



# NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

One Elk Street, Albany, New York 12207 • 518.463.3200 • www.nysba.org

## TAX SECTION

### 2010-2011 Executive Committee

#### PETER H. BLESSING

Chair  
Shearman & Sterling LLP  
599 Lexington Avenue  
11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022  
212/848-4106

#### JODI J. SCHWARTZ

First Vice-Chair  
212/403-1212

#### ANDREW W. NEEDHAM

Second Vice-Chair  
212/474-1440

#### DIANA L. WOLLMAN

Secretary  
212/558-4055

#### COMMITTEE CHAIRS:

##### Bankruptcy and Operating Losses

Stuart J. Goldring  
Russell J. Kestenbaum

##### Compliance, Practice & Procedure

Elliot Piser  
Bryan C. Skarlatos

##### Consolidated Returns

Lawrence M. Garrett  
Edward E. Gonzalez

##### Corporations

David R. Sicular  
Karen Gilbreath Sowell

##### Cross-Border Capital Markets

Andrew Walker  
Gordon Warnke

##### Employee Benefits

Regina Olshan  
Andrew L. Oringer

##### Estates and Trusts

Amy Heller  
Jeffrey N. Schwartz

##### Financial Instruments

Michael S. Farber  
William L. McRae

##### "Inbound" U.S. Activities of Foreign

##### Taxpayers

Peter J. Connors  
David R. Hardy

##### Individuals

Paul R. Comeau  
Sherry S. Kraus

##### Investment Funds

David H. Schnabel  
Marc L. Silberberg

##### New York City Taxes

Maria T. Jones  
Irwin M. Stomka

##### New York State Taxes

Robert E. Brown  
Arthur R. Rosen

##### "Outbound" Foreign Activities of

##### U.S. Taxpayers

Andrew H. Braiterman  
Yaron Z. Reich

##### Partnerships

David W. Mayo  
Joel Scharfstein

##### Pass-Through Entities

James R. Brown  
John Lutz

##### Real Property

Robert Cassanos  
Jeffrey Hochberg

##### Reorganizations

Deborah L. Paul  
Linda Z. Swartz

##### Securitized and Structured

##### Finance

Jiyeon Lee-Lim  
W. Kirk Wallace

##### Tax Exempt Entities

Elizabeth T. Kessenides  
Richard R. Upton

#### MEMBERS-AT-LARGE OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

S. Douglas Borisky

Kathleen L. Ferrell

Marcy G. Geller

Charles I. Kingson

Stephen Land

Robert J. Levinsohn

Lisa A. Levy

Vadim Mahmoudov

Gary B. Mandel

Douglas McFadyen

Charles M. Morgan

David M. Schizer

Peter F. G. Schuur

Ansgar Simon

Eric Sloan

Andrew P. Solomon

Eric Solomon

May 5, 2010

Honorable Michael Mundaca  
Assistant Secretary  
(Tax Policy)  
Department of the Treasury  
1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20220

Honorable William J. Wilkins  
Chief Counsel  
Internal Revenue Service  
1111 Constitution Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20224

Re: Report on Ambiguities and Uncertainties in the Original Issue Discount Regulations

Gentlemen:

We are pleased to submit the New York State Bar Association Tax Section's Report No. 1212, concerning ambiguities and uncertainties in the original issue discount regulations.

The Report addresses some of the more common uncertainties regarding the application of the OID regulations to certain types of financial instruments and makes recommendations regarding the guidance that we believe should be issued regarding the tax treatment of such instruments. While we agree with the general framework of the OID regulations, we have encountered in our collective years of practice a number of ambiguities and uncertainties regarding the application of the OID regulations to certain common types of financial instruments. We have also encountered cases in which a literal application of the OID regulations would be inconsistent with the economics of the underlying financial instrument or would lead to a tax result that is inappropriate and was likely unintended by the drafters of the OID regulations. These comments are intentionally narrow in scope and generally do not address special rules.

#### FORMER CHAIRS OF SECTION:

Edwin M. Jones  
John E. Morrissey, Jr.  
Martin D. Ginsburg  
Peter L. Faber  
Hon. Renato Beghe  
Alfred D. Youngwood  
Gordon D. Henderson  
David Sachs

J. Roger Mentz  
Willard B. Taylor  
Richard J. Hiegel  
Dale S. Collinson  
Richard G. Cohen  
Donald Schapiro  
Herbert L. Camp  
William L. Burke

Arthur A. Feder  
James M. Peaslee  
John A. Corry  
Peter C. Canellos  
Michael L. Schler  
Carolyn Joy Lee  
Richard L. Reinhold  
Richard O. Loengard

Steven C. Todrys  
Harold R. Handler  
Robert H. Scarborough  
Robert A. Jacobs  
Samuel J. Dimon  
Andrew N. Berg  
Lewis R. Steinberg  
David P. Hariton

Kimberly S. Blanchard  
Patrick C. Gallagher  
David S. Miller  
Erika W. Nijenhuis

The Report recommends that guidance be issued that would provide the following:

A debt instrument should not fail to qualify as a short-term obligation merely because its maturity date may be extended beyond one year due to a market disruption event as long as: (i) the possibility of a market disruption event occurring is remote, (ii) a payment may never be deferred for more than five business days and (iii) no additional interest (or other compensation) is payable in respect of the deferred period.

1. A debt instrument that provides for annual interest payments should not be treated as issued with OID solely because one or more of the annual payments will be deferred beyond one year if the annual interest payment date is not a business day.
2. The pre-issuance accrued interest election should only be made by the issuer of a note and such election, if made, should be binding on holders of the notes.
3. The consideration that is deemed paid for tax purposes in the case of an issuer that issues a note in a qualified reopening in exchange for property should be equal to the fair market value of the note on the date of the reopening rather than the issue price of the note.
4. The special rules governing notes that provide for “alternative payment schedules” should apply to a note if a single payment schedule under the terms of the note is “significantly more likely than not to occur” even if there is an infinite number of possible alternative payment schedules under the terms of the note.
5. The issue price of debt sold for money should generally be determined on the first date on which one or more purchasers agree to acquire a substantial amount of the debt at a fixed price, as opposed to the issue date. In addition, we recommend that the IRS issue guidance regarding the determination of a “substantial amount” for purposes of determining the issue price of a note. We specifically recommend that any such guidance provide that the relative percentage amount of notes that are sold should be taken into account in determining whether the “substantial amount” test is satisfied. In addition, we recommend adopting a safe harbor that would treat 10% of the aggregate principal amount of a debt issuance as constituting a “substantial amount.”
6. The comparable yield and projected payment schedule of a contingent payment debt instrument should be determined as of the pricing date for the note rather than on the issue date for the note.
7. The presumption in the VRDI rules under which the value of an initial fixed rate and a subsequent variable rate are treated as intended to approximate each other if the value of the variable rate on the issue date does not differ from the value of the fixed

Hon. Michael Mundaca, Hon. Douglas H. Shulman and Hon. William J. Wilkins  
May 5, 2010  
Page 3

rate by more than 25 basis points should be determined on the pricing date for the notes as opposed to the issue date for the notes.

8. The "option rule" in the OID regulations should apply to a VRDI after the application of the "fixed rate substitute rule" in the VRDI regulations.

9. Guidance should be issued regarding the appropriate tax treatment of discount loans that have a contingent yield because they have an excess cash sweep feature under which any available cash is required to be used to repay the principal of the loan.

We appreciate your consideration of our recommendations. If you have any questions or comments regarding this Report, please feel free to contact us and we will be glad to discuss or assist in any way.

Sincerely,



Peter H. Blessing  
Chair

cc: William E. Blanchard  
Senior Technical Reviewer, Branch 3  
Internal Revenue Service

Emily McMahon  
Deputy Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy)  
Department of the Treasury

Stephen Larson  
Associate Chief Counsel (Financial Institutions and Products)  
Internal Revenue Service

Joshua D. Odintz  
Acting Tax Legislative Counsel  
Department of the Treasury

Clarissa C. Potter  
Deputy Chief Counsel (Technical)  
Internal Revenue Service

Hon. Michael Mundaca, Hon. Douglas H. Shulman and Hon. William J. Wilkins  
May 5, 2010  
Page 4

Lon B. Smith  
National Counsel to the Chief  
Counsel for Special Projects  
Internal Revenue Service

Jeffrey Van Hove  
Deputy Tax Legislative Counsel, Regulatory Affairs  
Department of the Treasury

Karl T. Walli  
Senior Counsel – Financial Products  
Department of the Treasury

Mark S. Perwien  
Special Counsel of Associate Chief Counsel – Financial Institutions and  
Products  
Internal Revenue Service