann-Elizabeth Purintun David R. Sicular Corporations

Patrick C. Gallagher Dana Trier

Cost Recovery
Elliot Pisem
Robert D. Schachat

Robert D. Schachat Estate and Trusts Sherwin Kamin

Carlyn S. McCaffrey Financial Instruments Deborah Lynn Paul

Robert H. Scarborough Financial Intermediaries David P. Hariton Thomas A. Humphreys

Foreign Activities of U.S. Taxpayers

Peter H. Blessing Charles M. Morgan, III

Victor F. Keen

Sherry S. Kraus

Multistate Tax Issues
Robert E. Brown

Paul R. Comeau

Net Operating Losses

Robert A. Jacobs

David S. Miller

New York City Taxes

Robert J. Levinsohn

William B. Randolph

New York State Franchise and Income Taxes

James A. Locke Arthur R. Rosen New York State Sales and Miss

William F. Collins Maria T. Jones

Nonqualified Employee Benefits Stuart N. Alperin Kenneth C. Edgar, Jr.

Partnership Andrew N. Berg William B. Brannan

Pass-Through Entities Roger J. Baneman Stephen L. Millman

Qualified Plans Stephen T. Lindo Loran T. Thompson

Real Property
Michael Hirschfeld
Alan J. Tarr

Reorganizations Lisa A. Levy Mary Kate Wold

Tax Accounting
Dickson G. Brown
Bruce Kayle

Tax Exempt Bonds Linda L. D'Onofrio Patti T. Wu

Tax Exempt Entities
Michelle P. Scott
Ann F. Thomas

Tax Policy David H. Brockway Peter v. Z. Cobb

U.S. Activities of Foreign Taxpayers
Yaron Z. Reich
Philip R. West

TAX SECTION

New York State Bar Association

MEMBERS-AT-LARGE OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:

Reuven S. Avi-Yonah Dianne Bennett Kimberly S. Blanchard Benjamin J. Cohen Scott F. Cristman Samuel J. Dimon Walter Hellerstein Damian Hovancik Charles I. Kingson Ronald A. Morris Daniel N. Shaviro Lewis R. Steinberg Eugene L. Vogel David E. Watts Lary S. Wolf

March 10, 1996

Hon. Robert J. Dole, Senator United States Senate Room 141, Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

> Re: S. 942, Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996

Dear Senator Dole:

S. 942, reported by the Senate Small Business Committee, would make the Regulatory Flexibility Act applicable to interpretive regulations issued by the Internal Revenue Service. As a result, the Service would be required to publish detailed analyses of each interpretive regulation demonstrating that the adverse impact on "small entities" has been minimized. Affected entities also would be afforded the right to judicial review of compliance with the measure.

We oppose the extension of the Regulatory Flexibility Act to interpretive regulations of the IRS. Rather than having the desired effect of reducing burdens on small business, we think the change would reduce the amount of guidance interpreting the tax law, thereby increasing the difficulty and "expense of taxpayers, especially small business, in complying with the law.

Compliance with the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act would unquestionably bog down the IRS and Treasury's process of issuing regulations: it would substantially increase the paperwork involved in promulgating regulations and require the shifting of personnel from the drafting

FORMER CHAIRS OF SECTION:

Howard O. Colgan, Jr. Charles L. Kades Samuel Brodsky Thomas C. Plowden-Wardlaw Edwin M. Jones Hon. Hugh R. Jones Peter Miller John W. Fager John E. Morrissey, Jr. Charles E. Heming Ralph O. Winger Hewitt A. Conway Martin D. Ginsburg Peter L. Faber Hon. Renato Beghe Alfred D. Youngwood Gordon D. Henderson David Sachs J. Roger Mentz Willard B. Taylor Richard J. Hiegel Dale S. Collinson Richard G. Cohen Donald Schapiro Herbert L. Camp William L. Burke Arthur A. Feder James M. Peaslee John A. Corry Peter C. Canellos Michael L. Schler Carolyn Joy Lee of regulations to the procedural aspects of promulgating them.

Unlike some types of government regulation, taxpayers welcome interpretive tax regulations. Tax obligations are imposed by statutory rules. In many cases, the precise meaning or application of the statutes is unclear and interpretive guidance of the statutory rules is therefore necessary. With clarification of these rules, business tax-papers save time and expense in determining their tax obligations, and avoid time-consuming, expensive disputes on audits of their tax returns. Such guidance also reduces the opportunity for taxpayers to take aggressive positions minimally supported by the statute.

We note that the IRS is already required to take into account the needs of small business in writing interpretive regulations. IRC § 7805(f) mandates submission of tax regulations to the Small Business Administration for review and comment. Apart from any requirement imposed by law, however, it is our experience as practitioners that tax regulations generally are written in a way that makes compliance with statutory requirements simpler and more practical. This benefits taxpayers -- especially small business, for which the cost of obtaining professional tax advice may be disproportionately expensive -- but also benefits government by increasing voluntary compliance with the tax law.

We think application of the Regulatory Flexibility Act to interpretive tax regulations would be especially unfortunate given the IRS's substantial backlog of unissued regulations. Since the early 1980s, material tax changes have been enacted almost every year. Many of the changes are highly complex, and a delay of five years or more in the issuance of needed interpretive regulations is not uncommon. For the reasons set forth above, all taxpayers, and especially small business, would be ill-served by burdens on the regulation-writing process that slow the flow of interpretive regulations.

We would be pleased to provide any additional information you may require.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard L. Reinhold Chair

cc: Hon. Christopher Bond,
 Chairman
 Committee on Small Business
United States Senate

Hon. Leslie B. Samuels,
Assistant Secretary
(Tax Policy)
Department of Treasury