

## NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

One Elk Street, Albany, New York 12207 • 518.463.3200 • www.nysba.org

## **TAX SECTION**

2008-2009 Executive Committee

DAVID S. MILLER

Cadwalader Wickersham & Taft LLP One World Financial Center

23<sup>rd</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10281 212/504-6318 CHARLES MORGAN First Vice-Cha 212/735-2470

**ERIKA W. NIJENHUIS** Second Vice-Chair 212/225-2980

PETER H. BLESSING

Secretary 212/848-4106

COMMITTEE CHAIRS: ankruptcy and Operating Losses Stuart J. Goldring Russell J. Kestenbaum

Compliance, Practice & Procedure Elliot Pisem

Bryan C. Skarlatos Consolidated Returns Lawrence M. Garrett

David H. Schnabel

Corporations Deborah L. Paul David R. Sicular

Employee Benefits
Andrew L. Gaines
Andrew L. Oringer
Estates and Trusts
Carlyn S. McCaffrey

Jeffrey N. Schwartz Financial Instruments

Michael S. Farber Stephen B. Land 'Inbound" U.S. Activities of Foreign

Taxpayers
Peter J. Connors
David R. Hardy

Individuals Elizabeth T. Kessenides

Sherry S. Kraus Multistate Tax Issues Robert E. Brown Paul R. Comeau

New York City Taxes Robert J. Levinsohn Irwin M. Slomka New York State Franchise and

income Taxes Maria T. Jones Arthur R. Rosen

"Outbound" Foreign Activities of U.S. Taxpayers Andrew H. Braiterman

Douglas R. McFadyen Partnerships Andrew W. Needham

Joel Schanste Pass-Through Entities Marc L. Silberberg

Real Property Jeffrey Hochberg Reorganizations

Jodi J. Schwartz Linda Z. Swartz

Securitizations and Structured Finance Jiyeon Lee-Lim W. Kirk Wallace

Tax Accounting Edward E. Gonzalez Yaron Z. Reich Tax Exempt Bonds Bruce M. Serchuk

Patti T. Wu Tax Exempt Entities Michelle P. Scott Richard R. Upton

Tax Policy David W. Mayo Diana L. Wolfman MEMBERS-AT-LARGE OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

S. Douglas Borisky Charles I. Kingson Kathleen L. Ferrell William L. McRae David M. Schizer

Peter F. G. Schuur Andrew P. Solomon Andrew Walker Gordon Warnke

January 28, 2009

Victor Zonana

The Honorable Douglas Shulman

Lisa A. Levy

John T. Lutz

Commissioner

Internal Revenue Service

Room 3000IR

1111 Constitution Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20224

Re: Report on Proposed Regulations Issued Under Sections 367, 1248 and

6038B

Dear Commissioner Shulman:

Edwin M. Jones

Peter L. Faber

David Sachs

John E. Morrissey, Jr.

Martin D. Ginsburg

Hon. Renato Beghe

Alfred D. Youngwood

Gordon D. Henderson

We write to comment on the proposed regulations issued under section 367, 1248 and 6038B of the Internal Revenue Code that were published in the Federal Register on August 20, 2008 and modified on September 29, 2008 (the "Proposed Regulations").

By way of background, the Proposed Regulations provide an election under which a U.S. corporation can avoid the corporate level gain recognition imposed under section 367(a)(5) on the transfer of assets to a foreign corporation in an otherwise tax-free reorganization. Four requirements must be satisfied to make the election under the Proposed Regulations.

First, the U.S. transferor must be controlled by between one and five domestic corporations (treating all members of an affiliated group as a single corporation). These corporations are referred to as the "control group".

J. Roger Mentz

Willard B. Taylor

Richard J. Hiegel

Dale S. Collinson

Richard G. Cohen

Donald Schapiro

Herbert L. Camp

William L. Burke

FORMER CHAIRS OF SECTION:

Arthur A. Feder James M. Peaslee John A. Conv Peter C. Canellos Michael L. Schler Carolyn Joy Lee Richard L. Reinhold Richard O. Loengard

Steven C. Todrys Harold R. Handler Robert H. Scarborough Robert A. Jacobs Samuel J. Dimon Andrew N. Berg Lewis R. Steinberg David P. Hariton

Kimberly S. Blanchard Patrick C. Gallagher

Second, the U.S. transferors and all controlled group members must all agree to make the election.

Third, each member of the control group must reduce its basis in the stock it receives in the transaction to preserve the control member's share of the "inside gain" of the U.S. transferor.

And, finally, the U.S. transferor must certify that if the foreign acquiring corporation at any time disposes of a significant amount of the section 367(a) property it receives with a principal purpose of avoiding the U.S. tax that would have been imposed on the sale by the U.S. transferor, the U.S. transferor will file a U.S. tax return for the year of the exchange and report (and pay any tax on) the gain that was realized but not recognized.

The Proposed Regulations also contain three ancillary (but important) provisions. First, the Proposed Regulations reverse a rule in the current regulations that requires a U.S. transferor to include in income the "section 1248 amount" attributable to the stock of a foreign corporation that is transferred in a section 361 exchange to a foreign acquiring corporation as part of a triangular reorganization. Under the Proposed Regulations, no section 1248 amount need be included in income in respect of these transactions. Second, the Proposed Regulations contain an anti-stuffing rule under which certain assets that are acquired by a U.S. transferor with a principal purpose of affecting the determination of the amount of aggregate "section 367(a) property" are disregarded for purposes of determinations under the Proposed Regulations. And, finally, the Proposed Regulations clarify the exceptions to the gain recognition requirements of section 1248(f).

## We offer several recommendations. In short:

- First, we recommend that the final regulations be revised to permit a corporate transferor that elects under the Proposed Regulations to recognize a portion of its unrecognized gain in an amount equal to any net operating losses. This election will reduce the amount of inside gain that must be preserved through the basis adjustments.
- Second, we recommend that a member of a control group with unrealized outside losses not be required to forfeit the losses as a result of basis adjustments. We suggest a number of potential approaches to address this issue.
- Third, we recommend that the requirement that a U.S. transferor file amended tax returns in the event of a subsequent asset disposition by the foreign transferee to avoid taxes be limited to the five-year period of sections 367(a)(2) and 367(a)(3).
- Fourth, we request that the Treasury Department and the IRS consider extending the election under the Proposed Regulations to RICs, REITs and subchapter S corporations.
- Fifth, we recommend that the definition of a control group be expanded to accommodate indirect corporate ownership through a partnership.
- Finally, we suggest that the Treasury Department and IRS undertake a comprehensive review of the policies underlying section 367(b) and the

appropriate methods to implement those policies. Specifically, we question whether the so-called "dividend within gain" limitation of section 356(a) should apply in the context of an outbound asset reorganization. We discuss several considerations that should be taken into account as part of this review.

We also offer some additional technical comments.

We appreciate your consideration of our recommendations and comments. We would be pleased to discuss these matters with you further or provide any other assistance that you would find helpful.

Respectfully submitted,

David S. Miller

## Enclosure

cc: Michael A. DiFronzo

Deputy Associate Chief Counsel (International)

Internal Revenue Service

John Harrington International Tax Counsel Department of Treasury

Michael Mundaca Deputy Assistant Secretary-International Tax Affairs Department of Treasury

Steven A. Musher Associate Chief Counsel (International) Internal Revenue Service