## TAX SECTION

2011-2012 Executive Committee

JODI J. SCHWARTZ

Chair Wachtell Lipton Rosen & Katz 51 West 52<sup>™</sup> Street New York, NY 10019-6150 212/403-1212 ANDREW W. NEEDHAM First Vice-Chair 212/474-1440 DIANA L. WOLLMAN

Second Vice-Chair 212/558-4055 DAVID H. SCHNABEL Secretary 212/909-6336

COMMITTEE CHAIRS: Bankruptcy and Operating Losses Stuart J. Goldring

Russell J. Kestenbaum Compliance, Practice & Procedure

Elliot Pisem
Bryan C. Skarlatos
Consolidated Returns
Lawrence M. Garrett
Exempressions

Corporations
David R. Sicular
Karen Gilbreath Sowell
Cross-Border Capital Markets
Andrew Walker

Gordon Warrike
Employee Benefits
Eric Hilfers
Andrew L. Oringer
Estates and Trusts
Laura M. Twomey
Financial Instruments
Michael S. Farber
William L. McRae

"Inbound" U.S. Activities of Foreign

Taxpayers
Peter J. Connors
David R. Hardy
Individuals
Robert E. Brown
Sherry S. Kraus
Investment Funds
Marc L. Silberberg
Eric Sloan
New York City Taxes

New York City Taxes Maria T. Jones Invin M. Slomka New York State Taxes Paul R. Comeau Arthur B. Rosen

"Outbound" Foreign Activities of U.S. Taxpavers

U.S. Taxpayers
Andrew H. Braiteirman
Yaron Z. Reich
Partnerships
David W. Mayo
Joel Scharfstein
Pass-Through Entitles
James R. Brown
John T. Lutz
Real Property
Robert Cassanos
Jeffrey Hochberg

Reorganizations

Deborah L. Paul Linda Z. Swartz Securitizations and Structured Finance

Jiyeon Lee-Lim W. Kirk Wallace Tax Exempt Entities Elizabeth T. Kessenides Richard R. Upton MEMBERS-AT-LARGE OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

S. Douglas Borisky Steven Dean Kathleen L. Ferrell Marcy G. Geller Charles I. Kingson Stephen B. Land Matthew Lay Robert J. Levinsohn Lisa A. Levy Vadim Mahmbudov Gary B. Mandel Charles M. Morgan David M. Schizer Peter F. G. Schuur Ansgar A. Simon Andrew P. Solomon Eric Solomon Philip Wagman

January 12, 2012

The Honorable Emily S. McMahon Acting Assistant Secretary (Tax Policy) Department of the Treasury 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20220

The Honorable William J. Wilkins Chief Counsel Internal Revenue Service 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20224

The Honorable Douglas H. Shulman Commissioner Internal Revenue Service 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20224

Re: Report on Tax Deductibility of Contributions to Disregarded Entities Owned by Charities

Dear Ms. McMahon, Mr. Wilkins and Mr. Shulman:

We are pleased to submit New York State Bar Association Tax Section Report No. 1254. The report addresses the tax deductibility under Section 170 and related sections of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code") of contributions made to a disregarded entity ("DRE") subsidiary of a tax-exempt organization eligible to receive tax deductible contributions (a

FORMER CHAIRS OF SECTION:

John E. Morrissey, Jr. Peter L. Faber Hon. Renato Beghe Alfred D. Youngwood Gordon D. Henderson David Sachs J. Roger Mentz Willard B. Taylor Richard J. Hiegel Dale S. Collinson Richard G. Cohen Donald Schapiro Herbert L. Camp William L. Burke Arthur A. Feder James M. Peaslee John A. Corry
Peter C. Canellos
Michael L. Schler
Carolyn Joy Lee
Richard L. Reinhold
Richard O. Loengard
Steven C. Todrys
Harold R. Handler

Robert H. Scarborough Robert A. Jacobs Samuel J. Dirnon Andrew N. Berg Lewis R. Steinberg David P. Harlton Kimberly S. Blanchard Patrick C. Gallagher David S. Miller Erika W. Nijenhuis Peter H. Blessino The Honorable Emily S. McMahon The Honorable William J. Wilkins The Honorable Douglas H. Shulman January 12, 2012 Page 2

"charity"). In Announcement 99-102, 1999-43 I.R.B. 545, the Internal Revenue Service announced that, in light of the entity classification regulations' treatment of DREs, if a tax-exempt organization owns a DRE, the DRE's operations are treated as a branch or division of its owner and its owner "must include, as its own, information pertaining to the finance and operations of a disregarded entity in its annual information return." In 2001, the IRS indicated it intended to publish guidance on charitable contributions to disregarded entities. However, to date, the IRS has not taken a public position on the question of whether a donor is entitled to claim a charitable contribution deduction under Section 170(a) if the donor makes a contribution to a DRE whose sole member is a charitable organization described in Section 170(c)(2) and recognized as exempt from tax under Section 501(c)(3). There is no case law directly addressing the deductibility of charitable contributions to a disregarded entity owned by a charity.

Reg. § 301.7701-1(a)(1) provides that the entity classification regulations apply "for federal tax purposes." The Section 7701 entity classification regulations (also referred to as the "check-the-box regulations") provide that an eligible entity may either default to be, or elect to be, a DRE.

In our view, the IRS should not limit the deductibility of gifts to DREs. As discussed in the report, but for the check-the-box regulations' treatment of DREs, contributions to a charity's subsidiary generally would not be deductible unless the subsidiary itself is qualified as a charity or the subsidiary is the agent of the parent charity. Only contributions "to or for the use of" a domestic charity are deductible under Section 170(a). Treatment of eligible entities as disregarded is purely a regulatory concept and is not expressly required by the Code or case law. We acknowledge that, as for employment taxes and several other specific purposes, the IRS has the power to change the check-the-box regulations prospectively to provide that, for purposes of deductibility under Section 170(a), otherwise disregarded entities are regarded.

That said, we have found no compelling reason why the IRS must or should regard otherwise disregarded entities for purposes of Section 170. Well over a decade of experience with the check-the-box regulations as applied to DREs owned by charities has not brought to light any real abuses or problems of tax administration. The IRS can and does receive information about such DREs on Form 990. If the IRS desires or needs more information, it can revise Form 990 to require such information. Further, the IRS has the power to revoke the exempt status of any charity that is not operated exclusively for charitable purposes. If a DRE (which is treated as part of the charity) engages in activities that are incompatible with being tax-exempt, the IRS can revoke the parent's exempt status.

The Honorable Emily S. McMahon The Honorable William J. Wilkins The Honorable Douglas H. Shulman January 12, 2012 Page 3

Thus, we believe that under current law, a contribution to a DRE owned and controlled by a charity is treated as a gift to the charity and is deductible under Section 170(a), to the same extent and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as a gift to a branch of the parent charity. We think the same conclusion applies in determining whether a deduction is allowed for estate and gift tax purposes for gifts to DREs owned and controlled by charities.

As discussed in the report, arriving at these conclusions involves a two-step analysis. Is there anything about the way the DRE is organized or operated that is inconsistent with the parent's tax-exempt status? If no, would the gift have been deductible if made to a branch of the charity (rather than to a DRE), assuming the gift is subject to the same express or implied restrictions imposed on the gift to the DRE? If the DRE is organized and operated consistently with the parent's tax-exempt status and a gift to a DRE would have been deductible if it had been made to a branch of the charity, our view is that such a gift should be deductible when made to a charity's DRE. We do not think the DRE should have to independently be able to qualify as a charity for gifts to the DRE to be deductible. For example, a gift to a DRE that has solely investment purposes should be deductible even though investment purposes standing alone are not charitable.

We request that the IRS issue a ruling or other guidance confirming these conclusions. Deductibility should be premised on the DRE being controlled by the charity and on the DRE's governing documents not providing that the DRE be operated for purposes that are contrary to the tax-exempt purposes of the charity owner. Finally, deductibility could require the acknowledgment required under Section 170(f)(8) to give the parent charity's name and address and to contain a statement confirming the DRE status of the recipient of the gift. Such guidance should provide at least one example involving a foreign DRE owned by a domestic charity. Here, the IRS should confirm that the check-the-box regulations do apply and a contribution to such a foreign entity is deductible to the same extent as a contribution to a charity's domestic DRE.

In sum, we recommend that the IRS treat otherwise disregarded entities as disregarded for purposes of Section 170 and related estate and gift tax provisions of the Code and treat contributions to a DRE owned and controlled by a charity as contributions to the parent charity.

We appreciate your consideration of the report and our recommendations.

Sincerely,

Jodi J. Schwartz

The Honorable Emily S. McMahon The Honorable William J. Wilkins The Honorable Douglas H. Shulman January 12, 2012 Page 4

cc: Erik Corwin

Deputy Chief Counsel (Technical)

Internal Revenue Service

Lon B. Smith

National Counsel to the Chief Counsel for Special Projects

Internal Revenue Service

Lois G. Lerner

Director, Exempt Organizations

Internal Revenue Service

Sarah H. Ingram

Commissioner (Tax Exempt & Government Entities Div.)

Internal Revenue Service

Joseph Grant

Deputy Commissioner (TEGE)

Internal Revenue Service

Victoria Judson

Division Counsel / Associate Chief Counsel (TEGE)

Internal Revenue Service

Holly Paz

Acting Director, EO Rulings and Agreements

Internal Revenue Service

Karin Gross

Office of Chief Counsel

Internal Revenue Service

Susan Kassell

Office of Chief Counsel

Internal Revenue Service