ADOPTED AS REVISED

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION

NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

REPORT TO THE HOUSE OF DELEGATES

RESOLUTION

1 RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association condemns the Russian Federation’s unlawful invasion of Ukraine; and

2 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the American Bar Association calls upon the United Nations General Assembly to authorize the Secretary General of the United Nations to establish international war crime tribunals, to exercise jurisdiction and hear and determine whether the Russian Federation and its officials violated international law, including but not limited to the crime of aggression against Ukraine, and hold to account those responsible.

3 Expeditiously report to the General Assembly on what further measures are needed to ensure that those who committed war crimes, crimes against humanity, genocide and crimes of aggression during the Russian Federation’s unlawful invasion of Ukraine are held account
REPORT

The American Bar Association and its Historical Commitment to the Rule of Law

Throughout its history, the American Bar Association ("ABA") has had a proud tradition of promoting and defending the rule of law. The ABA has described the rule of law as follows (see, ABA website):

The rule of law is a set of principles, or ideals, for ensuring an orderly and just society. Many countries throughout the world strive to uphold the rule of law where no one is above the law, everyone is treated equally under the law, everyone is held accountable to the same laws, there are clear and fair processes for enforcing laws, there is an independent judiciary, and human rights are guaranteed for all.

The mission of the ABA Rule of Law Initiative is to promote justice, economic opportunity, and human dignity through the rule of law (see, ABA website). A measure of the ABA’s commitment to the rule of law can be gleaned from the recent appointment of retired United States Supreme Court Justice Stephen G. Breyer as Chair of the Board of Directors of the ABA Rule of Law Initiative.

The world – including the international legal community – is now faced with a dire threat to the rule of law: a military invasion of a sovereign nation, Ukraine, by the Russian Federation. The conduct of the Russian Federation in Ukraine appears to constitute violations of the fundamental principles of international criminal law including the crime of aggression, genocide, war crimes and crimes against humanity.

The conduct of such an illegal war cries out for justice – an essential component of the rule of law – by the creation of an appropriate hybrid international war crime tribunal involving Ukraine to exercise jurisdiction and hear and determine whether the Russian Federation and its culpable officials violated international law, including but not limited to the crime of aggression against Ukraine, and hold to account those responsible.

This is not new territory for the ABA. In defense of the rule of law as it applies to international military conflicts, ABA Resolution 13A107A, adopted at the 2013 Annual Meeting, “urges all countries, consistent with international law, not to apply statutes of limitation with respect to (1) genocide, (2) crimes against humanity, and (3) serious war crimes.”

This resolution being proposed today is addressed to the United Nations General Assembly. This strategy recognizes that a resolution calling for the United Nations Security Council to create a war crime tribunal would face certain veto by the Russian Federation.

The United Nations General Assembly has played a leading role in establishing judicial mechanisms and commissions to investigate and prosecute criminal violations of
international law, including Resolutions 52/135 and 57/228 calling for the formation of the Extraordinary Chambers of the Courts of Cambodia for the Prosecution of Crimes Committed During the Period of Democratic Kampuchea, and in Resolution 63/19, endorsing the International Commission Against Impunity in Guatemala.

This report acknowledges that ABA Resolution 22M605, adopted at the 2022 Midyear Meeting "urges the permanent members of the United Nations Security Council to commit in principle to voluntary restraint in exercising their veto power with respect to credible, non-preftextual resolutions proposing measures to prevent genocide, serious war crimes, ethnic cleansing, or crimes against humanity, or to bring to justice persons accused of committing such crimes, consistent with the UN Charter and applicable rules of international law;" and "urges Member States of the United Nations, individually and collectively, in conformity with the UN Charter, to seek to achieve the objectives of the General Assembly Declaration on Responsibility to Protect populations from genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity, as set forth in General Assembly Resolution 60/1."

Nevertheless, this report recognizes that the General Assembly – rather than the Security Council – would likely be more favorably disposed to the creation of an appropriate war crime tribunal to redress international crimes being committed by the Russian Federation against Ukraine.

The New York State Bar Association and the Rule of Law

The proponent of this resolution, the New York State Bar Association ("NYSBA"), is the largest voluntary state bar association in the United States. NYSBA was founded in 1876 with the objective of cultivating the science of jurisprudence, promoting reform in the law, facilitating the administration of justice, and elevating the standards of integrity, honor, professional skill and courtesy in the legal profession. NYSBA has approximately 30 sections, each devoted to a particular area of the law. Among them is the International Section ("NYSBA International Section").

Like the ABA, NYSBA has a long-standing and unwavering commitment to the rule of law and has not hesitated to speak out to defend it against actions – whether domestic or international – which would imperil and undermine its existence. For example, in 1896, NYSBA proposed the first global means of settling disputes among nations, what is now called the Permanent Court of Arbitration at The Hague. More recently, on April 4, 1992, the Executive Committee of NYSBA adopted a resolution calling for the establishment of an International Criminal Court.

The Russian Federation’s Invasion of Ukraine, and the Responses of NYSBA and the Ukrainian Bar Association

With tensions between the Russian Federation and Ukraine mounting, in December 2021, NYSBA and the Ukrainian Bar Association ("UBA"), a non-governmental, non-profit organization established in 2002 that has among its goals adherence to the rule of law,
entered a Memorandum of Understanding, and NYSBA’s International Section formed a Chapter in Ukraine in association with the UBA. On February 15, 2022, the NYSBA International Section and the UBA formed a Ukraine Task Force. The Task Force held its first meeting on February 17, 2022 and scheduled its next meeting for February 24, 2022.

On that date, February 24, 2022, the Russian Federation invaded Ukraine. The world has since witnessed an onslaught of airstrikes, missile attacks and ground assaults, leading to massive casualties among Ukrainian soldiers and civilians, extreme human suffering and hardship, property damage and an unprecedented volume of displaced persons. The Russian Federation invasion of Ukraine, a sovereign state, is a violation of international law, as are the Russian Federation’s intentional, systemic, and widespread attacks on Ukraine’s civilian population. The Russian Federation’s acts, as well as the acts of its military and its agents, must be investigated and, if warranted, prosecuted in an international tribunal having jurisdiction to render judgments and mete out punishments.

NYSBA’s response to the Russian Federation’s aggression was immediate and unequivocal. On the very day that the invasion began, NYSBA President T. Andrew Brown issued the following statement:

This act of unwarranted and illegal military aggression against a sovereign state is a flagrant violation of the United Nations Charter, Helsinki Accords, and established norms and principles of international law. ... NYSBA joins the international community in demanding that the Russian Federation immediately withdraw its armed forces from Ukraine and restore Ukraine’s territorial integrity and international borders. NYSBA supports our new friends and colleagues in Ukraine and, in this dark hour, NYSBA stands with Ukraine.

The Legal Framework for International War Crime Prosecution of the Russian Federation

The invasion of Ukraine by the Russian Federation constitutes an “act of aggression,” and, by virtue of its sustained military presence and offensive within the borders of Ukraine, “a war of aggression” and, therefore, a “crime against peace,” all as defined in the Declaration of the UN General Assembly on Principles of International Law Concerning Friendly Relations and Cooperation Among States (Resolution 2625 (XXV) and the General Assembly’s Resolution 3314 (XXIX) on the Definition of Aggression.

Evidence from the world media indicates that the armed forces of the Russian Federation are engaged in wantonly attacking and decimating cities, towns and villages of Ukraine, in targeting civilian populations, institutions, buildings and property, in killing thousands of civilians, in deporting civilian residents of Ukraine to territory of the Russian Federation, in creating a huge population of displaced persons within and without Ukraine, and in imposing political control over occupied parts of Ukraine. Most if not all of these acts
would constitute “war crimes” under the Principles of International Law Recognized by the Charter of the Nuremberg Tribunal and in the Judgment of the Tribunal as “violations of the laws or customs of war, which include, but are not limited to, murder, ill- treatment or deportation to slave-labor or for any other person of civilian populations … and wanton destruction of cities, towns or villages, or devastation not justified by military necessity.”

These would also constitute crimes against humanity under the same Principles precisely because they are being committed in connection with a “crime against the peace,” i.e., “the planning, initiation or waging of a war of aggression or a war in violation of international treaties, agreements or assurances,” and, therefore, are worthy of prosecution and punishment under the same Principles.

President Vladimir Putin has publicly justified the Russian Federation’s aggression by, among other things, calling into question Ukraine’s legitimacy as a nation and its inherent right to independence and sovereignty. Under Article II of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, killing members of “a national group” and causing serious bodily and mental harm to members of that group comprises “genocide.” The world has seen relentless shelling of civilian targets throughout Ukraine, which continues to this date. The Russian Federation, and the actions of its military and its agents, must be investigated and, if warranted, prosecuted for actions against the Ukrainian people deliberately “calculated to bring about [their] physical destruction in whole or in part.”

The actions of the Russian Federation, its military and agents, have caused untold damage to the people and property of Ukraine, including immense economic loss and privation, for which Russia would have state responsibility as a matter of customary international law (as most recently articulated in the Articles proposed in 2001 by the International Law Commission on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts), for which Ukraine is entitled to reparation in the form of restitution, compensation and satisfaction.

The invasion of Ukraine by the Russian Federation is a direct violation of the prohibition of the use of force against the territorial integrity and political independence of another state, contained in Article 2(4) of the Charter of the United Nations. This prohibition is also considered a fundamental peremptory norm (jus cogens) of international law, and the Russian Federation’s conduct is also a direct and unequivocal contradiction of the mission of the United Nations to end war and promote peace. No credible defense of the invasion as justified under the inherent right of self-defense under Article 51 of the Charter has been offered or articulated.

In addition, the actions by the Russian Federation in launching its prolonged armed attack on Ukraine constitutes a direct violation of the 1994 Memorandum on Security Assurances in Connection with Ukraine’s Accession to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, whereby the Russian Federation (in addition to the United States and the United Kingdom) reaffirmed its obligation to refrain from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity and political independence of Ukraine, and also agreed to
refrain from any form of economic coercion designed to subordinate to its own interest the exercise by Ukraine of the rights inherent in Ukraine’s sovereignty and thus to secure advantages of any kind at Ukraine’s expense.

The United Nations General Assembly, in its very first session, in the aftermath of World War II, in Resolution 3, called on member and non-member states to take all necessary measures to cause the arrest of those war criminals who have been responsible for or taken a consenting part in such crimes and to cause them to be returned to the countries where they committed their crimes “that they may be and punished according to the law of those countries.”

Furthermore, the United Nations General Assembly, in Resolution 3074, enunciated Principles of International Cooperation in the Detection, Arrest, Extradition & Punishment of Persons Guilty of War Crimes & Crimes Against Humanity, including that States shall cooperate with each other in the collection of information and evidence which would help to bring to trial persons against whom there is evidence that they have committed international crimes.

Respectfully submitted,

Sherry Levin Wallach, President
New York State Bar Association

August 2022
1. Summary of the Resolution(s).

This resolution condemns the invasion of Ukraine by the Russian Federation and calls upon the United Nations Secretary General to establish tribunals to exercise jurisdiction and hear and determine whether the Russian Federation and its culpable officials violated international law and hold to account those responsible.

2. Indicate which of the ABA’s Four goals the resolution seeks to advance (1-Serve our Members; 2-Improve our Profession; 3-Eliminate Bias and Enhance Diversity; 4-Advance the Rule of Law) and provide an explanation on how it accomplishes this.

The resolution would advance Goal 4 – Advance the Rule of Law – by seeking the establishment of tribunals to determine whether international law has been violated in connection with the invasion of Ukraine.

3. Approval by Submitting Entity.

Approved by New York State Bar Association Executive Committee, July 19, 2022.

4. Has this or a similar resolution been submitted to the House or Board previously?

No.

5. What existing Association policies are relevant to this Resolution and how would they be affected by its adoption?

This resolution would support the ABA’s Rule of Law Initiative.

ABA Resolution 13A107A, adopted at the 2013 Annual Meeting, “urges all countries, consistent with international law, not to apply statutes of limitation with respect to (1) genocide, (2) crimes against humanity, and (3) serious war crimes.”

ABA Resolution 22M605, adopted at the 2022 Midyear Meeting, “urges the permanent members of the United Nations Security Council (UNSC) to commit in principle to voluntary restraint in exercising their veto power with respect to credible, non-pretextual resolutions proposing measures to prevent genocide, serious war crimes, ethnic cleansing, or crimes against humanity, or to bring to justice persons accused of committing such crimes, consistent with the UN Charter and applicable rules of international law;” and “urges Member States of the United Nations, individually and collectively, in conformity with the UN Charter, to seek to achieve the objectives of the
General Assembly Declaration on Responsibility to Protect populations from genocide, war crimes, ethnic cleansing and crimes against humanity, as set forth in General Assembly Resolution 60/1."

6. If this is a late report, what urgency exists which requires action at this meeting of the House?

   The invasion of Ukraine, which began at the end of February, is ongoing and should be addressed promptly.

7. **Status of Legislation.** (If applicable)

   N/A

8. **Brief explanation regarding plans for implementation of the policy, if adopted by the House of Delegates.**

   Communication of the resolution to the United Nations and other appropriate bodies.

9. **Cost to the Association.** (Both direct and indirect costs)

   N/A

10. **Disclosure of Interest.** (If applicable)

    N/A

11. **Referrals.**

    ABA Center for Human Rights
    ABA Criminal Justice Section
    ABA International Section
    ABA President-Elect Deborah Enix-Ross
    ABA Representatives and Observers to the United Nations
    ABA Rule of Law Initiative
    Former ABA Governor Mark Alcott
    Former ABA President James Silkenat

12. **Name and Contact Information** (Prior to the Meeting. Please include name, telephone number and e-mail address). Be aware that this information will be available to anyone who views the House of Delegates agenda online.)

    Scott M. Karson, Esq.
    Lamb & Barnosky, LLP
    534 Broadhollow Road, Suite 210
    PO Box 934, Melville, New York 11747-9034
13. Name and Contact Information. (Who will present the Resolution with Report to the House?) Please include best contact information to use when on-site at the meeting. **Be aware that this information will be available to anyone who views the House of Delegates agenda online.**

Scott M. Karson, Esq.
Lamb & Barnosky, LLP
534 Broadhollow Road, Suite 210
PO Box 934, Melville, New York 11747-9034
(631) 694-2300
Mobile: (631) 838-6951
smk@lambbarnosky.com
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. **Summary of the Resolution.**

   This resolution condemns the invasion of Ukraine by the Russian Federation and calls upon the United Nations Secretary General to establish tribunals to exercise jurisdiction and hear and determine whether the Russian Federation and its culpable officials violated international law and hold to account those responsible.

2. **Summary of the issue that the resolution addresses.**

   The resolution addresses the unlawful invasion of Ukraine and calls for the establishment of a tribunal or tribunals to investigate and hold to account those responsible for violations of international law.

3. **Please explain how the proposed policy position will address the issue.**

   The ABA will call upon appropriate authorities to conduct appropriate investigations.

4. **Summary of any minority views or opposition internal and/or external to the ABA which have been identified.**

   None.
RESOLUTION OF THE NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION REGARDING INVESTIGATION AND PROSECUTION OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION AND ITS CULPABLE OFFICIALS ARISING FROM ITS ILLEGAL MILITARY INVASION OF UKRAINE

Adopted by the Executive Committee on July 19, 2022
REPORT AND RESOLUTION OF THE NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION REGARDING INVESTIGATION AND PROSECUTION OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION AND ITS CULPABLE OFFICIALS ARISING FROM ITS ILLEGAL MILITARY INVASION OF UKRAINE

The New York State Bar Association and the Rule of Law

The New York State Bar Association ("NYSBA") is the largest voluntary state bar association in the United States. NYSBA was founded in 1876 with the objective of cultivating the science of jurisprudence, promoting reform in the law, facilitating the administration of justice and elevating the standards of integrity, honor, professional skill and courtesy in the legal profession. NYSBA has approximately 30 sections, each devoted to a particular area of the law. Among them is the International Section ("NYSBA International Section").

NYSBA has a long-standing and unwavering commitment to the rule of law and has not hesitated to speak out to defend it against actions – whether domestic or international – which would imperil and undermine its existence. For example, in 1896, NYSBA proposed the first global means of settling disputes among nations, what is now called the Permanent Court of Arbitration at The Hague. More recently, on April 4, 1992, the Executive Committee of NYSBA adopted a resolution calling for the establishment of an International Criminal Court ("ICC").

The Russian Federation’s Invasion of Ukraine, and the Responses of NYSBA, the NYSBA International Section, and the Ukrainian Bar Association

The Ukrainian Bar Association ("UBA") is a non-governmental, non-profit organization that unites lawyers from all areas of the legal profession in Ukraine. Since its establishment in 2002, its goal is to promote adherence to the rule of law and development of the legal profession in Ukraine.

With tensions between the Russian Federation ("Russia") and Ukraine mounting, in December 2021, NYSBA and the UBA entered a Memorandum of Understanding and NYSBA’s International Section formed a Chapter in Ukraine in association with the UBA. On February 15, 2022, the NYSBA International Section and the UBA formed a Ukraine Task Force ("NYSBA/UBA UTF"). The NYSBA/UBA Task Force held its first meeting on February 17, 2022 and scheduled its next meeting for February 24, 2022.

On February 24, 2022, Russia invaded Ukraine. The world has since witnessed an onslaught of airstrikes, missile attacks and ground assaults, leading to massive casualties among Ukrainian soldiers and civilians, extreme human suffering and hardship, property damage and an unprecedented volume of displaced persons. Russia’s invasion of Ukraine, a sovereign state, is a violation of international law, as are Russia’s
intentional, systemic, and widespread attacks on Ukraine’s civilian population. Russia’s acts, as well as the acts of its military and its agents, must be investigated by an international tribunal.

NYSBA’s response to Russia’s aggression was immediate and unequivocal. On the very day that the invasion began, NYSBA President T. Andrew Brown issued the following statement:

This act of unwarranted and illegal military aggression against a sovereign state is a flagrant violation of the United Nations Charter, Helsinki Accords, and established norms and principles of international law. ... NYSBA joins the international community in demanding that the Russian Federation immediately withdraw its armed forces from Ukraine and restore Ukraine’s territorial integrity and international borders. NYSBA supports our new friends and colleagues in Ukraine and, in this dark hour, NYSBA stands with Ukraine.

Russian President Vladimir Putin has publicly justified Russia’s aggression by, among other things, calling into question Ukraine’s legitimacy as a nation and its inherent right to independence and sovereignty. Under Article II of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, killing members of “a national group” and causing serious bodily and mental harm to members of that group comprises “genocide.” The world has seen relentless shelling of civilian areas throughout Ukraine, which continues to this date. The Russian state and the actions of its military and their agents must be investigated for its actions against the Ukrainian people for actions deliberately “calculated to bring about [their] physical destruction in whole or in part.”

Finally, the actions of Russia, its military and their agents have caused untold damage to the people and property of Ukraine, including immense economic loss and privation, for which Russia would have state responsibility as a matter of customary international law (as most recently articulated in the Articles proposed in 2001 by the International Law Commission on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts), for which Ukraine is entitled to reparation in the form of restitution, compensation and satisfaction. There is ample precedent, which NYSBA supports, for the establishment of an international tribunal to investigate and hold to account all those responsible for violations of international law, including but not limited to the crime of aggression.

**NYSBA International Section’s Resolution**

The NYSBA International Section has thus approved the following Resolution calling for the establishment of an international tribunal to investigate and prosecute violations of international law arising from, relating to or connected with Russia’s illegal invasion of Ukraine, including but not limited to the crime of aggression. (The Appendix to this Report and Resolution sets forth the legal framework for such prosecution of international war crimes.)
WHEREAS, NYSBA, the nation’s largest voluntary state bar association, has a long, consistent and proud tradition of defending the rule of law, both domestically and internationally; and

WHEREAS, NYSBA’s defense of the rule of law has included support for the establishment of the Permanent Court for Arbitration at The Hague and the ICC; and

WHEREAS, Russia’s unlawful invasion of Ukraine is a direct attack on the rule of law, in that it violates the prohibition of the use of force against the territorial integrity and political independence of another state as proscribed by Article 2(4) of the Charter of the United Nations and most fundamental peremptory norms of international law, and is contradictory to the mission of the United Nations to end war and promote peace; and

WHEREAS, the actions by Russia in launching its prolonged armed attack on Ukraine constitutes a direct violation of the 1994 Memorandum on Security Assurances in Connection with Ukraine’s Accession to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, whereby Russia reaffirmed its obligation to refrain from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity and political independence of Ukraine, and also agreed to refrain from any form of economic coercion designed to subordinate to its own interest the exercise by Ukraine of the rights inherent in Ukraine’s sovereignty and thus to secure advantages of any kind at Ukraine’s expense; and

WHEREAS, the invasion of Ukraine by Russia constitutes an “act of aggression” and, by virtue of its sustained military presence and offensive within the borders of Ukraine, “a war of aggression” and, therefore, a “crime against peace,” all as defined in the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on Principles of International Law Concerning Friendly Relations and Cooperation Among States (Resolution 2625 (XXV) and the General Assembly’s Resolution 3314 (XXIX) on the Definition of Aggression; and

WHEREAS, the reported actions by Russia, including, wantonly attacking and decimating cities, towns and villages of Ukraine; in targeting civilian institutions, buildings, and property, resulting in the deaths of thousands of civilians; deporting civilians to the Russian territory, imposing Russian political control over occupied parts of Ukraine, among other acts, which if proven, would constitute war crimes and crimes against humanity committed in connection with the crime of a war of aggression, and therefore are worthy of investigation, prosecution, and upon conviction, punishment under the Principles of International Law Recognized by the Charter of the Nuremberg Tribunal and in the Judgment of the Tribunal; and

WHEREAS, these reported actions of Russia, while it calls into question Ukraine’s legitimacy and its inherent right to independence and sovereignty, would constitute genocide within the meaning of Article II of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, which is also a crime against international law and punishable thereunder; and

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the invasion of Ukraine by Russia constitutes an “act of aggression” and, by virtue of its sustained military presence and offensive within the borders of Ukraine, “a war of aggression” and, therefore, a “crime against peace,” all as defined in the Declaration of the United Nations General Assembly on Principles of International Law Concerning Friendly Relations and Cooperation Among States (Resolution 2625 (XXV) and the General Assembly’s Resolution 3314 (XXIX) on the Definition of Aggression; and

WHEREAS, the reported actions by Russia, including, wantonly attacking and decimating cities, towns and villages of Ukraine; in targeting civilian institutions, buildings, and property, resulting in the deaths of thousands of civilians; deporting civilians to the Russian territory, imposing Russian political control over occupied parts of Ukraine, among other acts, which if proven, would constitute war crimes and crimes against humanity committed in connection with the crime of a war of aggression, and therefore are worthy of investigation, prosecution, and upon conviction, punishment under the Principles of International Law Recognized by the Charter of the Nuremberg Tribunal and in the Judgment of the Tribunal; and

WHEREAS, these reported actions of Russia, while it calls into question Ukraine’s legitimacy and its inherent right to independence and sovereignty, would constitute genocide within the meaning of Article II of the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, which is also a crime against international law and punishable thereunder; and
WHEREAS, Russia’s war of aggression has caused untold damage to the people and property of Ukraine, resulting in immense economic loss and privation, for which Russia has state responsibility as a matter of customary international law as most recently articulated in the Articles proposed by the International Law Commission on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts, for which Ukraine is entitled to reparation by Russia in the form of restitution, compensation and satisfaction; and

WHEREAS, NYSBA is encouraged that democratic nations around the world are standing together to assist Ukraine in fighting Russia’s aggression and taking appropriate legal actions to support Ukraine; and

WHEREAS, NYSBA is also encouraged that, among other organizations, the Council of Europe Parliamentary Assembly and the Parliament of the European Union have condemned Russia’s War Crimes, including the crime of aggression, committed in and against Ukraine, and have called for appropriate legal actions to support Ukraine; and

WHEREAS, NYSBA supports the United Nations General Assembly’s condemnation of the invasion of Ukraine by Russia and Russia’s alleged violations of international law; and

WHEREAS, there already exists strong legal and diplomatic precedent, supported by well-settled jurisprudence, for the establishment of a justice mechanism to investigate, indict, and prosecute the leadership of Russia and its armed forces and agents for violations of international law such as the crime of aggression, crimes against the peace, crimes against humanity, and acts constituting genocide; and

WHEREAS, the jurisdiction of the ICC over Russia for the crime of aggression is uncertain but, nevertheless, Russia’s aggression against Ukraine must be fully investigated and prosecuted by the international community through some other appropriate tribunal in accordance with the rule of law; and

WHEREAS, the United Nations General Assembly, in its very first session, in the aftermath of World War II, in Resolution 3, called on member and non-member states to take all necessary measures to cause the arrest of those war criminals who have been responsible for or taken a consenting part in such crimes and to cause them to be returned to the countries where they committed their crimes “that they may be and punished according to the law of those countries”; and

WHEREAS, the United Nations General Assembly, in Resolution 3074, enunciated Principles of International Cooperation in the Detection, Arrest, Extradition & Punishment of Persons Guilty of War Crimes & Crimes Against Humanity, including that States shall cooperate with each other in the collection of information and evidence which would help to bring to trial persons against whom there is evidence that they have committed international crimes; and
WHEREAS, the United Nations General Assembly, has played a leading role in establishing judicial mechanisms and commissions to investigate and prosecute criminal violations of international law, including Resolutions 52/135 and 57/228 calling for the formation of the Extraordinary Chambers of the Courts of Cambodia for the Prosecution of Crimes Committed During the Period of Democratic Kampuchea, and in Resolution 63/19, endorsing the International Commission Against Impunity in Guatemala;

IT IS THEREFORE RESOLVED THAT:

NYSBA hereby deplores and condemns Russia’s unlawful invasion of Ukraine, causing untold harm to the people of Ukraine; and it is further resolved that

NYSBA hereby supports any and all international and domestic efforts to investigate, prosecute, and hold Russia’s armed forces and officials accountable for acts committed by Russia, its military and its agents, in the prosecution of its unlawful war of aggression; and it is further resolved that

NYSBA hereby calls upon those members of the international community with deep, actual experience in the investigation and prosecution of war crimes, to investigate, prosecute, and bring to justice Russia and its culpable officials, its military and its agents; and it is further resolved that

NYSBA calls upon the United Nations General Assembly to take action by authorizing the Secretary General of the United Nations to establish, at an appropriate time and place, such tribunals – e.g., a hybrid international war crimes tribunal involving Ukraine, similar to those established to investigate and prosecute war crimes in Sierra Leone, Rwanda, and Cambodia – as he shall deem appropriate to exercise jurisdiction and hear and determine whether Russia and its culpable officials violated international law, including but not limited to the crime of aggression against Ukraine, and hold to account those responsible.
APPENDIX

THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR
INTERNATIONAL WAR CRIME PROSECUTION

The invasion of Ukraine by Russia is a direct violation of the prohibition of the use of force against the territorial integrity and political independence of another state, contained in Article 2(4) of the Charter of the United Nations. This prohibition is also considered a fundamental peremptory norm (jus cogens) of international law, and Russia’s conduct is also a direct and unequivocal contradiction of the mission of the United Nations to end war and promote peace. No credible defense of the invasion as justified under the inherent right of self-defense under Article 51 of the Charter has been offered or articulated.

In addition, the actions by Russia in launching its prolonged armed attack on Ukraine constitutes a direct violation of the 1994 Memorandum on Security Assurances in Connection with Ukraine’s Accession to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, whereby Russia (in addition to the United States and the United Kingdom) reaffirmed its obligation to refrain from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity and political independence of Ukraine, and also agreed to refrain from any form of economic coercion designed to subordinate to its own interest the exercise by Ukraine of the rights inherent in Ukraine’s sovereignty and thus to secure advantages of any kind at Ukraine’s expense.

The invasion of Ukraine by Russia constitutes an “act of aggression,” and, by virtue of its sustained military presence and offensive within the borders of Ukraine, “a war of aggression” and, therefore, a “crime against peace,” all as defined in the Declaration of the UN General Assembly on Principles of International Law Concerning Friendly Relations and Cooperation Among States (Resolution 2625 (XXV) and the General Assembly’s Resolution 3314 (XXIX) on the Definition of Aggression.

Evidence from the world media indicates that the armed forces of Russia are engaged in wantonly attacking and decimating cities, towns and villages of Ukraine, in targeting civilian institutions, buildings and property, in killing thousands of civilians, in deporting civilian residents of Ukraine to Russia’s territory, in creating a huge population of displaced persons within and without Ukraine, and in imposing Russian political control over occupied parts of Ukraine. Most if not all of these acts would constitute “war crimes” under the Principles of International Law Recognized by the Charter of the Nuremberg Tribunal and in the Judgment of the Tribunal as “violations of the laws or customs of war, which include, but are not limited to, murder, ill-treatment or deportation to slave-labor or for any other person of civilian populations ….and wanton destruction of cities, towns or villages, or devastation not justified by military necessity.” These would constitute crimes against humanity under the same Principles precisely because they are being committed in connection with a “crime against the peace,” i.e., “the planning, initiation or waging of a war of aggression or a war in violation of international treaties, agreements or assurances,” and, therefore, are worthy of prosecution and punishment under the same Principles.